STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA AMENDED CONSOLIDATED PLAN FISCAL YEARS 2015-2019 VERSION 1.2



WEST VIRGINIA DEVELOPMENT OFFICE | WEST VIRGINIA HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND Draft Substantial Amendment Number 2 (Amendment) to the FY 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and the FY 2016 Action Plan includes (a) the reinstatement of the Single Family Owner Occupied Rehab Program in order to provide assistance to home owners affected by the recent natural disasters; and (b) HUDs reduction of the CHDO requirement to zero percent for the FY 2015 and FY 2016 HOME allocations in order to expeditiously provide housing to displaced persons and repair damaged properties resulting from the recent natural disasters.

Executive Summary

ES-05 Executive Summary - 91.300(c), 91.320(b)

1. Introduction

The regulations of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) require the preparation of a Consolidated Plan covering a Three, Four, or Five Year Period as a prerequisite to receiving Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Housing Trust Fund (HTF), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funding. In response, the West Virginia Development Office (WVDO), West Virginia Housing Development Fund (WVHDF) and West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) have prepared this Consolidated Plan for a Five Year Period for program years 2015-2019, beginning July 1, 2015, and continuing through June 30, 2019.

The plan consists of several sections including general strategies that will be followed in the implementation of the CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA programs; a housing section including a priority housing needs, a housing market analysis, specific housing objectives, and barriers to affordable housing; a homeless section including priority homeless needs, homeless inventory and the ESG five year strategy; a Community Development section including a community development needs assessment, antipoverty strategy, and a plan for the coordination of Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC); and a non-homeless special needs section including a non-homeless special needs assessment, a HOPWA strategy, and specific HOPWA objectives; and an annual action plan that describes the annual activities that will be undertaken through each agency to utilize the funds allocated to these programs.

Additional documents related to the Consolidated Five-Year Plan and Annual Action Plan are submitted under separate cover. These documents include the Update to the Analysis of Fair Housing Impediments and related training agendas, meeting sign-in sheets, meeting advertisements and notices, comments, and responses. Additional documents related to the amended version 1.2 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and amended version 1.2 2016 Annual Action Plan are submitted under separate cover. These documents include the meeting advertisement, sign-in sheets, comments and responses.

2. Summary of the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan Needs Assessment Overview

This Strategic Plan covers the years 2015-2019. This plan was developed through a comprehensive statewide effort of ten public meetings including the analysis of secondary data, programmatic input sessions to discuss community conditions and needs assessment input sessions, and consultations with state and local housing and social service agencies, and the review of regional development plans. The resulting plan and document will be used by the above named agencies to allocate Small Cities Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Housing Trust Fund (HTF), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program funds within the State of West Virginia.

These State agencies and their respective HUD programs have made progress toward meeting housing, community development, and homelessness needs over the past five years and will continue to further address these needs over the next five years through meeting the following objectives to:

- Support job creation or retention efforts.
- Support local government efforts to provide affordable infrastructure systems to strengthen the foundations for economic growth and alleviate conditions that affect environmental quality, public health, or welfare.
- Support local community development efforts to assist low- to moderate-income citizens to achieve an improved quality of life by supporting locally developed strategies to protect, maintain, and expand access to facilities, and services and to revitalize deteriorating downtown and residential neighborhood areas.
- Increase the supply of affordable rental housing.
- Supporting homeownership opportunities for low to moderate income first-time homebuyers.
- Increase affordable, accessible housing opportunities for special needs populations.
- Enhance the quality of housing for low to moderate income homeowners.
- Support local efforts to assure that households in a housing crisis are able to obtain and/or maintain housing stability.

All activities and projects funded by the programs included in this plan must meet an objectives and outcomes as listed below:

- Increase availability/accessibility of decent, affordable housing.
- Increase availability/accessibility of suitable living environment.
- Increase the sustainability of a suitable living environment.

Performance indicators associated with each objective and outcome are provided in the Objectives and Outcomes Chart.



Objectives, Goals and Outcomes

3. Evaluation of past performance

These State agencies and their respective U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) programs have made progress toward meeting housing, community development, and homelessness needs over the past five years and will continue to further address these needs over the next five years through meeting the objectives stated in number two above.

The demand for funding far exceeds the amount of funds available in all programs. As a result, each program remains extremely competitive. It is not uncommon for applications for the same projects to be submitted for several consecutive years. Projects are implemented to improve to assist in the development of viable communities in low- to moderate-income areas throughout the State. Each investment of program funding helps to develop decent housing, create a suitable living environment and promote expanded economic opportunities.

In addition to the broad performance indicators regarding the overall number of projects and the map of areas served, administrative performance in the CDBG program was enhanced through several initiatives briefly described herein.

CDBG Implementation Meetings: During the most recent fiscal years, mandatory implementation meetings were conducted with each new grantee. During these meetings, WVDO staff reviewed the goals and objectives of the program, including specific requirements concerning environmental review, labor compliance, Section 3, Fair Housing, procurement, and other rules and regulations, with each new grantee and their project team.

Fair Housing: The WVDO and the WVHDF conducted an interim update to the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing in 2014. A full update will be conducted upon HUD's implementation of new rules concerning Fair Housing.

Section 3: The WVDO and the WVHDF conducted a training concerning Section 3 at the Regional Planning and Development Council conference in November 2014. This conference also included presentations concerning the use of data through the American Community Survey, environmental review, and other topics.

Environmental Review/Reporting: The WVDO continues to implement procedures and forms to ensure that all projects fully comply with environmental review requirements under 24 CFR Part 58.

Applications and projects for the CDBG program during fiscal years 2010 through 2014 are detailed in the following table.

| Year | (less admin funds) | Citizens Served | Low-Mod Percentage | Projects | Received | Requests |
|------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 2010 | \$17,437,102 | 43,654 | 60.0% | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | 562,000,000 |
| 2013 | 512,900,000 | 33,353 | 63.6296 | 3.55 | 5.5 | 582,000,000 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

CDBG Applications and Projects 2010-2014

4. Summary of citizen participation process and consultation process

A steering committee for the development of the consolidated plan included program managers and staff members from the WVDO, WVHDF, and OEO, representing the CDBG, ESG, HOME, HOPWA programs. The steering committee conducted an outreach program which included public meetings and targeted consultations, direct mail, newspaper advertising, meeting surveys and comment forms, as well as website communication of draft documents and comment forms. The development of the five-year plan was conducted in two phases, with a needs assessment phase beginning in 2013 and a plan development and review phase spanning 2014 and 2015. This lengthened time period enabled the steering committee to more fully assess available data, conduct additional meetings, and engage the public and key stakeholders in more meaningful discussions.

In addition, the committee created new resources to capture stakeholder feedback. Survey forms, specific to each program, were developed and presented at public meetings. Participants at each

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

public meeting were asked to complete specific questionnaires for each program, related to their area of interest. A new comment form was also developed to more accurately capture written comments. The comment form was developed to provide stakeholders with an easy and convenient means of providing a written comment. The comment forms were discussed and reviewed at public meetings, and were made available to those unable to attend a meeting. In addition, these comment forms were posted to the WVDO website, along with the draft plan.

The needs assessment phase also enable to the steering committee to conduct five additional public hearings at the most preliminary stages of the plan development. Meetings were conducted at various locations throughout the State to provide adequate opportunity for community involvement. A total of 10 public meetings were conducted, and three separate public comment periods were observed. Newspaper advertising was placed prior to each comment period.

More than 1,000 stakeholders - representing local governments, grantees, regional administrators, sheltered workshop agencies, law enforcement, housing development agencies, community action agencies, libraries, State-certified community housing development organizations, service providers - were invited to participate in the needs assessment through direct mail. The invitation to participate, provided through direct mail, explained the purpose of the plan in guiding the expenditure of approximately \$18.9 million (over the five-year period), provided an executive summary, and extended a direct invitation to attend a public meeting. Recipients were also asked to post the public meeting notices in prominent locations.

A complete review of the Citizen Participation process is provided in section PR-15, and supplemental materials are provided under separate cover. A map of the public hearing locations is attached.

HTF: The WVHDF consulted with current LIHTC and HOME developers, affordable housing partners, and attendees of the public hearings in drafting the HTF Allocation Plan Guide. It was determined that HTF should devote up to \$2,700,000 for rental housing and up to \$999,999 for operating cost assistance.



Document Path: Z:\ArcGIS\Maps\Five-Year Plan Map.m

Public Hearing Locations Map

Substantial Amendment Number 2 Information

Substantial Amendment Number 2: Resulting from the historic June 23, 2016, natural disaster, President Obama declared eighteen West Virginia Counties natural disaster areas. WVHDF requested, and HUD granted, HOME waivers in order to (a) reinstate the Single Family Owner Occupied Rehab Program (SFOORP); and (b) HUD reduced the FY2015 and FY2016 CHDO requirements to 0% in order to expeditiously provide housing to displaced persons and repair damaged properties.

WVHDF consulted with the Governor's office and other agencies, and it was determined that up to \$2,700,000 of HOME funds will be used to provide housing to displaced persons and repair damaged properties with the following programs: SFOORP, New HOME Direct Loan, and HOME Leverage Loan.

5. Summary of public comments

To provide a broader level of input, participants at each public meeting were also asked to complete specific questionnaires for each program, related to their area of interest. These procedures were consistent throughout all meetings in phase one and phase two. At each meeting the following topics

Consolidated Plan

were discussed, either as an entire group or in separate breakout groups, and resulting issues were prioritized: 1) Homelessness Issues, 2) Housing Issues and 3) Non-Housing Community Development Issues.

Refer to Citizen Participation Chart for an overview of all comments, including the verbal comments, written comments and survey responses, submitted by citizens during the public meeting process.

Priorities for the CDBG program were ranked according to the four categories of eligible projects, including water and wastewater, economic development, community development and planning. Opportunity was also provided to offer additional category suggestions and specific comments. Of the responses received, water and wastewater infrastructure development was the top priority.

Priorities for the HOME program included more rental housing (especially in the Section 8 program), more housing for people with disabilities, providing funds for emergency repairs to owner-occupied units so they can remain in their own homes, and more housing for low-income populations.

The overall consensus of these meetings was that the most important issues for West Virginia included more funds for water and sewer projects, rental assistance, and community development specifically related to demolition of dilapidated commercial and residential structures. Homelessness also remains a concern in many areas.

Table 6 provides a consolidated list of all comments submitted during the public meetings. Table 6 illustrates the leading regional priorities expressed at each of the five regional public meetings in 2013, and the two conducted in 2014. Table 6 does not include all priorities listed at each meeting, but the top four or five specific topics that were expressed most often at each meeting. The regional priorities are listed in rank order.

Priorities mentioned in nearly all of meetings included rental housing and funds for water and sewer projects, although there slight variations in regional priorities.

All comments submitted to the steering committee were accepted and used in the analysis and prioritization of public comments. All written comments submitted to the steering committee or any agency requiring a formal response are attached for review.

HTF: The WVHDF received 7 public comments and promptly responded to them.

Substantial Amendment Number 2: The WVHDF received 8 public comments and promptly responded to them.

6. Summary of comments or views not accepted and the reasons for not accepting them

All comments were considered in the development of the plan. Comments or veiws were gathered through several methods, including targeted consultations, survey responses, public comments, and written comments provided through email, letter or comment form.

HTF: All comments, received by public comment or email, were considered in the development of the HTF Allocation Plan Guide.

Substantial Amendment Number 2: All comments, received by public comment or email, were considered in the development of Substantial Amendment Number 2.

7. Summary

contributed to public outreach efforMore than 1,000 stakeholders - representing local governments, grantees, regional administrators, sheltered workshop agencies, law enforcement, housing development agencies, community action agencies, libraries, State-certified community housing development organizations, service providers - were invited to participate in the needs assessment through direct mail.

Notification was mailed to the following organizations: Regional Planning and Development Councils, County Commissions, Economic Development Authorities, Municipalities, Housing Authorities, State agencies, Public Safety-Law Enforcement, Public Libraries, Chambers of Commerce, Sheltered Workshops Agencies, Housing Development Agencies, Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), Human Rights Commission, Workforce Investment Boards, Community Action Organizations, NSP Subrecipients, and Homeless Shelters.

A series of 10 public meetings were conducted at various locations throughout the State. In addition, the observation of three separate comment periods provided expanded opportunties for citizen participation. Newspaper advertising, new website resources and targeted consultations also contributed to an extensive public outreach effort.

HTF: Public hearings were conducted in Charleston and Fairmont. A thirty-day comment period was observed to provide for citizen participation. Newspaper advertising, WVHDF website information, notification via email list serves, and targeted emails contributed to public outreach.

Substantial Amendment Number 2: Public hearings were conducted in Charleston and Fairmont. A seven-day comment period, as granted by the HUD HOME waiver, was observed to provide for citizen participation. Newspaper advertising, WVHDF website information, notification via email list serves, and targeted emails contributed to public outreach.

The Process

PR-05 Lead & Responsible Agencies 24 CFR 91.300(b)

1. Describe agency/entity responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source

The following are the agencies/entities responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source.

| Agency Role | Name | | Department/Agency |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | |
| CDBG Administrator WEST VIRGINIA | | West Virginia Development Office | |
| HOPWA Administrator | WEST VIRGINIA | West Virginia Development Office | |
| HOME Administrator WEST VIRGINIA | | West Virginia Housing Development | |
| | | Fund | 1 |
| ESG Administrator | WEST VIRGINIA | Wes | t Virginia Development Office |
| | WEST VIRGINIA | Wes | t Virginia Housing Development |
| | | Fund | 1 |

Table 1 – Responsible Agencies

Narrative

The State of West Virginia's strategic planning process is managed by the West Virginia Development Office (WVDO). Three separate agencies administer the four key programs included in the HUD Consolidated Plan. The WVDO organized and implemented strategic planning activities, and all agencies collaborated in the development of the plan.

Agencies Responsible for the Preparation of the Consolidated Plan:

The WVDO, WVHDF and OEO are responsible for the preparation of the Consolidated Plan.

Grant Program Administrators:

CDBG Administrator, Mrs. Kelly Workman, West Virginia Development Office

HOME Administrator, Mrs. Catherine Colby, West Virginia Housing Development Fund

ESG Administrator, Ms. Julie B. Haden, West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity

HOPWA Administrator, Ms. Julie B. Haden, West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity

WEST VIRGINIA

The steering committee also acknowledges the contributions of Mr. Robert F. Cary, Director of Federal Compliance and Policy Development, WVHDF; Mr. Joshua C. Brown, Managing Director of Asset Management and Technical Services, WVHDF; and Ms. April L. McComas, Project Manager, WVDO.

Each Agency's Role:

The WVDO is the state's community and economic development agency. This agency administers the state CDBG program; served as the lead agency for the overall consolidation planning process; and prepared the economic development and infrastructure portions of the plan. The WVDO provides technical assistance, funding and coordination links to local governments, regional planning and development councils, state infrastructure agencies, and economic development agencies regarding economic development and infrastructure matters.

The WVHDF, the state's housing finance agency, administers the HOME program in West Virginia. This agency prepared the housing portions of the plan. The WVHDF provides technical assistance, funding and coordination links to local governments, non-profit organizations and public housing agencies concerning the development of affordable housing and related matters.

The OEO, the state's anti-poverty agency, administers the ESG and HOPWA programs. This agency prepared the social services and anti-poverty portions of the plan. The OEO provides technical assistance, funding and coordination links to local governments, non-profits, CAP agencies, and state health and human service agencies concerning human service matters.

The West Virginia Human Rights Commission has been designated as HUD's equivalent agency concerning the State's fair housing compliance, and is responsible for investigating and litigating, where appropriate, fair housing complaints. This agency provides legal assistance to local human rights agencies and complainants concerning fair housing matters.

Planning Activities Included:

- Review of prior year data, Point In Time (PIT) Homeless Survey Data, methods of distribution, goals, outcomes, and public meetings;
- Review of available secondary data (including census data) on housing, community development, and homelessness;
- Consultation activities with housing, social service agencies, and other entities, including those focusing on services to children, elderly persons, persons with disabilities, persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, and homeless persons;
- Consultation activities with Regional Planning and Development Councils and their local county and city administrators and managers regarding priority projects;
- Statewide input sessions and public comment periods for gathering needs assessment data.

Consolidated Plan Public Contact Information

Consolidated Plan

Ms. Mary Jo Thompson

Director, Community Development Division

West Virginia Development Office

1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East

Charleston, WV 25305

304-558-2234

PR-10 Consultation – 91.100, 91.110, 91.200(b), 91.300(b), 91.200(l) and 91.315(l)

1. Introduction

The West Virginia Development Office (WVDO), West Virginia Housing Development Fund (WVHDF) and Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) will continue to partner and consult with various public and private organizations to enhance the lives of the citizens of the State of West Virginia. Each agency plays a role in coordinating efforts to maximize funding resources and utilize the strengths of our statewide organizations to achieve the expectations of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Provide a concise summary of the state's activities to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers and private and governmental health, mental health and service agencies (91.215(I))

The WVDO, WVHDF and OEO work with many different organizations, both public and private, to carry out its Consolidated Plan. Community economic development activities are carried out through contractual agreements with units of local government. Housing activities, both production and preservation activities, are accomplished through partnerships with units of local governments, non-profits, housing developers, and specifically through partnerships with state certified Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). Homelessness, HIV/AIDS, and other special needs services result from WVDO, WVHDF, and OEO's relationship with a network of non-profit service providers including shelters and units of local government across the state of West Virginia.

Appropriate service coverage and the logistics of getting the funding and activities to the areas of need within West Virginia are ongoing challenges. The solution in many cases is long-term and evolving. The WVDO, WVHDF, and OEO work with community organizations to develop local assets for meeting local needs.

The WVHDF puts special emphasis on CHDO development and encourages partnerships and collaborations in the work that is done. The Public Housing Authorities (PHA) are components in the statewide system for the delivery of affordable housing. Local housing authorities are established through the auspices of local government, subject to state enabling legislation. Neither the state nor WVDO, WVHDF and OEO specifically have direct oversight for local PHA organizations; however, the agencies may partner with these entities through a grantee or project sponsor relationship to complete local projects or activities. WVDO, WVHDF and OEO do certify local PHAs plans or projects consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

Describe coordination with the Continuum of Care and efforts to address the needs of homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans, and unaccompanied youth) and persons at risk of homelessness

One of the barriers faced by those providing services to those who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless is in the rural areas of the state, where services are limited and other barriers such as transportation and lack of access to community services are challenging. The OEO will continue to work with each of the four Continuums of Care, as well as the Interagency Council on Homelessness, which is a council of government agencies with the responsibility of coordinating more effective inter-agency response to end chronic homelessness.

Describe consultation with the Continuum(s) of Care that serves the state in determining how to allocate ESG funds, develop performance standards and evaluate outcomes, and develop funding, policies and procedures for the administration of HMIS

The OEO coordinates with the four Continuums of Care (CoCs) throughout the program year. For ESG funding specifically, each Continuum of care submits its ESG priorities to OEO in order for OEO to ensure all applicants within the specific Continuum of Care have their priorities and programs aligned with the CoC. Each CoC has a specific section in the ESG application for input on projects and applicants. In order to fully evaluate the outcomes of the ESG project sponsors each funded site, with the exception of the domestic violence providers, uses the same statewide HMIS system and outcomes and objectives are regularly reviewed using a "report card" that shows specific ESG targeted outcomes.

In addition, all CoCs utilize a coordinated assessment system that assures all homeless persons are assessed by a common tool and receive services based on common standards of care. All agencies funded with ESG must be a member of their CoC and be an active participant assisting the CoC in meeting its local priority goals.

2. Describe Agencies, groups, organizations and others who participated in the process and describe the jurisdictions consultations with housing, social service agencies and other entities

| 1 | Agency/Group/Organization | West Virginia Coalition to End Homelessness |
|---|---|---|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | There is an ongoing active partnership between the WVCEH and OEO. The WVCEH is the largest homeless advocacy organization in the State. The outcomes of this partnership are anticipated to be the expansion of the Rapid ReHousing and Prevention program, updating the goals and shelter programs to more closely align with the HEARTH Act, and a more cohesive homelessness services provider network across the State. |
| 2 | Agency/Group/Organization | BRANCHES DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SHELTER |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence Services-homeless Services - Victims |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 3 | Agency/Group/Organization | CABELL-HUNTINGTON COALITION FOR THE HOMELESS |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homelessness Needs - Veterans |

Table 2 – Agencies, groups, organizations who participated

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | Agency/Group/Organization | CITY OF HUNTNGTON, WV |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - Local |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 5 | Agency/Group/Organization | HUNTINGTON CITY MISSION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 6 | Agency/Group/Organization | INFORMATION AND REFERRAL |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless Services-Employment |

| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs |
|---|---|---|
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 7 | Agency/Group/Organization | RALEIGH COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOCIATION, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services - Housing Services-homeless Services-Education Services-Employment |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 8 | Agency/Group/Organization | Women's Resource Center - Beckley |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Non-Homeless Special Needs |

| 9 | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. COVENANT HOUSE Services-Persons with Disabilities |
|----|--|---|
| | | Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs HOPWA Strategy |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 10 | Agency/Group/Organization | City of Charleston |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - Local |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 11 | Agency/Group/Organization | DAYMARK |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless Child Welfare Agency |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|----|---|---|
| 12 | Agency/Group/Organization | KANAWHA VALLEY FELLOWSHIP HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 13 | Agency/Group/Organization | Kanawha Valley Collective (KVC) |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing Services-Children Services-Elderly Persons Services-Persons with Disabilities Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-Victims of Domestic Violence Services-homeless Services-Education Services-Education Services-Employment Services-Fair Housing Services - Victims |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs HOPWA Strategy |

| 14 | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. The KVC serves as the Continuum of Care for four WV counties- Kanawha, Boone, Putnam, and Clay counties. REA OF HOPE, INC. Services-homeless |
|----|--|--|
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 15 | Agency/Group/Organization | RELIGIOUS COALITION FOR COMMUNITY RENEWAL |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless Service-Fair Housing Community Development Financial Institution |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 16 | Agency/Group/Organization | ROARK SULLIVAN LIFEWAY CENTER |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Persons with Disabilities Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homelessness Needs - Veterans |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|----|---|--|
| 17 | Agency/Group/Organization | Twin Cities Shelter |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Elderly Persons Services-Persons with Disabilities Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 18 | Agency/Group/Organization | West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Children Health Agency Other government - State |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Lead-based Paint Strategy Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth |

Consolidated Plan

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | OEO works in conjunction with the State of WV DHHR as they are the other emergency and domestic violence shelter funder. By working together the collaboration ensures seamless services for those homeless individuals and families in WV.The WVHDF coordinates and consults with the WVDHHR, Bureau of Public Health, Office of Environmental Health Service, Radiation, Toxics and Indoor Air Division to promote compliance with the WV Lead Abatement Act. While the agency's authority and jurisdiction over lead-based paint abatement is limited under the State statute, the agency does provide public information regarding lead- based paint hazards and registers lead-based paint abatement contractors. The agency also provides information on its website regarding other federal regulations concerning lead-based paint. |
|----|---|--|
| 19 | Agency/Group/Organization | YWCA RESOLVE FAMILY ABUSE PROGRAM |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 20 | Agency/Group/Organization | YWCA SOJOURNERS |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services - Housing Services-Children Services-Elderly Persons Services-Persons with Disabilities Services-homeless Services-Education Services-Employment |

| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Non-Homeless Special Needs As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|----|---|---|
| 21 | Agency/Group/Organization | CENTRAL APPALACHIA EMPOWERMENT ZONE OF WEST VIRGINIA CORPORATION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
| 22 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region I Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |

| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Economic Dovolonment |
|----|--|--|
| | by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | | |
| | How was the | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | training event in November 2014, in cooperation with |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | numerous Federal and State agencies including the |
| | of the consultation or areas for | West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian |
| | improved coordination? | Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the |
| | | Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and |
| | | discussed. Representatives from around the State were |
| | | given an opportunity to review specific portions of the |
| | | plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also |
| | | provided to capture additional suggestions and |
| | | comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive |
| | | and were much appreciated. |
| 23 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region II Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County |
| | | Other government - Local |
| | | Regional organization |
| | | Planning organization |
| | | Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Economic Development |
| | by Consultation? | Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | training event in November 2014, in cooperation with |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | numerous Federal and State agencies including the |
| | of the consultation or areas for | West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian |
| | improved coordination? | Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of |
| | | Agriculture. The event included a session in which the |
| | | Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and |
| | | discussed. Representatives from around the State were |
| | | given an opportunity to review specific portions of the |
| | | plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also |
| | | provided to capture additional suggestions and |
| | | comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive |
| | | and were much appreciated. |

| 24 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region IV Planning and Development Council |
|----|---|---|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
| 25 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region V Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
|----|---|---|
| 26 | Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | Region VI Planning and Development Council Other government - County |
| | | Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |

| · · · · · | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| 27 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region VII Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
| 28 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region VIII Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
|----|---|---|
| 29 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region IX Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type What section of the Plan was addressed | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders Economic Development |
| | by Consultation? | Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |

| 30 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region X Planning and Development Council |
|----|---|---|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
| 31 | Agency/Group/Organization | Region XI Planning and Development Council |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County Other government - Local Regional organization Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
|----|---|---|
| 32 | Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | Home Builders Association West Virginia Housing Planning organization Business and Civic Leaders |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs Economic Development Non Housing Community Development |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | The West Virginia Development Office conducted a training event in November 2014, in cooperation with numerous Federal and State agencies including the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, Appalachian Regional Commission and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The event included a session in which the Consolidated Five-Year Plan was presented and discussed. Representatives from around the State were given an opportunity to review specific portions of the plan and provide feedback. A survey form was also provided to capture additional suggestions and comments. Overall, the survey responses were positive and were much appreciated. |
| 33 | Agency/Group/Organization | BARTLETT HOUSE INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-homeless |

| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Non-Homeless Special Needs |
|----|---|---|
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. In addition, continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 34 | Agency/Group/Organization | CARITAS HOUSE, INC |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Persons with Disabilities Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs HOPWA Strategy |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor and HOPWA grantee continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 35 | Agency/Group/Organization | MONONGALIA COUNTY COMMISSION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Other government - County |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG grantee continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|----|---|---|
| 36 | Agency/Group/Organization | RAPE AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INFORMATION CENTER, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 37 | Agency/Group/Organization | The Connecting Link |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 38 | Agency/Group/Organization | HOPE, Inc. (Task Force on Domestic Violence) |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence Services - Victims |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children |

| 39 | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. NORTH CENTRAL WV COMMUNITY ACTION Housing Services - Housing Services - Elderly Persons |
|----|--|---|
| | | Services-Employment |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 40 | Agency/Group/Organization | Scott Place Homeless Shelter (NCWVCAA) |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homelessness Needs - Veterans Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 41 | Agency/Group/Organization | COMMUNITY NETWORKS, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services-Persons with HIV/AIDS Services-homeless |

| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans HOPWA Strategy |
|----|---|---|
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor and HOPWA grantee continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 42 | Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | TELAMON CORPORATION Services - Housing Services-Children Services-Elderly Persons |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Anti-poverty Strategy |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As a Community Action Agency continued consultation between ESG funded agencies and those funded with CSBG is integral to the continued performance of all ESG sites. |
| 43 | Agency/Group/Organization | Greater Wheeling Coalition for the Homeless |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
|----|---|---|
| 44 | Agency/Group/Organization | YWCA Family Violence Prevention Program |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 45 | Agency/Group/Organization | CHANGE, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. |
| 46 | Agency/Group/Organization | Mountain Opportunities Corporation |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth Non-Homeless Special Needs |

| 47 | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? Agency/Group/Organization Agency/Group/Organization Type | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. Community Action of South Eastern WV (CASE) Housing |
|----|--|--|
| | | Services - Housing Services-homeless Services-Education |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services. Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 48 | Agency/Group/Organization | Mason County Homeless Shelter |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Services-homeless Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans Homelessness Needs - Unaccompanied youth |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services |
| 49 | Agency/Group/Organization | ALMOST HEAVEN HABITAT FOR HUMANITY, INC. |
|----|--|--|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | Habitat for Humanity |
| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 51 | Agency/Group/Organization | COALFIELD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | | Services-Employment |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | Economic Development |
| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 52 | Agency/Group/Organization | COMMUNITY RESOURCES, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | | Mortgage loan Originator for the NewHOME Program |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | |
| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 53 | Agency/Group/Organization | FAIRMONT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PARTNERSHIP |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
|----|---|---|
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 54 | Agency/Group/Organization | KANAWHA INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH & ACTION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 55 | Agency/Group/Organization | MOUNTAIN CAP OF WEST VIRGINIA, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 56 | Agency/Group/Organization | MOUNTAINEER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |

| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
|----|--|--|
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 57 | Agency/Group/Organization | MOUNTAIN OPPORTUNITIES CORPORATION |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 58 | Agency/Group/Organization | RANDOLPH COUNTY AFFORDABLE HOUSING |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | Program participants strengthens the outreach of the |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | WVHDF HOME Department. |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |
| 59 | Agency/Group/Organization | SOUTHEASTERN APPALACHIAN RURAL ALLIANCE, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed | Housing Need Assessment |
| | by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization consulted | |
| | and what are the anticipated outcomes | |
| | of the consultation or areas for | |
| | improved coordination? | |

| 60 | Agency/Group/Organization | SO. APPALACHIAN LABOR SCHOOL |
|----|---|---|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Children Services-Education Services-Employment Service-Fair Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 61 | Agency/Group/Organization | STOP ABUSIVE FAMILY ENVIRONMENTS |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 62 | Agency/Group/Organization | PRIDE COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC. |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |

| 63 | Agency/Group/Organization | GARRETT COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE, INC. | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. | |
| 64 | Agency/Group/Organization | ARC OF HARRISON COUNTY | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services - Housing Services-Persons with Disabilities | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. | |
| 65 | Agency/Group/Organization | Parkersburg Housing Authority | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. | |

| 66 | Agency/Group/Organization | CHARLESTON KANAWHA HOUSING AUTHORITY |
|----|---|---|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 67 | Agency/Group/Organization | HUNTINGTON HOUSING AUTHORITY |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |
| 68 | Agency/Group/Organization | MINGO COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing Mortgage Loan Originator |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. |

| 69 | Agency/Group/Organization | Fairmont/Morgantown Housing Authority | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing PHA Services - Housing Services-Education Mortgage Loan Originator | | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs | | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | Continuous and ongoing consultation with HOME Program participants strengthens the outreach of the WVHDF HOME Department. | | |
| 70 | Agency/Group/Organization | Randolph County Homeless Shelter | | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing Services-homeless | | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment Public Housing Needs Homelessness Strategy Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless Homeless Needs - Families with children Homelessness Needs - Veterans | | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services | | |
| 71 | Agency/Group/Organization | Women's Aid in Crisis | | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence | | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Public Housing Needs Homeless Needs - Families with children | | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services | | |

| - | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 72 | Agency/Group/Organization | Family Crisis Intervention Center - Parkersburg | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services | |
| 73 | Agency/Group/Organization | The Family Refuge Center | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services | |
| 74 | Agency/Group/Organization | Family Crisis Center (Keyser) | |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-Victims of Domestic Violence | |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children | |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved coordination? | As an ESG Project Sponsor continued consultation with all agencies funded will continue to strengthen the services funded with ESG and the statewide network of homelessness services | |

Identify any Agency Types not consulted and provide rationale for not consulting

No agency types were specifically excluded from the planning process.

| Name of Plan | Lead Organization | How do the goals of your Strategic Plan overlap with the goals of each plan? | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Continuum of Care | | | |
| Comprehensive Economic | Regional Planning and | Priority needs for the CDBG Program were also | |
| Development Strategy | Development Councils | identified in cooperation with the state's eleven | |
| (CEDS) | I-VI | Regional Planning and Development Councils. | |

Other local/regional/state/federal planning efforts considered when preparing the Plan

Table 3 – Other local / regional / federal planning efforts

Describe cooperation and coordination among the State and any units of general local government, in the implementation of the Consolidated Plan (91.315(I))

Priority needs for the CDBG program were also identified in cooperation with West Virginia's 11 Regional Planning and Development Councils. Established in 1972, the Regional Councils are a statewide network of cooperating organizations that support community and economic development, planning and inter-governmental cooperation. Each region includes the county and municipal governments located within its geographic area.

Numerous individuals, organizations, businesses and civic leaders, as well as local elected officials, representing urban and rural areas in all regions of West Virginia are invited to participate in a public meeting or to submit their priority project listings through the Regional Councils. This regional approach to planning and development provides direct input about community conditions and needs and encourages efficient solutions to regional challenges.

The OEO, through the ESG funding, coordinates with 17 units of local governments as the units of local government, from cities to county commissions, serve as the subgrantees of ESG funds. OEO works with these units of government on a monthly basis and is continually receiving feedback from the partnerships and providing best practices and technical assistance to those providers. Training, meetings, and continual cooperation between all sites ensure the Consolidated Plan includes programs that will allow all partners can operate programs that best meet the needs of their communities.

Narrative (optional):

PR-15 Citizen Participation - 91.105, 91.115, 91.200(c) and 91.300(c)

1. Summary of citizen participation process/Efforts made to broaden citizen participation Summarize citizen participation process and how it impacted goal-setting

Staff members from WVDO, WVHDF, and OEO conducted an outreach program that included public meetings & targeted consultations, direct mail, newspaper advertising, surveys, online posting & comment forms. The development of the consolidated plan consisted of 2 phases, spanning 2013 through 2015. 10 public meetings were conducted, and 3 public comment periods were observed. The process began in Sep 2013 with a needs assessment phase, which included reviewing existing plan, analysis of existing distribution methods, review of current data, & input sessions to gather feedback. During the assessment phase, the State conducted 5 public meetings in 2013. Staff visited So Chas, Weirton, Fairmont, Beckley & Elkins, to solicit local input on priority housing & community development needs. Over 1,000 stakeholders were invited to attend through direct mail which explained the purpose of the plan in guiding the expenditure of approximately \$18.9M in annual funding for housing & community development for FY 2015 through 2019. A public comment period for the phase 1 needs assessment occurred between 10-7-13 and 11-8-13. Meeting notifications were published in several newspapers throughout the State. Following Phase 1, Phase 2 provided an opportunity for each agency representative to incorporate information collected in the needs assessment. Additional public meetings were conducted in Nov 2014 in Charleston & Fairmont, followed by meetings in Apr 2015 in Parkersburg, Huntington & Summersville. The phase 2 plan review began in late 2014. Public notices were published in newspapers and an additional comment period was observed. A 2nd public comment period for the phase 2 plan review occurred between 10-26-14 and 12-5-14. Meeting notifications were published in various newspapers. Phase 2 public notices were published in newspapers & an additional comment period was observed. A 3rd public comment period for the Phase 2 plan review began on 4-13-15 & concluded on 5-13-15. Meeting notifications for the third public comment period were published in various newspapers. To broaden citizen participation, a comment form was posted to the WVDO website, & provided at each meeting. The agencies also conducted targeted consultations with key stakeholders.

To provide a consistent response at the public meetings, representatives from each program presented a standard 5-10 minute review of each program. These presentations were followed by a discussion period in which community representatives expressed concerns or asked questions. Participants were also asked to complete questionnaires for each program.

HTF: WVHDF staff conducted an outreach program that included public hearings and targeted consultations, newspaper advertising, online posting and comment forms. 2 public hearings were conducted and a 30-day comment period was observed. SA#2: 2 public hearings were conducted & a 7-day comment period was observed.

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

Citizen Participation Outreach

| Sor | Mode | Target | Summa | Summary of | Summa | URL |
|-----|--------|--------|---------|-------------------|----------|------|
| tΟ | of Out | of Ou | ry of | comments received | ry of co | (If |
| rde | reach | treach | respon | | mments | арр |
| r | | | se/atte | | not | lica |
| | | | ndance | | accepte | ble) |
| | | | | | d | |
| | | | | | and rea | |
| | | | | | sons | |

| Sor | Mode | Target | Summa | Summary of | Summa | URL |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|-------------|
| t O rde | of Out reach | of Ou treach | ry of | comments received | ry of co | (If |
| r | reach | treath | respon se/atte | | mments not | app lica |
| • | | | ndance | | accepte | ble) |
| | | | | | d | , |
| | | | | | and rea | |
| | | | | | sons | |
| 1 | CDBG | Non- | 9 | Comments were related to the following: Requesting \$500,000 HOME set-aside for DPL | | |
| | Survey | target | Respon | loans for persons with intellectual disabilities, affordable housing, emergency shelters, | | |
| | | ed/bro | ses | data-driven planning, HOMErent, homelessness, TBRA, and associated HOME | | |
| | | ad | were | programs,HOME set-aside for TBRA and Rehab,CDBG priorities and need for demolition | | |
| | | comm | from | funds,HOME and use of Universal Design standards, Fair Housing, Section 504, and | | |
| | | unity | Nonpro | TBRA,CDBG and need for infrastructure funding, support of HUD national objective to assist | | |
| | | | fit | low and moderate income individuals, HOME TBRA, individuals with developmental | | |
| | | Writte | Housin | disabilities, universal design standards to address challenges of aging population, and lack | | |
| | | n | g | of transportation, HOME rehabilitation, management of lead-based paint mitigation, TBRA, | | |
| | | comm | Organiz | and capacity of CHDO groups. | | |
| | | ents | ations, | | | |
| | | receiv | 3 | | | |
| | | ed in | respons | | | |
| | | house. | es were | | | |
| | | Memo | from | | | |
| | | mailed | Local | | | |
| | | to | Govern | | | |
| | | divers | ment, 1 | | | |
| | | е | respons | | | |
| | | organi | e from | | | |
| | | zation | State | | | |
| | | s (see | Govern | | | |
| | | No. 7) | ment | | | |
| | | of Ex | | | | |
| | | Cons Sum. 6-0117 (exp. | olidated Pl | an WEST VIRGINIA 48 | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity South Charle ston, WV | 6 Attend ees - 5 Questio ns/Com ments were from Nonpro fit Housin g Organiz ations, 1 was from a citizen. | 1.) Q. Advocating CDBG funds for revitalization projects: A. Unlikely due to lack of funding and expected future budget cuts 2.)Q. Advocating HOME funds to be used as TBRA for CoC in Lincoln and Mason Counties. Residents on Voucher waiting list. A. Request was noted, discussed HOME funding dropping from \$10 to \$4M over 3 year period. 3.)Q. Advocating ESG provided services to homeless in rural areas, so they are not drawn to urban centers. A. ESG has also had a decrease in funding 4.)Q. Advocating HOME for TBRA for applicants coming out of nursing homes and hospitals. A. Request was noted, discussed HOME funding dropping from \$10 to \$4M over 3 year period 5.) C. Thanked Home of Your Own Alliance (HOME) and the positive impact it had on her son and subsidizing his mortgage was less that subsidizing his previous rent. A. Panel thanked the citizen for sharing her story. 6.)A grant was given to a local organization and they provided a Housing Policy Guideline to the Governor office to educate policy makers that housing is an important aspect in economic development. A. Panel thanked the participant for sharing the information. | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 3 | Public | Non- | 11 | 1.) Q. Advocating for HOME funds to follow the person to bridge rental programs for high | | |
| | Hearin | target | Attend | rent areas, such as Morgantown, WV. A. Panel noted the request 2.)Q. Does the current | | |
| | g | ed/bro | ees - 3 | 5-year plan cover until June 2014. A. Yes, the new plan will last until January 2019 3.)Q. | | |
| | | ad | Comme | Does construction with HOME dollars have to meet Universal Design? A. No, however ADA, | | |
| | | comm | nts | building codes and other standards must be met and are enforced by inspectors 4.)Q. | | |
| | | unity | were | Advocating the CDBG funds be used for internet in rural/low populated areas.A. It may be | | |
| | | | from | hard to see this benefit confined to LMI areas. Water and sewer continue to be a priority. | | |
| | | Fairm | Nonpro | 5.)Q. Advocating for more downtown development and more of a housing component for | | |
| | | ont, | fit | CDBG. A. Improvements would need to benefit LMI population or slum and blight. | | |
| | | WV | Housin | Comment considered for the 5 year plan. 6.) Q. Need for WV to collaborate on funding | | |
| | | | g | sources for housing to prevent duplicate services. Veterans and transitional housing are | | |
| | | | Organiz | suffering for lack of good available units. A. Panel noted the concern | | |
| | | | ations, | | | |
| | | | 2 were | | | |
| | | | general comme | | | |
| | | | nts, 1 | | | |
| | | | was | | | |
| | | | from | | | |
| | | | Plannin | | | |
| | | | g Agency. | | | |

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 4 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Beckle y, WV | 11 Attend ees - 5 Questio ns/Com ments were receive d during the hearing | 1.) Q. Requested \$500,000 be set-aside for Home of Your Own program and for low interest loans for repair and rehab for individuals with disabilities.A. HOME funds are limited and only serve 44 of 55 counties and future funding cuts expected. 2.) Q. Funding for Demo through CDBG? A. Must be a strong slum/blight ordinance in place. Water and Sewer is a priority. 3.)Q. Are there any funds available to assist for increases in flood insurance? A. CDBG does not fund flood insurance/mitigation 4.)Q. Is Beckley going to become an entitlement city? A. Yes. HOME can fund projects outside the city and other programs may still provide funding inside the city limits. 5.)Q. Can CDBG award caps be revised? A. Yes, with changes in level of funding. WVDO will look at the caps for the 3 national objectives. | | |
| 5 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Elkins, WV | 7 Attend ees - 3 Questio ns/Com ments were receive d. | 1.) Q. Advocating for HOME to do more owner-occupied rehab, like new roofs, handicap access, changes to help age in place. A. HOME is limited for this purpose. Partial rehab is not allowed, if HOME invests funds the unit must meet all codes and standards. 2.) Q. Hard to find post 1978 structures to rehab and be profitable. Pre 1978 structures may contain lead which increases rehab costs. A. WVHDF would need to increase funding to rehab programs to help with lead or start a new program for lead based paint removal. 3.)Q. WVHDF has too many expectations and rules, please simplify paperwork requirements and send staff out in the field more often. A. Online application process starting soon to eliminate duplicate requests | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 6 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity South Charle ston, WV | 5 Surveys were collecte d. | Majority ranked Water/Wastewater and Community Development as priorities. Single most important need listed by participants: Affordable rental housing for low income families, water and sewer, job creation and high school dropout % vs. necessary skills. | 30113 | |
| 7 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Fairm ont, WV | 7 Surveys were collecte d. | Majority ranked Community Development as a priority. Single most important need listed by participants: Internet and cell service, transportation and jobs, vacant /dilapidated buildings | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 8 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Beckle y, WV | 7 Surveys were collecte d. | Majority ranked Engineering and design as a priority. Single most important need listed by participants: Better paying jobs, infrastructure, public transportation, economic development | sons | |
| 9 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Elkins, WV | 5 Surveys were collecte d. | Majority ranked Water/Wastewater as a priority. Single most important need listed by participants: Safe affordable and accessible rental units, water/waste water and economic development, jobs for low income people, removal of blighted structures, bridge TBRA for high rent areas and transitional housing | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 10 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Weirt on, WV | 5 Surveys were collecte d. | Majority ranked Economic Development as a priority. Single most important need listed by participants: Assistance for disabled, demo for dilapidated buildings, push | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 11 | Intern et Outre ach | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Forms submit ted after Public Hearin g in Fairm ont, WV | 8 Forms were submitt ed. | Comments: Hopes program keeps going and receives funding, use CDBG for acquisition and demo of blighted properties, please continue to set HOME \$ for special needs, build affordable housing to universal design standards, sidewalks, seeking support for business incubator in low income census tract, and water and waste/water needs. | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 12 | Intern et Outre ach | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Forms submit ted after Public Hearin g in Weirt | 1 Form was submitt ed. | Comments: Library wants funding to reach disabled citizens needing and participating with information needs, especially outside the city limits. | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 13 | Intern | Non- | 5 | Advocating for HOME funds to be used for TBRA, set-aside money for people with | | |
| | et | target | Forms | developmental disabilities, all 3 programs are vital, agree that water and sewer is a priority, | | |
| | Outre | ed/bro | were | use CDBG funds for Economic Development & Housing, HOME funds for homeless and | | |
| | ach | ad | submitt | housing units in Mason & Lincoln County. | | |
| | | comm | ed. | | | |
| | | unity Forms submit ted after Public Hearin g in South Charle ston | | | | |
| 14 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity | 6 surveys submitt ed | More funds needed for Rapid Re Housing; more targeted low-income housing; | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 15 | CDBG Survey | Non- target | 4 surveys | More opportunities for employment training are needed; there are not enough affordable rental units nor are there programs for alcohol recovery; there is a lack of supportive | | |
| | | ed/bro ad comm unity | submitt ed | services as well as transportation in the Northern Panhandle. | | |
| 16 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity | 4 Surveys submitt ed | There is a need for affordable, accessible, and integrated housing. | | |
| 17 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity | 7 surveys submitt ed | Urban areas experience an influx of homeless from surrounding rural areas. The needs then eclipse the housing available; there is a need for better collaboration between agencies. | | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 18 | CDBG Survey | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity | 6 surveys submitt ed | There needs to be a statewide housing policy instead of several agencies working separate agendas without working collaboratively; because of difficult economic changes moderate income families have fewer affordable housing options; housing must be a focus in all long-range planning as it impacts economic development, education, health, etc. | | |
| 19 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity WVHD F - Charle ston, WV | Zero attende es. | N/A | N/A | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 20 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Fairm ont, WV - 11/18/ 2014 | Zero attende es. | N/A | N/A | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 21 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity RPDC - Huntin gton, WV - 4/16/2 015 | Zero attende es. | N/A | N/A | |
| 22 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Parker sburg, WV | Zero attende es. | N/A | N/A | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 23 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity Summ ersvill e, WV | One attende e. | Q. Verbal follow-up to a written request to continue Deferred Payment Loans (DPL) for persons with developmental disabilities. A. The HOME program has funding reserved for this program. | N/A | |

| Sor t O rde r | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| 24 | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity centra I and northe r West Virgini a | 12 attende es | 1. Q. Ms. VanGilder with WVDHHR asked why we used the term disabled or handicapped instead of a person or individuals with a disability. She was concerned about people being categorized. A. Mrs. Colby agreed and asked that she put her comment in writing and she would see that it is addressed and check the HUD language. 2. Q. Debra Payne with RCCR asked if the National Housing Trust Fund allocation was just going to the state or to large municipalities as well. A. Mrs. Colby replied with just the state and explained how HUD reached out to each state. Governor and it was the Governor decision as to who would oversee the program.3. Q. Mark Taylor with Charleston Kanawha Housing Authority asked when you do your RFP next year for the HOME funds. If you are going to include any amount of project based rental assistance that involves the Housing Authority it takes time. Could the Housing Authority be consulted prior to the RFP? I've talked with the Tax Credit side and there is a timing issue. Six months is not enough time for the Housing Authority because of the timing of their annual plan.A. Mrs. Colby agreed and went on to discuss how a developer would go about requesting Project Based Rental Assistance from a Housing Authority. M. Taylor: The Housing Authority has to decide if they want to issue the project based rental vouchers. Those decisions are based on their procurement process then the annual plan. Mr. Taylor went on to discuss the Charleston Kanawha Housing Authority's process for issuing rental vouchers and how they are working now on 2017 vouchers.Mrs. Colby encouraged everyone to work with their agencies on | N/A | |

| Sor | Mode | Target | Summa | Summary of | Summa | URL |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| t O rde r | of Out reach | of Ou treach | ry of respon se/atte ndance | comments received | ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | (If app lica ble) |
| 25 | Public | Non- | 13 | 1.Q.Ms. Wright asked if there are incentives for local developers.A.Ms. Colby gave | N/A | |
| | Hearin | target | attende | examples of particular situations & how each deal is unique to local developers. Ms. Colby | , | |
| | g | ed/bro | es | went on to explain requirements of Section 3 to employ local residents. 2.Q.Ms. Wright | | |
| | 0 | ad | | then asked if there is a preference for building within city limits.A.Ms. Colby explained | | |
| | | comm | | there is no preference for building within city limits but explained the Fund has a Technical | | |
| | | unity | | Services Dept which includes Inspectors that must inspect every project funded under the | | |
| | | | | HTF & HOME Program.3.Q.Ms. Wright asked if the Fund checks for landlords and | | |
| | | southe | | developers who discriminate against a protected class before committing funds.A.Ms. | | |
| | | rn | | Colby explained the Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan process and the requirements | | |
| | | West | | of the Asset Management Dept directed by Josh Brown. Ms. Colby further explained | | |
| | | Virgini | | annual reviews completed by the Fund's Asset Management Dept4.Q.Ms. Derry asked if | | |
| | | а | | the Fund has ongoing accessibility compliance reviews.A.Ms. Colby explained the Fund's | | |
| | | | | plan & spec review before the start of any new construction & rehabilitation project, the | | |
| | | | | role of the Fund Inspectors during construction, & the inspection process completed by the | | |
| | | | | Fund's Asset Management Dept during the affordability period.5.Q.Ms. Derry asked about | | |
| | | | | the update to Impediments to Fair Housing & conveyed that she agrees low income | | |
| | | | | affordable housing is a priority but thinks the Fund should add accessibility to such | | |
| | | | | statement and make it as broad and related to funding, connectivity to transportation, etc. | | |
| | | | | She reiterated that accessibility means more than ramps.A.Ms. Colby informed Jan that | | |
| | | | | Josh Brown is actively working on it & she will receive a copy.6.Q. Ms. Derry referred to pg. | | |
| | | | | 127 & asked about CDBG funds for testing.A.Ms. Colby advised she contact Kelly Workman | | |
| | | | | for more info.7.Q.Ms. Wright asked about the Executive Summary, question #1, & why the | | |
| | | | | eleven counties are left out.A.Ms. Colby explained how a consortium is formed.8.Q.Ms. | | |
| | | Cons | olidated P | Wright also asked about low-income persons with disabilities. She stated that she didn't an see a discussion about retroactively making our shelters more accessible for the blind | | |
| OMB Co | ntrol No: 250 | 6-0117 (exp. | 06/30/2018) | population, for example.A.Ms. Colby explained HOME Program funds cannot be used to | | |
| | | | | fund shelters & further explained how the Fund puts HOME funds into transitional housing | | |
| | | | | which are accessible, as required by the HOME Program regulation.9.Q.Ms. Derry asked | | |

| Sor | Mode | Target | Summa | Summary of | Summa | URL |
|--------|---------------|---------|-------------------|---|---------------|-------------|
| tO | of Out | of Ou | ry of | comments received | ry of co | (If |
| rde | reach | treach | respon se/atte | | mments not | app lica |
| r | | | ndance | | accepte | ble) |
| | | | | | d | , |
| | | | | | and rea | |
| | | | | | sons | |
| 26 | Public | Non- | 1 | Questions by Dr. John David attendee; Answered by Cathy Colby WVHDFQ. What will be | N/A | |
| | Hearin | target | attende | the requirements regards to damage homes in the flood plain?A. If the home is in the flood | | |
| | g | ed/bro | e, 1 | plain what we could not get a waiver for was the Environmental. If the house is in the flood | | |
| | | ad | respons | plain and needs repairs in order to mitigate the flood zone they will have to obtain flood | | |
| | | comm | е | insurance.Q. Do you have any examples of what flood insurance cost?A. I do not. I do know | | |
| | | unity | | this about the flood insurance because we inquired about it. Example: If you have \$5,000 | | |
| | | | | HVAC repair do we need to get flood insurance on just the HOME Commitment or the | | |
| | | southe | | entire structure. It would be the entire structure.Q. What if a piece of property as defined | | |
| | | rn | | legally, has the house that is not in flood zone but the other part of the property is, will it | | |
| | | West | | go by property or structure?A. I think that it would go by property. You would need to get | | |
| | | Virgini | | better clarification from the FIRMette Maps or from HUD Environmental Rep or the Flood | | |
| | | а | | Insurance Agency. Will be on a case by case basis.Q. Will you be looking at flood | | |
| | | | | determinations now or after FEMA re-assesses them?A. We can only look at what we have | | |
| | | | | right now. It would be looked at the time of the commitment of funds.Q. I understand the | | |
| | | | | local flood relief long term grouping committee county person who is in charge of | | |
| | | | | whatever can issue an exemption and say that the house can be worked on. Is that | | |
| | | | | something that you know about?A. No I do not know about that. What do you mean by | | |
| | | | | worked on? Like this project or any other project that this house according to the county or | | |
| | | | | local zoning code enforcement or whatever the emergency response person is can say its | | |
| | | | | fine we will exempt this house from the regulations. I am not acquainted with that I just | | |
| | | | | know that unless we have a waiver from HUD the regulations stand. HQS is waived where | | |
| | | | | the entire house does not have to meet HQS. The family can self-certify until January 2017 | | |
| | | | | due to destruction of personal documentation.Q. Will this be a CHDO competition?A. No. | | |
| | | Cons | olidated P | My understanding is that there is a volunteer organization called VOAD. VOAD is doing a an Iot of the intake to determine need and then they are referred to the entity that will fit | | |
| OMB Co | ntrol No: 250 | | 06/30/2018) | their need.Q. Who is going to administer this at the local level?A. VOADQ. And do you feel | | |
| | | | | that they have the capacity to do that.A. From everything that I have heard yes.Q. Why | | |
| | | | | would you not go through an entity that is already established and in place such as a | | |
| | | | | would you not go through an entity that is already established and in place such as a | | |

| tO d | Mode of Out reach | Target of Ou treach | Summa ry of respon se/atte ndance | Summary of comments received | Summa ry of co mments not accepte d and rea sons | URL (If app lica ble) |
|------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| H | Public Hearin g | Non- target ed/bro ad comm unity centra I and northe rn West Virgini | 6 attende es | 3 attendees inquired about Substantial Amendment 1 and administrative details concerning the flood recovery program. | N/A | |

| Sor | Mode | Target | Summa | Summary of | Summa | URL |
|--------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------|
| t O | of Out | of Ou | ry of | comments received | ry of co | (If |
| rde | reach | treach | respon | | mments | app |
| r | | | se/atte ndance | | not accepte | lica ble) |
| | | | nuance | | d | Diej |
| | | | | | and rea | |
| | | | | | sons | |
| 28 | CDBG | Person | 8 | 8 comments were received, inquiring about Substantial Amendment Number 1, the ability | N/A | |
| | Survey | s with | timely | of buyers with intellectual disabilities to apply for NewHOME, and the FY15-16 CHDO set | | |
| | | disabil | respons | aside being reduced to 0%. | | |
| | | ities | es were | | | |
| | | | sent to | | | |
| | | Non- | those | | | |
| | | target | submitt | | | |
| | | ed/bro | ing | | | |
| | | ad | comme | | | |
| | | comm | nts, | | | |
| | | unity | explaini | | | |
| | | | ng the | | | |
| | | Forms | approv | | | |
| | | submit | al of | | | |
| | | ted | Amend | | | |
| | | after | ment 1, | | | |
| | | Public | confirm | | | |
| | | Hearin | ing | | | |
| | | gs | buyers | | | |
| | | | with | | | |
| | | | intellec | | | |
| | | | tual | | | |
| | | | disabilit | | | |
| | | 6 | ies can | | | |
| | | Cons | ies can olidated P apply | an WEST VIRGINIA 67 | | |
| OMB Co | ntrol No: 250 | 6-0117 (exp. | 0 6/3 0/2018) TOI | | | |
| | | | NewHO | | | |
| | | | ME, | | | |

Table 4 – Citizen Participation Outreach

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

68

Needs Assessment

NA-05 Overview

Needs Assessment Overview

The purpose of the Needs Assessment Section is to identify the number of households with housing problems by the type of problems, the types of households with housing problems and the race of households with housing problems and to determine if any particular income group, racial or ethnic group, or family type experiences housing problems more than any other group. In addition to identifying the type, number and condition of existing housing units, the State is also responsible for housing programs and strategies that will address the jurisdictions housing problems.

The State is responsible for the provision of financial assistance through the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program, Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS(HOWPA) and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME). The financial assistance available under these programs is available in all 55 counties of the State with the exception of the HOME Program. The HOME Program, which is administered by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, only provides financial assistance in 44 of the State's 55 counties. The HOME Program does not provide financial assistance the following counties: Brooke, Berkeley, Cabell, Hancock, Jefferson, Morgan, Kanawha, Marshall, Ohio, Wayne and Wood. Each of these counties is a member of one of the five HOME Consortia and receives a direct allocation of HOME funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

This Needs Assessment primarily focuses on the housing problems in the 44 counties that are covered under the HOME Program. These 44 counties represent an area of 20,640 square miles or 86% of the entire state and contain 1,153,002 persons or 62% of the State's population. The U.S. Census indicates that there are 544,538 housing units in the State of West Virginia. One hundred thousand, four hundred four (100,404) of these units are vacant. Four hundred forty three four thousand, one hundred seventy-five thousand (443,175) are occupied by owner- and renter households. Three hundred thirty-four thousand, eight hundred fifty-five (334,855) or 75% of these households are owner-occupied households, while 108,320 or 25% of these households are renter-occupied households.

The data that was used to develop the Market Analysis is a revised version of the 2007-2011 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data (County Level Data) provided to HUD by the U.S. Census. The 2007-2001 CHAS data housing data set was revised by deleting the information for the 11 HOME Consortia Counties. The revised housing data set was named 2007-2011 CHAS Non-Consortia Counties (NCC) and is listed as an alternated data source in the Consolidated Plan. The West Virginia Statewide Housing Needs Assessment, April 15, 2014, a study prepared for the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, was also used as a reference data source in the development of Market Analysis. The Housing Needs Assessment addresses all 55 counties in the State.

It is important to note that even though the housing problems vary in the 44 rural county area, it appears that cost burden and severe cost burden uniformly affect owner- and renter-households all 44 counties.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

NA-10 Housing Needs Assessment - 24 CFR 91.305 (a,b,c)

Summary of Housing Needs

The purpose of the Needs Assessment Section is to identify the number of households with housing problems by the type of problems, the types of households with housing problems and the race of households with housing problems and to determine if any particular income group, racial or ethnic group, or family type experiences housing problems more than any other group. In addition to identifying the type, number and condition of existing housing units, the State is also responsible for housing programs and strategies that will address the jurisdictions housing problems.

The State is responsible for the provision of financial assistance through the Small Cities Block Grant (SCBG) Program, Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program, Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOWPA) and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME). The financial assistance available under these programs is available in all 55 counties of the State with the exception of the HOME Program. The HOME Program, which is administered by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, only provides financial assistance in 44 of the State's 55 counties. The HOME Program does not provide financial assistance the following counties: Brooke, Berkeley, Cabell, Hancock, Jefferson, Morgan, Kanawha, Marshall, Ohio, Wayne and Wood. Each of these counties is a member of one of the five HOME Consortia and receives a direct allocation of HOME funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

This Needs Assessment primarily focuses on the housing problems in the 44 counties that are covered under the HOME Program. These 44 counties represent an area of 20,640 square miles or 86% of the entire state and contain 1,153,002 persons or 62% of the State's population. The U.S. Census indicates that there are 544,538 housing units in the State of West Virginia. One hundred thousand, four hundred four (100,404) of these units are vacant. Four hundred forty three four thousand, one hundred seventy-five thousand (443,175) are occupied by owner- and renter households. Three hundred thirty-four thousand, eight hundred fifty-five (334,855) or 75% of these households are owner-occupied households, while 108,320 or 25% of these households are renter-occupied households.

The data that was used to develop the Market Analysis is a revised version of the 2007-2011 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data (County Level Data) provided to HUD by the U.S. Census. The 2007-2001 CHAS data housing data set was revised by deleting the information for the 11 HOME Consortia Counties. The revised housing data set was named 2007-2011 CHAS Non-Consortia Counties (NCC) and is listed as an alternated data source in the Consolidated Plan.

The West Virginia Statewide Housing Needs Assessment, April 15, 2014, a study prepared for the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, was also used as a reference data source in the development of Market Analysis. The Housing Needs Assessment addresses all 55 counties in the State.
It is important to note that even though the housing problems vary in the 44 rural county area, it appears that cost burden and severe cost burden uniformly affect owner- and renter-households all 44 counties.

| Demographics | Base Year: 2000 | Most Recent Year: 2011 | % Change |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------|
| Population | 1,121,443 | 1,153,002 | 3% |
| Households | 451,813 | 443,175 | -2% |
| Median Income | \$28,478.00 | \$36,339.00 | 28% |

Table 5 - Housing Needs Assessment Demographics

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 1. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties U.S. Census (2000-2011)

Number of Households Table

| | 0-30% | >30-50% | >50-80% | >80-100% | >100% |
|---------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| | HAMFI | HAMFI | HAMFI | HAMFI | HAMFI |
| Total Households | 61,165 | 59,615 | 80,140 | 44,070 | 198,205 |
| Small Family Households | 19,549 | 17,085 | 10,699 | 19,022 | 117,883 |
| Large Family Households | 2,217 | 2,627 | 15,501 | 2,977 | 12,478 |
| Household contains at least one | | | | | |
| person 62-74 years of age | 9,625 | 15,216 | 21,231 | 11,289 | 39,443 |
| Household contains at least one | | | | | |
| person age 75 or older | 6,511 | 13,976 | 16,671 | 5,860 | 14,527 |
| Households with one or more | | | | | |
| children 6 years old or younger | 9,280 | 6,511 | 9,412 | 5,789 | 26,736 |

Table 6 - Total Households Table

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 16. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Housing Needs Summary Tables

1. Housing Problems (Households with one of the listed needs)

| | | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF HOU | JSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Substandard | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lacking | | | | | | | | | | |
| complete | | | | | | | | | | |
| plumbing or | | | | | | | | | | |
| kitchen facilities | 667 | 330 | 290 | 54 | 1,341 | 844 | 501 | 587 | 280 | 2,212 |
| Severely | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overcrowded - | | | | | | | | | | |
| With >1.51 | | | | | | | | | | |
| people per | | | | | | | | | | |
| room (and | | | | | | | | | | |
| complete | | | | | | | | | | |
| kitchen and | | | | | | | | | | |
| plumbing) | 236 | 296 | 283 | 99 | 914 | 23 | 79 | 115 | 44 | 261 |
| Overcrowded - | | | | | | | | | | |
| With 1.01-1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| people per | | | | | | | | | | |
| room (and none | | | | | | | | | | |
| of the above | | | | | | | | | | |
| problems) | 418 | 191 | 196 | 149 | 954 | 399 | 405 | 535 | 405 | 1,744 |
| Housing cost | | | | | | | | | | |
| burden greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| than 50% of | | | | | | | | | | |
| income (and | | | | | | | | | | |
| none of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| above | 15,55 | | | | 19,94 | 10,19 | | | | 18,13 |
| problems) | 5 | 3,877 | 466 | 50 | 8 | 5 | 4,765 | 2,615 | 558 | 3 |
| Housing cost | | | | | | | | | | |
| burden greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| than 30% of | | | | | | | | | | |
| income (and | | | | | | | | | | |
| none of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| above | | | | | 16,96 | | | | | 25,50 |
| problems) | 4,027 | 7,700 | 4,790 | 449 | 6 | 5,335 | 7,725 | 8,940 | 3,503 | 3 |

Consolidated Plan

| | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total |
| Zero/negative | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (and | | | | | | | | | | |
| none of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| above | | | | | | | | | | |
| problems) | 5,128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,128 | 2,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,750 |
| | Table 7 – Housing Problems Table | | | | | | | | | |

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Table 3. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Comments:

2. Housing Problems 2 (Households with one or more Severe Housing Problems: Lacks kitchen or complete plumbing, severe overcrowding, severe cost burden)

| | | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | >80- 100% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF | HOUSEH | OLDS | | I. | | | | | L | L |
| Having 1 or | | | | | | | | | | |
| more of | | | | | | | | | | |
| four | | | | | | | | | | |
| housing | | | | | | | | | | |
| problems | 16,875 | 4,679 | 1,229 | 347 | 23,130 | 11,490 | 5,725 | 3,843 | 1,294 | 22,352 |
| Having | | | | | | | | | | |
| none of | | | | | | | | | | |
| four | | | | | | | | | | |
| housing | | | | | | | | | | |
| problems | 12,330 | 16,780 | 18,720 | 8,155 | 55,985 | 12,645 | 32,405 | 56,350 | 34,265 | 135,665 |
| Household | | | | | | | | | | |
| has | | | | | | | | | | |
| negative | | | | | | | | | | |
| income, | | | | | | | | | | |
| but none | | | | | | | | | | |
| of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| other | | | | | | | | | | |
| housing | | | | | | | | | | |
| problems | 5,128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,128 | 2,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,750 |

Table 8 – Housing Problems 2

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Consolidated Plan

Data Source Comments:

Table 4. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

3. Cost Burden > 30%

| | | Re | enter | | Owner | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|---------|--------|--|
| | 0-30% | >30-50% | >50-80% | Total | 0-30% | >30-50% | >50-80% | Total | |
| | AMI | AMI | AMI | | AMI | AMI | AMI | | |
| NUMBER OF HO | NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | |
| Small Related | 7,750 | 2,846 | 1,850 | 12,446 | 1,682 | 2,619 | 3,884 | 8,185 | |
| Large Related | 60 | 451 | 193 | 704 | 276 | 664 | 751 | 1,691 | |
| Elderly | 49 | 324 | 189 | 562 | 531 | 1,021 | 1,466 | 3,018 | |
| Other | 1,817 | 3,122 | 2,011 | 6,950 | 1,380 | 903 | 1,539 | 3,822 | |
| Total need by | 9,676 | 6,743 | 4,243 | 20,662 | 3,869 | 5,207 | 7,640 | 16,716 | |
| income | | | | | | | | | |

Table 9 – Cost Burden > 30%

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source

Comments:

Table 7. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

4. Cost Burden > 50%

| | | Rei | nter | | Owner | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| | 0-30% | >30-50% | >50- | Total | 0-30% | >30-50% | >50-80% | Total |
| | AMI | AMI | 80% | | AMI | AMI | AMI | |
| | | | AMI | | | | | |
| NUMBER OF HO | USEHOLDS | | | | | | | |
| Small Related | 11,100 | 3,330 | 230 | 14,660 | 6,090 | 3,145 | 2,445 | 11,680 |
| Large Related | 1,505 | 155 | 40 | 1,700 | 905 | 430 | 170 | 1,505 |
| Elderly | 3,110 | 1,175 | 160 | 4,445 | 5,340 | 3,215 | 1,690 | 10,245 |
| Other | 13,140 | 2,870 | 365 | 16,375 | 4,460 | 2,010 | 1,060 | 7,530 |
| Total need by | 28,855 | 7,530 | 795 | 37,180 | 16,795 | 8,800 | 5,365 | 30,960 |
| income | | | | | | | | |

Table 10 – Cost Burden > 50%

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments:

Table 7. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

5. Crowding (More than one person per room)

| | | Renter | | | | | | Owner | | |
|---------------------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|-----|------|-------|------|-------|
| | 0- | >30- | >50- | >80- | Total | 0- | >30- | >50- | >80- | Total |
| | 30% | 50% | 80% | 100% | | 30% | 50% | 80% | 100% | |
| | AMI | AMI | AMI | AMI | | AMI | AMI | AMI | AMI | |
| NUMBER OF HOUSE | HOLDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Single family | | | | | | | | | | |
| households | 589 | 238 | 394 | 212 | 1,433 | 268 | 238 | 394 | 212 | 1,112 |
| Multiple, unrelated | | | | | | | | | | |
| family households | 59 | 169 | 35 | 0 | 263 | 142 | 217 | 270 | 216 | 845 |
| Other, non-family | | | | | | | | | | |
| households | 29 | 90 | 50 | 35 | 204 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 50 |
| Total need by | 677 | 497 | 479 | 247 | 1,900 | 440 | 455 | 684 | 428 | 2,007 |
| income | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 11 – Crowding Information – 1/2

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source Comments:

Table 10. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

| | | Re | nter | | Owner | | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30- 50% AMI | >50- 80% AMI | Total |
| Households | | | | | | | | |
| with Children | | | | | | | | |
| Present | 6,216 | 3,658 | 3,807 | 13,681 | 1,455 | 2,853 | 5,605 | 9,913 |

Table 12 – Crowding Information – 2/2

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source Comments:

Table 13. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Describe the number and type of single person households in need of housing assistance.

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data indicates that there are 83,731 Non-Family Non-Elderly owner- and renter-occupied households in the State. Non Elderly Non Family households can include a single person household or a household that contains more than one unrelated persons. The U.S. Census is predicting that this family type will continue to increase as couples defer marriage or other formal arrangement. Non Family-Non Elderly households include 41,781 owner-occupied households and 41,950 renter-occupied households. While the 2007-2011 CHAS NCS data does not provide a detailed breakdown of the number of single-family households that are in need of housing assistance, it would be acceptable to assume that approximately 16% or 6,684 owner-occupied, single person households and 1.98% or 830 renter-occupied, single person households have one or more

Consolidated Plan

housing problems. (The housing problem rate applied to single-family households is consistent with the overall rate of housing problems for owner-occupied and renter-occupied households.)

Estimate the number and type of families in need of housing assistance who are disabled or victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking.

According to the 2013 American Community Survey Estimates, 338,900 individuals or 18.6% of all ages living in West Virginia, reported one or more disabilities. The data also reflected that nearly one third, 32.6%, of the population 65 to 74 years old is living with a disability. Of those 75 years and older, that raises to 54.1%. Based on data provided by the West Virginia Coalition Against Domestic Violence 16,132 persons received services by their fourteen Statewide locations during fiscal year 2013-2014. Of those, 1,193 received shelter services while 14,921 received non-shelter services. During that same time period 13,557 adults and 2,575 children received services.

What are the most common housing problems?

Cost burden (where residents pay more than 30% of their annual income on housing costs) is the most common housing problem in the State. The cost burden problem affects both renter and owner households. The cost burden problem for all households in the Housing Needs Summary Table ranges from a low of 62% to a high of 93% across all income groups. Eighty-three thousand, five hundred fifty (83,550) households or approximately 18% of the State's 443,175 households pay more than 30% of their annual income for housing. While cost burden housing problem is the primary housing problem for almost all income groups, approximately 57% or 46,930 small family owner- and renter-households experience cost burden.

Substandard housing, severe overcrowding and zero/negative income represent a less significant housing problem for all income groups. The percentage of owner and renter households in the four income groups that suffer from substandard housing ranges from 5% to 6%. The percentage of owner and renter households that suffer from severe overcrowding ranges from 0.91 to 18%. The percentage of owner and renter households in the four income groups that suffer from overcrowded housing conditions ranges from 0.91% to 12%. The percentage of owner and renter households that suffer from 3% to 7%. While these percentages would appear to be significant, the total number of households affected by any of the other 3 housing problems is approximately 15,304 or approximately 3% of the total number of households. The margin of error for such small household groups would effectively reduce the total number of households that experience non-cost burden, housing problems.

Cost burden is the primary problem for both owner and renter, low-income rural households in West Virginia. Housing projects and programs, as well as the efforts of other agencies in the rural counties should address the cost burden issue.

Are any populations/household types more affected than others by these problems?

Renters and extremely low-income households are much more likely to have housing problems than homeowners and higher income groups. Rental households are much more likely to suffer from substandard housing. Low to moderate-income single family renter households are more likely to be overcrowded or doubled up.

Describe the characteristics and needs of Low-income individuals and families with children (especially extremely low-income) who are currently housed but are at imminent risk of either residing in shelters or becoming unsheltered 91.205(c)/91.305(c)). Also discuss the needs of formerly homeless families and individuals who are receiving rapid re-housing assistance and are nearing the termination of that assistance

Individuals with an imminent risk of residing in shelters or becoming unsheltered typically have a combination of financial factors present; lack of a living wage job; rent consuming more than 30% of their income; and high child care, medical, or transportation costs. The State will continue to provide funding for the Homelessness Prevention Program to address the need of residents who are currently housed but are at imminent risk of becoming unsheltered. The State will continue to collaborate with the Continuums of Care to address the needs of the most vulnerable in the State.

If a jurisdiction provides estimates of the at-risk population(s), it should also include a description of the operational definition of the at-risk group and the methodology used to generate the estimates:

It is difficult to estimate the total numbers of individuals and families at imminent risk of becoming homeless. However, there are a number of situations where an individual or family can be considered precariously housed at at imminent risk of homelessness. These situations include imminent eviction, very low income and very high rent burdens, substandard housing, overcrowded conditions, and a recent episode of homelessness.

Specify particular housing characteristics that have been linked with instability and an increased risk of homelessness

Characteristics linked with instability and an increased risk of homelessness include poverty, high housing costs, diminished housing stock, domestic violence, unstable employment, unemployment, untreated mental illness and the co-occurrence of substance use and untreated mental illness.

Discussion

The 2007-2011 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) Non-Consortia Counties (NCC) or 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that 95,665 households (54,700 owner households and 40,965 renter households) or that 22% of the 443,175 of the households in the forty-four (44) rural counties of West

Consolidated Plan

Virginia that are covered under the State HOME Program have 1 or more housing problems. These housing problems range from lack of complete kitchen or bathroom facilities, overcrowding (more that 1.0 person per room) or have a cost burden (more than 30% of their income is spent on housing).

Two hundred fifty-three thousand and eleven (253,011) small and large families or approximately 57% of the 443,175 households have incomes that are equal to or less than 80% of the Household Area Median Income. One hundred five thousand, five hundred eighteen thousand (105,518) of all households, both renter and owner, or nearly 24% have a cost burden of either greater than 30% or between 30%-50%. Seventeen percent (17%) of these households are elderly households.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

NA-15 Disproportionately Greater Need: Housing Problems - 91.305 (b)(2)

Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole.

Introduction

This section of the Plan examines housing problems by racial and ethnic group by income in order to determine whether specific racial and ethnic groups experience a disproportionate share of housing problems within a specific income group. A disproportionately greater need occurs when members of a racial or ethnic group within a specified income group experiences housing problems at a rate greater than 10% of the whole income group. For example, if the <50% HAMFI income group experienced housing problems at a rate of 45%, then any specific racial or ethnic group that experienced housing problems at a rate in excess of 55% would be considered to have a disproportionately greater need based on housing problems.

A household is identified as having a housing problem if they have any 1 or more of these 4 problems:

- 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities;
- 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities;
- 3. Overcrowded (more than 1.0 but less than 1.5 persons per room)
- 4. Cost Burden (Household pays more than 30% of HAMFI for housing costs.)

The percentages were derived by dividing the number of households with one of the four housing problems by the total number of households in the income group and each racial or ethnic group. The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data was used to identify any racial or ethnic groups that have a disproportionately greater need with respect to housing problems.

0%-30% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 37,670 | 15,615 | 7,878 |
| White | 35,125 | 14,680 | 7,277 |
| Black / African American | 1,628 | 568 | 282 |
| Asian | 128 | 74 | 88 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 90 | 10 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 218 | 54 | 174 |
| Other | 430 | 230 | 10 |

Table 13 - Disproportionally Greater Need 0 - 30% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source Comments:

*The four housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

30%-50% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 25,835 | 33,800 | 0 |
| White | 23,950 | 32,510 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 602 | 609 | 0 |
| Asian | 93 | 50 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 82 | 157 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 293 | 214 | 0 |
| Other | 325 | 259 | 0 |

Table 14 - Disproportionally Greater Need 30 - 50% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 1. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four housing problems are:

Consolidated Plan

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

50%-80% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 18,823 | 61,325 | 0 |
| White | 17,738 | 59,140 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 518 | 1,262 | 0 |
| Asian | 89 | 82 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 22 | 104 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 22 | 104 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 287 | 257 | 0 |
| Other | 149 | 426 | 0 |

Table 15 - Disproportionally Greater Need 50 - 80% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 1. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

80%-100% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 5,589 | 38,465 | 0 |
| White | 5,274 | 37,100 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 132 | 617 | 0 |
| Asian | 63 | 156 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 4 | 131 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 33 | 172 | 0 |
| Other | 89 | 273 | 0 |

Table 16 - Disproportionally Greater Need 80 - 100% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Consolidated Plan

Data Source Comments: Table 1. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

Discussion

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data indicated that Asian households in the <30% HAMFI, >30%<50% HAMFI, >50%<80% HAMFI and >80%<100% HAMFI income groups and Black/African American households in >30%<50% HAMF and >50%<80% HAMF income groups experience a disproportionately greater number of housing problems than White, American Indian-Alaska Native, Pacific Islander and Hispanic households.

The percentage of housing problems for Asian households by these income groups was: <30% HAMFI (90%); >30% <50% HAMFI (65%), >50% <80% HAMFI (55%) and >80% <100% HAMFI (29%). The percentage of housing problems by specific income group was: <30% HAMFI (62%); >30% <50% HAMFI (43%), >50% <80% HAMFI (23%) and >80% <100% HAMFI (13%). In each of these income groups the percentage of Hispanic households with housing problems exceeds the income group percentage for housing problems by more than 10%.

The percentage of housing problems for Hispanic households for these income group was: >30% <50% HAMFI (58%) and >50% <80% HAMFI (53%). The percentage of housing problems by specific income group was: <30% HAMFI (62%); >30% <50% HAMFI (43%), >50% <80% HAMFI (23%) and >80% <100% HAMFI. In each of these income groups the percentage of Hispanic households with problems exceeds the income group percentage for housing problems by more than 10%.

A closer review of the data revealed that the households with severe housing problems represented a relatively small portion of the total number of households in each income group. The disproportionately greater need for certain Asian and Hispanic households is within the margin of error and there is adequate evidence that the small number of households in the income group is responsible for the significant deviation from the overall income group percentage.

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data indicates that White households (424,723) and Black households (9,208) have a similar percentage of housing problems in each income group. It should be noted that Black households represent the only other significant racial or ethnic group in the geographic area.

There does not appear to be significant disproportionately greater need based on housing problems for any racial or ethnic group in the 44 rural counties of the State. This does not discount the fact that certain census tracts or block groups in these rural counties may demonstrate a disproportionately greater need based on housing problems.

NA-20 Disproportionately Greater Need: Severe Housing Problems – 91.305(b)(2)

Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole.

Introduction

0%-30% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 28,365 | 24,975 | 7,878 |
| White | 26,155 | 23,675 | 7,277 |
| Black / African American | 1,389 | 807 | 282 |
| Asian | 114 | 83 | 88 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 81 | 18 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 214 | 62 | 174 |
| Other | 412 | 319 | 54 |

Table 17 – Severe Housing Problems 0 - 30% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 2. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4.Cost Burden over 50%

30%-50% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 10,404 | 49,185 | 0 |
| White | 9,595 | 35,345 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 420 | 992 | 0 |
| Asian | 54 | 74 | 0 |

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 28 | 92 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 213 | 311 | 0 |
| Other | 135 | 324 | 231 |

Table 18 – Severe Housing Problems 30 - 50% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 2. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4.Cost Burden over 50%

50%-80% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 5,072 | 75,070 | 0 |
| White | 4,818 | 56,195 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 133 | 710 | 0 |
| Asian | 38 | 41 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 8 | 88 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 74 | 197 | 0 |
| Other | 12 | 566 | 0 |

Table 19 – Severe Housing Problems 50 - 80% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 2. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4.Cost Burden over 50%

80%-100% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 1,641 | 42,420 | 0 |
| White | 1,588 | 40,795 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 24 | 723 | 0 |
| Asian | 24 | 195 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 0 | 135 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 4 | 202 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 357 | 0 |

Table 20 – Severe Housing Problems 80 - 100% AMI

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 2. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4.Cost Burden over 50%

Discussion

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

NA-25 Disproportionately Greater Need: Housing Cost Burdens – 91.305 (b)(2)

Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole.

Introduction

This section of the Plan examines housing problems by racial and ethnic group in order to determine whether specific racial and ethnic groups experience a disproportionate greater need based on cost burden. A disproportionately greater need occurs when members of a racial or ethnic group experiences cost burden at a greater rate (10% or more) than the jurisdiction. For example, if the jurisdiction experienced cost burden at a rate of 30%, then any specific racial or ethnic group that experienced cost burden at a rate in excess of 45% would be considered to have a disproportionately greater need based on cost burden.

HUD has established that a household should not pay more than 30% of its annual income for housing costs. Households that pay more than 30% but less than 50% of their annual income are considered to have a cost burden. Households that pay more than 50% of their annual income are considered to have a **severe** cost burden.

The percentages were derived by dividing the number of households with cost burden by the total number of households in each racial or ethnic group. The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data was used to identify any racial or ethnic groups that have a disproportionately greater need with respect to cost burden.

| Housing Cost Burden | <=30% | 30-50% | >50% | No / negative income (not computed) |
|--------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 344,821 | 47,977 | 39,429 | 8,254 |
| White | 334,380 | 45,695 | 36,835 | 7,591 |
| Black / African American | 6,006 | 1,463 | 1,817 | 317 |
| Asian | 1,433 | 202 | 197 | 88 |
| American Indian, Alaska | | | | |
| Native | 1,115 | 151 | 176 | 78 |
| Pacific Islander | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 1,787 | 466 | 404 | 174 |

Housing Cost Burden

Table 21 – Greater Need: Housing Cost Burdens AMI

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source Comments: Table 1. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Discussion

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data did not identify any racial or ethnic groups that cost burden that exceeded 10% of the cost burden for all households in the jurisdiction. Black households had a severe cost burden of 19% while the all racial and ethnic groups had a cost burden of only 9%. While the cost burden for Black households did not exceed the 10% range allowed by HUD, the Plan should take this group into consideration in the development housing programs.

A review of the data revealed that Black, Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Pacific Islanders and Hispanic households with represented a relatively small portion of the total number of households in each income group. While the data for these particular households is well within the margin of error, there is adequate evidence that these households share the same overall cost burden as White households.

There is no significant disproportionately greater cost burden or severe cost burden on for any racial or ethnic group in the State of West Virginia.

NA-30 Disproportionately Greater Need: Discussion – 91.305 (b)(2)

Are there any Income categories in which a racial or ethnic group has disproportionately greater need than the needs of that income category as a whole?

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data confirmed that some racial or ethnic groups had a greater need with respect to housing problems (1 to 4 housing problems), severe housing problems (1 to 4 severe housing problems) or cost burden (housing cost greater than 30% but less than 50% of household income) than the needs of the respective income groups (<30%, >30% but less than 50%, >50% but less than 80%, and >80% but less than 100% of HAMFI) or population groups as a whole, however the difference between the percentage of the racial or ethnic groups was almost always less than the 10% range allowed under the consolidated plan rules. In most instances, the difference was within the margin of error for the data or the population group represented a very small portion of the overall population group.

If they have needs not identified above, what are those needs?

The fact that 131,785 households or approximately 30% of the households in most counties have incomes that are less than 80% HAMFI can be characterized as a significant need. The lack of disposable income means that households are unable to finance the repair, maintenance and upkeep of their housing units. The lack of income in most areas can be attributed the decline in the general economies of most rural West Virginia counties. The lack of income and employment opportunities represents a significant problem for rural West Virginia.

Are any of those racial or ethnic groups located in specific areas or neighborhoods in your community?

The minority household groups, such a Black, American Indian-Alaska Native, Asian, Pacific Islander and Hispanic households, are largely concentrated in Fayette, Raleigh, Mason and Mercer Counties. Smaller concentrations of these households can be found in Greenbrier, Marion and Mingo Counties. The largest concentrations of minority household groups are located in the 11 HOME Consortia counties. In most rural West Virginia counties, the White households represent 97% of the county households.

NA-35 Public Housing – (Optional)

Introduction

Public housing authorities are an important component of the state affordable rental housing delivery system. The thirty-six (36) public housing authorities provide 21,701 units of subsidized, rental housing through 6,532 public housing units and 15,169 tenant vouchers/certificates for low and moderate income households throughout the state. Public Housing Authorities (PHA) offer services to individuals and families including rehabilitation and construction of rental residential housing (multi-family) and family self-sufficiency programs.

The local HUD Office has indicated that no public housing authority has been listed in "troubled" status. Most public housing authorities within the State have either a standard or high performing assessment status. The WVHDF will continue to work with the local HUD Office and monitor the status of the public housing authorities.

The WVHDF does not own or operate any public housing and has no responsibilities related to the monitoring of activities of the Public Housing Authorities across the State, therefore, it is not qualified to address the revitalization needs of public housing in the State. However, based on visits by WVHDF Field Representatives to public housing sites across the state and contact with managers and residents, the State believes a portion of the public housing is in need of rehabilitation and modernization due to the age of structures and lack of adequate building maintenance. The State also believes that Public Housing Authorities across the State are generally competent and capable. The State supports their efforts to revitalize and improve their developments.

Although the WVHDF does not own or operate public housing, it opposes the privatization of public housing because of the severe shortage of quality affordable subsidized housing units. The WVHDF believes that qualified public housing tenants could become home owners, and the WVHDF continues to encourage eligible and qualified public housing tenants to become owners of single family detached housing through the available State administered HOME homeownership programs. This effort will continue as a component of the WVHDF housing strategy as this method not only assists a family or individual in becoming a home owner, but also makes a subsidized public housing unit available for another family, who may have a much lower income.

The shortage of new, additional public housing units and Section 8 vouchers/certificates contribute to the level of homelessness across the State. The State opposes public housing improvement programs that reduce the number of permanently available assisted housing units.

Totals in Use

| Program Type | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------------|-----------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| | Certificate | Mod- | Public | blic Vouchers | | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Speci | al Purpose Voi | ucher | |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * | |
| # of units vouchers in use | 0 | 170 | 6,067 | 13,220 | 78 | 12,686 | 116 | 123 | 185 | |

 Table 22 - Public Housing by Program Type

*includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Characteristics of Residents

| | Program Type | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Certificate | Certificate Mod- Public Vouchers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Special Purp | ose Voucher | | | | | |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | | | | | |
| # Homeless at admission | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| # of Elderly Program Participants | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (>62) | 0 | 12 | 1,773 | 1,628 | 6 | 1,557 | 6 | 3 | | | | | |
| # of Disabled Families | 0 | 71 | 1,628 | 4,748 | 17 | 4,482 | 57 | 42 | | | | | |
| # of Families requesting accessibility | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| features | 0 | 170 | 6,067 | 13,220 | 78 | 12,686 | 116 | 123 | | | | | |
| # of HIV/AIDS program participants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| # of DV victims | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |

Table 23 – Characteristics of Public Housing Residents by Program Type

Consolidated Plan

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Race of Residents

| | | | | Program Type | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Race | Certificate | Mod- | Public | Vouchers | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Speci | al Purpose Vou | ıcher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| White | 0 | 144 | 4,706 | 11,546 | 30 | 11,136 | 76 | 96 | 180 |
| Black/African American | 0 | 25 | 1,340 | 1,598 | 48 | 1,475 | 39 | 27 | 5 |
| Asian | 0 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian/Alaska | | | | | | | | | |
| Native | 0 | 0 | 12 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *includes Non-Elderly Disable | d, Mainstream O | ne-Year, Mai | instream Five | e-year, and Nur | sing Home Trar | nsition | • | | |

Table 24 – Race of Public Housing Residents by Program Type

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Ethnicity of Residents

| | Program Type | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|--------------------------|--------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ethnicity | Certificate | Mod- | Public | Vouchers | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Total Project - Tenant - | | Speci | al Purpose Voi | ucher | | | | |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0 | 3 | 79 | 113 | 0 | 108 | 1 | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| Not Hispanic | 0 | 167 | 5,988 | 13,107 | 78 | 12,578 | 115 | 120 | 184 | | | | |

Consolidated Plan

*includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition

Table 25 – Ethnicity of Public Housing Residents by Program Type

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Section 504 Needs Assessment: Describe the needs of public housing tenants and applicants on the waiting list for accessible units:

What are the number and type of families on the waiting lists for public housing and section 8 tenant-based rental assistance? Based on the information above, and any other information available to the jurisdiction, what are the most immediate needs of residents of public housing and Housing Choice voucher holders?

How do these needs compare to the housing needs of the population at large

Discussion:

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

NA-40 Homeless Needs Assessment – 91.305(c)

Introduction:

As of January 2014, the State of West Virginia has more than 1592 individuals living in emergency shelters; including over 323 families with children. During this same time there were 430 individuals who were unsheltered. In recent years there has been progress made in reducing the number of homeless individuals and the number of homeless veterans. The State understands that in order to slow the increasing number of individuals living in emergency shelters, there must be a continuum of housing options and essential services to meet a wide variety of needs. This includes services and housing options for the chronically homeless and individuals with disabilities. The State of West Virginia has many effective programs that will continue to assist individuals and families experiencing homelessness and those at-risk of homelessness.

| Population | Estimate the # of persons experiencing homelessness on a given night | | Estimate the # experiencing homelessness each year | Estimate the # becoming homeless each year | Estimate the # exiting homelessness each year | Estimate the # of days persons experience homelessness |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Sheltered | Unsheltered | | | | |
| Persons in Households with Adult(s) | | | | | | |
| and Child(ren) | 408 | 177 | 1,640 | 660 | 705 | 60 |
| Persons in Households with Only | | | | | | |
| Children | 2 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 30 |
| Persons in Households with Only | | | | | | |
| Adults | 1,173 | 313 | 3,425 | 1,370 | 1,520 | 90 |
| Chronically Homeless Individuals | 279 | 145 | 700 | 280 | 355 | 75 |
| Chronically Homeless Families | 7 | 14 | 95 | 40 | 85 | 45 |
| Veterans | 303 | 30 | 375 | 150 | 215 | 45 |
| Unaccompanied Child | 2 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 30 |
| Persons with HIV | 7 | 1 | 60 | 20 | 40 | 60 |

Homeless Needs Assessment

Table 26 - Homeless Needs Assessment

Data Source Comments: The data used in the report is from HMIS data across all four continuums of care as well as yearly point in time data.

Indicate if the homeless population is: Partially Rural Homeless

Rural Homeless Needs Assessment

| Population | Estimate the # of persons experiencing homelessness on a given night | | Estimate the # experiencing homelessness each year | Estimate the # becoming homeless each year | Estimate the # exiting homelessness each year | Estimate the # of days persons experience homelessness |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Sheltered | Unsheltered | | | | |
| Persons in Households with Adult(s) | | | | | | |
| and Child(ren) | 98 | 343 | 1,378 | 554 | 592 | 50 |
| Persons in Households with Only | | | | | | |
| Children | 0 | 2 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 25 |
| Persons in Households with Only | | | | | | |
| Adults | 263 | 985 | 2,877 | 1,151 | 1,277 | 76 |
| Chronically Homeless Individuals | 122 | 234 | 588 | 235 | 298 | 63 |
| Chronically Homeless Families | 12 | 6 | 80 | 34 | 71 | 38 |
| Veterans | 25 | 255 | 315 | 126 | 181 | 38 |
| Unaccompanied Youth | 0 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 13 | 25 |
| Persons with HIV | 1 | 6 | 50 | 17 | 34 | 50 |

Table 27 - Homeless Needs Assessment

Data Source Comments: Th

The data used in the report is from HMIS data across all four continuums of care as well as yearly point in time data.

For persons in rural areas who are homeless or at risk of homelessness, describe the nature and extent of unsheltered and sheltered homelessness with the jurisdiction:

West Virginia is the second most rural state in the nation. Of those experiencing homelessness on any given night in the State of West Virginia many of those are in the rural areas of the state. Six of the nine emergency shelters funded with ESG are in rural areas of the state. Of those unsheltered individuals in rural areas are in extremely rural conditions and may lack transportation to or knowledge the closest emergency shelter. Of those at risk of homelessness they face multiple issues: lack of safe, affordable housing in their area, low wage jobs or unsteady financial security, and rising costs of utilities. Many folks in the rural areas who are at risk of homelessness are doubled up with family and/or friends and many rotate their housing from place to place staying with anyone who can share housing until they need to move to the next place.

If data is not available for the categories "number of persons becoming and exiting homelessness each year," and "number of days that persons experience homelessness," describe these categories for each homeless population type (including chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth):

Nature and Extent of Homelessness: (Optional)

| Race: | Sheltered: | | Unsheltered (optional) |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------------------|
| White | | 0 | 0 |
| Black or African American | | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian or Alaska | | | |
| Native | | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | | 0 | 0 |
| Ethnicity: | Sheltered: | | Unsheltered (optional) |
| Hispanic | | 0 | 0 |
| Not Hispanic | | 0 | 0 |

Data Source Comments:

Estimate the number and type of families in need of housing assistance for families with children and the families of veterans.

The estimated number of families with children in need of housing equals 600 households. The estimated number of families of veterans in need of housing is 350 households.

Describe the Nature and Extent of Homelessness by Racial and Ethnic Group.

The predominate number of persons experiencing homelessness in West Virginia are white, non-Hispanic.

Describe the Nature and Extent of Unsheltered and Sheltered Homelessness.

The availability of Rapid ReHousing and Homelessness Prevention services in the State of WV has been a key factor in keeping the rates of homelessness from increasing between 2013 and 2014. Both families and individual households have been served by these programs and any decrease in the number of households is likely directly related to the availability of these services. It is difficult to predict the future of homelessness in WV but the continued funding of existing emergency shelter, rapid rehousing, and homelessness prevention programs are working well for those who receive those services. We expect to see an increase in rapid rehousing services over the next five years.

Discussion:

NA-45 Non-Homeless Special Needs Assessment – 91.305 (b,d)

Introduction

The special needs population of the State of West Virginia consists of several groups of people: persons with disabilities, the elderly, elderly with disabilities, and those with HIV/AIDS. Disabilities are physical or mental health issues that substantially limit one or more life activities such as walking, talking, learning, or caring for oneself. These physical or mental health issues include hearing or vision difficulties, physical difficulties, cognitive or development difficulties, and mental illness. Some of the special needs population could have more than one disability, underemployment, unemployment, and often fixed incomes mean that the special needs population has a high proportion of poverty relative to the general population.

HOPWA

| Current HOPWA formula use: | |
|--|-------|
| Cumulative cases of AIDS reported | 1,824 |
| Area incidence of AIDS | 75 |
| Rate per population | 4 |
| Number of new cases prior year (3 years of data) | 164 |
| Rate per population (3 years of data) | 3 |
| Current HIV surveillance data: | |
| Number of Persons living with HIV (PLWH) | 1,676 |
| Area Prevalence (PLWH per population) | 93 |
| Number of new HIV cases reported last year | 0 |

Table 28 – HOPWA Data

Data Source: CDC HIV Surveillance

HIV Housing Need (HOPWA Grantees Only)

| Type of HOPWA Assistance | Estimates of Unmet Need |
|--|-------------------------|
| Tenant based rental assistance | 0 |
| Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility | 0 |
| Facility Based Housing (Permanent, short-term or | |
| transitional) | 0 |

Table 29 – HIV Housing Need

Data Source: HOPWA CAPER and HOPWA Beneficiary Verification Worksheet

Unmet HOPWA Need TBRA

89 households

Consolidated Plan

Unmet HOPWA Need STRMU

35 households

Unmet HOPWA Need Facilities

7 households

Describe the characteristics of special needs populations in your community:

Special needs populations include non-homeless elderly, physically and mentally disabled, persons with alcohol and/or drug addictions, persons with HIV/AIDS, and victims of domestic violence.

What are the housing and supportive service needs of these populations and how are these needs determined?

Families living in poverty spend a higher proportion of their household income on basic necessities. On an average these families have fewer assets (cars, house, and savings) to leverage during a crisis. While it is difficult to predict which families will become homeless, many that do become homeless most transition to permanent housing with little or no ongoing homeless services. These families may have established or re-established a support system that likely includes at least some mainstream resources. A smaller number of families, particularly those with disabilities, will require longer-term rental subsidies and support services. In addition both families at-risk and formerly homeless commonly need transportation; employment opportunities; affordable child care; and access to mental health and substance abuse services.

Persons with disabilitiles often have very low income with most of them only receiving Supplemental Security Income benefits. Finding housing for 30% of their income can sometimes be difficult. For people with disabilities the biggest obstacle to living in thier own housing is the scarcity of affordable units.

Individuals with physical disabilities require housing which is both affordable and adapted to their specific physical needs. There is a signifigant need for supportive services in addition to housing, such as assistance with daily life activities, in-home assistance, and socia services such as employment training, counseling, benefits advocacy, and independent living skills.

One of the barriers faced by those providing services to those who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless is in the rural areas of the state, where services are limited and other barriers such as transportation and lack of access to community services are challenging. The Office of Economic Opportunity will continue to work with each of the four Continuums of Care, as well as the Interagency Council on Homelessness, a council of government agencies responsible for coordinating a more effective inter-agency response to ending chronic homelessness. These agencies also partner with public and private housing providers to identify, improve and expand services to those areas of need. Needs were determined through the ongoing collaboration of multiple agencies as follows:

- The Office of Economic Opportunity coordinated all ESG funding decisions with the four CoCs in the State.
- All four CoCs utilize one statewide Homelessness Management Information System.
- All CoCs utilize a coordinated assessment system. The coordinated assessment assures all homeless persons are assessed by a common tool and receive appropriate services based on standards of care.
- West Virginia utilizes a SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) to train front line workers to improve success rates for initial determinations for the Social Security Income (SSI) applications.

Discuss the size and characteristics of the population with HIV/AIDS and their families within the Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area:

Persons living with HIV/AIDS in West Virginia experience many of the same challenges as other West Virginians, especially those with low incomes—difficulties finding affordable, good-quality housing, overcoming geographic barriers to access medical care, services, and employment, and limited employment opportunities in many areas.

Many people living with HIV/AIDS have low incomes and struggle to afford monthly rent and utility costs; for example, those beneficiaries served through the existing HOPWA funding had a median income of \$673 but paid a median \$335 per month for housing. Although public housing authorities provide several types of affordable housing, waiting lists are typically very long. Screening for criminal history, high utility costs, and a need for behavioral health support are other housing barriers for some people living with HIV/AIDS.

Discussion:

The State of West Virginia's objectives specific to meeting the needs of individuals with HIV/AIDS. OEO will address these needs through:

- Encouraging the inclusion of special needs units in large non-special needs projects
- Assisting individuals with HIV/AIDS to obtain and/or maintain safe, affordable housing utilizing HOPWA funds.

Each year the formula funding amount allocated by HUD will be used to provide the backbone of the statewide HOPWA program. The HOPWA program provides rental assistance and supportive service programs to low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. These programs include permanent housing, short and long-term rental assistance, utility assistance, mortgage assistance and various supportive services to stabilize housing. The HOPWA programs work with program participants

Consolidated Plan

to stabilize their housing and support their transition to affordable permanent housing. Stabilization activities address the program participant's physical, mental and emotional needs.

In addition to structure barriers encountered by persons living with HIV/AIDS and tier families, which are a shortage of affordable housing in the State, and insufficient funds to increase the supply, there are a number of other obstacles to meeting their needs. Most program participants live on a fixed income and face eviction, foreclosure, or termination of utilities due to nonpayment of these expenses. Another major impediment for the persons living with HIV/AIDS trying to maintain their housing is the lack of easy access to supportive services, if not provided in connection to their housing. The geographic area of the state and the limited, if any, access to public transportation means that services can be hundreds of miles apart.

The HOPWA program will give low-income persons with HIV/AIDS, and their families, short and long term financial assistance to promote access to and retention of affordable stable housing throughout the State of West Virginia. There are three housing-specific programs available in the State: Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Permanent Supportive Housing, Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU).

The HOPWA supportive services help low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families improve their access to housing, financial independence, obtain and retain employment, access to health care, and enhance their quality of life. The program provides supportive services in permanent housing as well as the other housing opportunities offered. Supportive services are also provided when housing assistance is not given. The objective of the HOPWA supportive services programs are to provide follow-up and complimentary services for those program participants seeking housing and those already in housing. The goal is to create a stable living environment to increase the likelihood of the program participant maintaining stable affordable housing.

NA-50 Non-Housing Community Development Needs - 91.315 (f)

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Facilities:

The WVDO supports community development efforts to assist low- to moderate-income citizens to achieve an improved quality of life by support locally development strategies to protect, maintain, and expand access to facilities and services; and to revitalize deteriorating downtown and residential neighborhood areas.

Through a comprehensive approach to community and economic development, the WVDO addresses critical public facility needs.

The Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) grant program is an economic and community development program, that is administered by WVDO, that seeks to create opportunities for sustainable economic development and improved quality of life across the state. Highest priority for ARC funding goes to ARC-designated distressed counties. Areas of funding include: Workforce Training and Development, Revolving Loan Funds, Health Care, Community Planning, Infrastructure, Economic Development, and Technology.

How were these needs determined?

Recognizing that the issues of growth and development transcend governmental boundaries, and the importance of regional cooperation, the WVDO maintains a partnership with the State's Regional Planning and Development Councils. The Regional Councils coordinate resources to:

- 1. Achieve the objectives and policies necessary for the orderly growth and development of the State.
- 2. Facilitate intergovernmental cooperation.
- 3. Designate areas of emphasis and pursue public purposes.

Each Regional Council provides direct input about community conditions and needs to the WVDO, State Data Center, on an annual basis, through a Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) plan. The CEDS plans are based upon input received on a regional basis concerning issues including, but not limited to: Community Planning and Management, Community Revitalization, Economic Development, Public Facilities and Services, and Transportation.

Please see the attached table "Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs."

| Category/Subcategory | Estimated \$ of Improvement Needs | Percent of Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Community Planning and Management | | |
| Community Planning | 119,069,623 | 2.9 |
| Community Management | 14,900,000 | 0.4 |
| Subtotal | 133,969,623 | 3.2 |
| Community Revitalization | | |
| Commercial Area | 64,874,500 | 1.6 |
| Flood Control/Storm Drains | 77,323,609 | 1.9 |
| Street/Side-walks | 35,609,895 | 0.9 |
| General/Neighborhood Revitalization | 81,609,623 | 2.0 |
| Subtotal | 259,417,627 | 6.2 |
| Economic Development | | |
| Industrial Park/Site Development | 46,750,000 | 1.1 |
| Education | 77,738,000 | 1.9 |
| Workforce/Business Assistance | 8,150,000 | 0.2 |
| General Economic Development | 580,092,445 | 13.9 |
| Subtotal | 712,730,445 | 17.1 |
| Public Facilities and Services | | |
| Water | 454,174,645 | 10.9 |
| Sewer | 805,560,458 | 19.3 |
| General Infrastructure | 38,345,401 | 0.9 |
| Recreation | 46,889,508 | 1.1 |
| Public Safety Including ADA | 12,396,263 | 0.3 |
| Health Care | 0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,357,366,275 | 32.5 |
| Public Facilities and Services | | 16.00 |
| General Transportation | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| GRAND TO TAL | 4,174,664,119.85 | 100.00 |

Source: Individual RPDC's - most current WV EDA CED'S annual updates - WV State Data Center December 2013

Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Improvements:

WVDO supports local government efforts to provide affordable infrastructure systems to strengthen the foundations for economic growth and alleviate conditions that affect environmental quality, public health, or welfare. Investment in public infrastructure lasts for generations and provides the foundation for community development and economic growth. Inadequate public infrastructure, such as water and wastewater systems, can pose serious health and environmental risks, and these risks cannot be easily addressed in low- to moderate-income areas. Projects in this category are identified as a high priority for the CDBG program.

On a regional basis, CEDS plans incorporate long-term planning for public infrastructure by addressing projects on a priority basis. Regional priorities are submitted to the WVDO, State Data Center, on an annual basis and are provided in the attached table.

How were these needs determined?

Please see the attached table "Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs." This table is a consolidation of all regional CEDS plans submitted to the WVDO, State Data Center. Each regional plan was formed through consultation within the region.

Consolidated Plan

| Category/Subcategory | Estimated \$ of Improvement Needs | Percent of Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Community Planning and Management | | |
| Community Planning | 119,069,623 | 2.9 |
| Community Management | 14,900,000 | 0.4 |
| Subtotal | 133,969,623 | 3.2 |
| Community Revitalization | | |
| Commercial Area | 64,874,500 | 1.6 |
| Flood Control/Storm Drains | 77,323,609 | 1.9 |
| Street/Side-walks | 35,609,895 | 0.9 |
| General/Neighborhood Revitalization | 81,609,623 | 2.0 |
| Subtotal | 259,417,627 | 6.2 |
| Economic Development | | |
| Industrial Park/Site Development | 46,750,000 | 1.1 |
| Education | 77,738,000 | 1.9 |
| Workforce/Business Assistance | 8,150,000 | 0.2 |
| General Economic Development | 580,092,445 | 13.9 |
| Subtotal | 712,730,445 | 17.1 |
| Public Facilities and Services | | |
| Water | 454,174,645 | 10.9 |
| Sewer | 805,560,458 | 19.3 |
| General Infrastructure | 38,345,401 | 0.9 |
| Recreation | 46,889,508 | 1.1 |
| Public Safety Including ADA | 12,396,263 | 0.3 |
| Health Care | 0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,357,366,275 | 32.5 |
| Public Facilities and Services | | 16.00 |
| General Transportation | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| GRAND TO TAL | 4,174,664,119.85 | 100.00 |

Source: Individual RPDC's - most current WV EDA CED'S annual updates - WV State Data Center December 2013

Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Services:

Public Services are detailed in the regional CEDS plans submitted on an annual basis to the WVDO, State Data Center. Areas of emphasis include recreation, public safety and accessibility, health care, transportation and related public services.

How were these needs determined?

Please see the attached table "Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs." This table is a consolidation of all regional CEDS plans submitted to the WVDO, State Data Center. Each regional plan was formed through consultation within the region.

In addition to CDBG and ARC, Main Street West Virginia is a component of the State's comprehensive approach to community and economic development.

Main Street programs are required to meet annual certification through the National Criteria for Recognition as Main Street communities to receive technical assistance from the State Program. These services include: Program manager and board member training, Operational and strategic plan recommendations, professional design assistance, educational workshops for business and retailers,

Consolidated Plan

organizations and volunteers, annual assessment, On-site technical assistance, resource library of information, networking with hundreds of other Main Street communities throughout the United States.

Communities selected as ON TRAC participants will receive the following during the two-year participation period: Training on the Main Street Four Point Approach which includes organization, promotion, design and economic restructuring, action-planning services, an assessment of community strengths and needs, telephone consultation, access to library databases and resources, such as e-clips, videos and articles, scholarship and grant information, access to a library of databases and resources, such as e-clips, videos and articles, technical design visits, training workshops, and mentoring from certified Main Street communities.

| Category/Subcategory | Estimated \$ of Improvement Needs | Percent of Total |
|--|--|------------------|
| Community Planning and Management | | |
| Community Planning | 119,069,623 | 2.9 |
| Community Management | 14,900,000 | 0.4 |
| Subtotal | 133,969,623 | 3.2 |
| Community Revitalization | | |
| Commercial Area | 64,874,500 | 1.6 |
| Flood Control/Storm Drains | 77,323,609 | 1.9 |
| Street/Side-walks | 35,609,895 | 0.9 |
| General/Neighborhood Revitalization | 81,609,623 | 2.0 |
| Subtotal | 259,417,627 | 6.2 |
| Economic Development | • (a a) a• | |
| Industrial Park/Site Development | 46,750,000 | 1.1 |
| Education | 77,738,000 | 1.9 |
| Workforce/Business Assistance | 8,150,000 | 0.2 |
| General Economic Development | 580,092,445 | 13.9 |
| Subtotal | 712,730,445 | 17.1 |
| Public Facilities and Services | | |
| Water | 454,174,645 | 10.9 |
| Sewer | 805,560,458 | 19.3 |
| General Infrastructure | 38,345,401 | 0.9 |
| Recreation | 46,889,508 | 1.1 |
| Public Safety Including ADA | 12,396,263 | 0.3 |
| Health Care | 0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,357,366,275 | 32.5 |
| Public Facilities and Services | 1 0.0 V. S. O. | |
| General Transportation | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| Subtotal | 1,711,180,150 | 41.0 |
| GRAND TO TAL | 4,174,664,119.85 | 100.00 |

Source: Individual RPDC's - most current WV EDA CED'S annual updates - WV State Data Center December 2013

Estimated Summary of Regional Development Needs

Housing Market Analysis

MA-05 Overview

Housing Market Analysis Overview:

The purpose of the Housing Market Analysis is to identify barriers to affordable housing, and to determine if available housing stock sufficient to meet the need. In addition to identifying the type, number and condition of existing housing units, the State is also responsible for the development of housing programs and strategies that will address the jurisdictions housing problems.

The State is in a position to provide the financial assistance needed to address housing problems and develop new housing through the Small Cities Block Grant (SCBG) Program, Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program, Housing Opportunities for Persons with Aids (HOWPA) and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME). The financial assistance available under these programs is available in all 55 counties of the State with the exception of the HOME Program. The HOME Program, which is administered by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund, only provides financial assistance in 44 of the State's 55 counties. The HOME Program does not provide financial assistance the following counties: Brooke, Berkeley, Cabell, Hancock, Jefferson, Morgan, Kanawha, Marshall, Ohio, Wayne and Wood. Each of these counties is a member of one of the five HOME Consortia and receives a direct allocation of HOME funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

This Market Analysis primarily focuses on the housing needs of the 44 counties that are covered under the HOME Program. These 44 counties represent an area of 20,640 square miles or 86% of the entire state and contain 1,153,002 persons or 62% of the State's population. These 44 counties contain a total of 443,175 households. Three hundred thirty-four thousand, nine hundred fifty-five (334,855) or 75% of these households are owner-occupied households, while 108,320 or 25% of these households are renter-occupied households. The residents of the State continue to demonstrate a preference for homeownership over rental housing.

The data that was used to prepare the Market Analysis is a revised version of the 2007-2011 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data set (County Level Data) provided to HUD by the U.S. Census. The 2007-2001 CHAS data set was revised by deleting the statistics for the 11 HOME Consortia Counties. The revised housing data set was named the 2007-2011 CHAS Non-Consortia Counties (NCC) data set and is listed as an alternated data source in the Consolidated Plan. The West Virginia Housing Development Fund (WVHDF) will make the 2007-2011 CHAS NCC available to agencies and organizations involved in the development of low-income housing.

It is important to note that the market conditions and housing conditions vary in the 44 rural counties. The housing conditions and needs in the southern West Virginia counties, several of which are experiencing economic problems, differ significantly from the housing conditions and needs in the

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)
central portion of State, which is the center of natural gas development. Specific county housing data will be generated from the 2007-2011 CHAS NCC. to identify market conditions.

The tables in the Market Analysis demonstrate that low-income owner- and renter-occupied households are currently spending more than 30 percent of household area median family income for housing. The fact that these households experience cost burden and severe cost burden limit their options with respect to affordable housing.

MA-10 Number of Housing Units – 91.310(a) Introduction

The State has approximately 505,601. housing units. One hundred thousand, four hundred four (100,404) of these units are listed as vacant. Vacant units include: For Rent/Rented Not Occupied Units, For Sale/Sold Not Occupied Units, Seasonal Units and Migrant Worker Units. Three hundred thirty-four thousand, nine hundred fifteen (334,855) or 75% of these housing units are owner-occupied households and 108,320 or 25% of these housing units are renter-occupied households. The average size of owner-occupied households is 2.43 persons while the average size of renter-occupied households is 2.18 persons. Two hundred seventy-eight thousand, nine hundred forty five thousand (278,945) or 80% of the 344,915 owner- households occupy single-family detached dwellings. Sixteen and half percent (16.5%) of owner-households occupy Other Structures. The U.S. Census defines Other Structures as mobile homes, boats, RVs and other non-conventional housing units.

All residential properties by number of units

| Property Type | Number | % |
|---------------------------------|---------|------|
| 1-unit detached structure | 344,915 | 68% |
| 1-unit, attached structure | 5,826 | 1% |
| 2-4 units | 20,939 | 4% |
| 5-19 units | 22,363 | 4% |
| 20 or more units | 11,154 | 2% |
| Mobile Home, boat, RV, van, etc | 100,404 | 20% |
| Total | 505,601 | 100% |

Table 30 – Residential Properties by Unit Number

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: The 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) was supplemented with 2011 Census Information.

Unit Size by Tenure

| | Owners | | Rente | rs |
|--------------------|----------|------|---------|------|
| | Number % | | Number | % |
| No bedroom | 747 | 0% | 9,063 | 6% |
| 1 bedroom | 17,409 | 4% | 62,495 | 41% |
| 2 bedrooms | 200,841 | 45% | 126,950 | 82% |
| 3 or more bedrooms | 676,043 | 151% | 109,101 | 72% |
| Total | 895,040 | 200% | 307,609 | 201% |

Table 31 – Unit Size by Tenure

Consolidated Plan

Describe the number and targeting (income level/type of family served) of units assisted with federal, state, and local programs.

There are a total of 5,912 Project Based Section 8 subsidized units in the forty-four non-consortia counties in the State of West Virginia, which represent 119 projects. These projects serve tenants who are considered low-income (at or below 80% AMI), very low-income (at or below 50% AMI), and extremely low-income (at or below 30% AMI). These units and projects represent:

- One project with 8 units serving persons with chronic mental illness/disabilities
- Forty-three projects with a total of 3,068 units serving elderly tenants
- Three projects with a total of 383 units serving family and elderly tenants
- Seventy-two projects with a total of 2,453 units service family tenants

There are a total of 6,472 Low-Income Housing Tax Credit units in the forty-four non-consortia counties in the State of West Virginia, which represent 206 projects. These projects serve tenants who are at or below 60% AMI.

There are a total of 921 HOME assisted units in the forty-four non-consortia counties in the State of West Virginia, which represent 169 projects. These projects received assistance through the HOME CHDO or HOMErent Programs, and they serve tenants who are at or below 80% AMI. In addition, there are 1,072 single family units throughout the State that have received assistance through the NewHOME Program. These single family units serve families who are at or below 80% AMI.

Provide an assessment of units expected to be lost from the affordable housing inventory for any reason, such as expiration of Section 8 contracts.

The State expects to see a loss of public housing vouchers as a result of federal budget cuts mandated under the sequestration requirements. The issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers, which are portable, also poses a retention problem for public housing authorities.

While some public housing authorities have limited the acceptance of applications for vouchers, specially targeted vouchers such as those for Victims of Domestic Violence and VASH Vet Vouchers (for chronically homeless veterans) are still available. However, these vouchers are limited in number and tied to specific populations based upon referrals from other agencies.

The public housing authorities in the rural counties have extensive waiting lists. Since the waiting lists are usually closed to new applicants, there are additional households that cannot be factored into the

waiting list total. The reduction of contract authority and reduction of administrative fees will make it difficult for rural housing authorities to meet the need and demand for rental assistance.

Does the availability of housing units meet the needs of the population?

The available housing units do not meet the needs of the State's population. The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that 48,527 the State's owner- and renter-occupied households (30,761 owner-occupied households and 17,766 renter-occupied households) have a cost burden greater than 30% of HAMFI. It also indicates that 39,935 of the State's owner- and renter-occupied households (19,118 owner-occupied households and 20,817 renter-occupied households) have a cost burden greater than 50% of HAMFI. Eighty-eight thousand, four hundred sixty-two households (88,462) experience cost burden as their primary housing problem.

Ninety-five thousand, six hundred five (95,605) owner- and renter-occupied households have 1 of the four housing problems and 44,974 owner- and renter-occupied households have severe housing problems. These 140,579 owner- and renter occupied households represent 32% of the State's 443,175 renter- and owner occupied households.

The lack of affordable housing has an adverse impact on the =>30% HAMFI, >30% <=50% HAMFI and >50% =<80% HAMFI owner-occupied households and renter-occupied households. The group that has most significant need for affordable housing is the Small Family (2-5 persons) group which needs 33,928 affordable housing units. The Non-Elderly Non-Family (single person household or unrelated persons) group needs 26,440 affordable housing units. The other family groups (Elderly, Large Family and Elderly Non-Family) need 6,897, 4802 and 15,802 affordable housing units. The total affordable housing need for these family groups is 83,547 units.

In addition to the need for affordable housing, the State also needs to address is the age of the housing stock. Two hundred six thousand, two hundred forty-two (206,242) or 62% of the owner-occupied housing units and 59,684 or 55% of the renter-occupied housing units were built prior to 1980. While some of these units have been remodeled over the years, many of these units need to repaired or rehabilitated to meet current life, safety codes and eliminate the lead paint hazard to children under 6 years of age. The cost of this work is beyond the financial capacity of most low-income households.

The shift of the population from the more rural counties of the State toward the larger rural counties and the Consortia counties will create a demand for affordable housing in those specific housing markets. The decline of rural county economies and loss of employment opportunities will present a challenge for federal, State and local agencies.

Describe the need for specific types of housing:

Consolidated Plan

Affordable housing for low-income and extremely low-income households is needed because market rents often translate into high housing costs burden for all low-income families. Special-Needs handicapped accessible housing assistance continues to be needed, especially for the elderly and physically disabled population. Affordable housing for Small Families, Non-Elderly and Non-Family Non-Elderly households is a consistent need throughout the State. The Non-Elderly Non-Family group, which represents single households and unrelated persons who are living together, has increased over the past decade. This is family group is one that the U.S. Census expects to grow in the future as people delay marriage.

Discussion

The State of West Virginia will continue to finance the preservation and expansion of the supply of affordable housing units for all low-income housing groups. Although some affordable housing units may be lost over the next 5 years, 355 affordable units are expected to be added over the next 5 years through the HOME Program. The WV LIHTC Program will also add affordable housing during the same time period however many of those projects may be located in HOME Consortia counties. Other organizations and agencies at the County and local level, including non-profit organizations will play an integral part in the preservation of existing affordable housing and the development of new affordable housing.

MA-15 Cost of Housing - 91.310(a)

Introduction

There are a total of 505,601 housing units in the State of West Virginia. According to the 2007-2011 CHAS NCC data, 334,855 units are owner occupied housing units and 108,320 units are renter-occupied housing units. Approximately 98,000 units are listed as unconventional housing such as mobile homes, boats, RV's, and vans.

House values in the State have fluctuated in recent years. While the State was not particularly hard hit by the collapse of the housing market in 2008, foreclosures, short sales and the economic decline in the coal industry have had an adverse impact on property values in many counties. Although units are available in most market areas, the simultaneous economic downturn and the change in federal lending regulations have made it more difficult for low-income families to acquire available properties. The rapid expansion of the natural gas industry in central West Virginia and the influx of workers associated with natural gas industry have resulted in the loss of affordable housing opportunities for low-income families in that portion of the State. In both instances, very-low income and low-income families have experienced problems securing safe, sanitary and affordable housing.

The housing market has been somewhat stabilized through federal initiatives , such as the HARP, offered through the private and public sectors throughout the community. These initiatives have allowed units to become and remain more affordable. However, there are many units that are in need of significant rehabilitation, which can increase the cost of these units. Cost burden will continue to be the largest housing problem for many households.

Cost of Housing

| | Base Year: 2000 | Most Recent Year: 2011 | % Change |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------|
| Median Home Value | 66,000 | 96,500 | 46% |
| Median Contract Rent | 311 | 422 | 36% |

Table 32 – Cost of Housing

Data Source: 2000 Census (Base Year), 2007-2011 ACS (Most Recent Year)

| Rent Paid | Number | % |
|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Less than \$500 | 78,016 | 72.0% |
| \$500-999 | 27,498 | 25.4% |
| \$1,000-1,499 | 2,157 | 2.0% |

Consolidated Plan

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

| Rent Paid | Number | % | | | |
|----------------------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| \$1,500-1,999 | 485 | 0.5% | | | |
| \$2,000 or more | 163 | 0.2% | | | |
| Total | 108,319 | 100.0% | | | |
| Table 33 - Rent Paid | | | | | |

.

Alternate Data Source Name: 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: U.S. Census. 2013 ACS data was used to calculate the Rent Paid Table.

Housing Affordability

| % Units affordable to Households earning | Renter | Owner |
|---|---------|---------|
| 30% HAMFI | 34,530 | No Data |
| 50% HAMFI | 35,027 | 69,945 |
| 80% HAMFI | 33,540 | 165,725 |
| 100% HAMFI | No Data | 229,340 |
| Total | 103,097 | 465,010 |

Alternate Data Source Name:

Table 34 – Housing Affordability

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Source Comments:



Figure 3. % of WV Households paying 30% or More of Household Income on Housing Costs

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

Monthly Rent

| Monthly Rent (\$) | Efficiency (no bedroom) | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fair Market Rent | 502 | 505 | 666 | 829 | 890 |
| High HOME Rent | 502 | 505 | 666 | 829 | 890 |
| Low HOME Rent | 473 | 505 | 608 | 703 | 785 |

Table 35 – Monthly Rent

Data Source Comments:

Raleigh County Section 8 and HOME Rents were selected as a sample of Section 8 Rents, High HOME Rents and Low HOME Rents.

Is there sufficient housing for households at all income levels?

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that there are 443,175 occupied housing units in the State of West Virginia. Seventy-five percent (75%) or 334,915 of these units are owner-occupied households and 25% or 108,350 of these units are renter-occupied households. The median housing value is \$96,500 and the median rent ranges from a low of \$616 in McDowell County to a high of \$1014 in Monongalia County. The housing value and median rent vary throughout the State. The housing value and rent in the more rural counties, such as McDowell and Logan, is significantly lower than the housing value and rent in Harrison, Marion and Monongalia County area. The median housing value was obtained from the West Virginia Statewide Housing Needs Assessment. The median rent is based on Section 8 Fair Market Rent.

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that there are 236,919 owner-occupied units that are affordable to households with incomes that are less than or equal to 80% HAMFI. A closer review of these owner occupied units indicates that 161,473 units would be affordable to a household at 50% HAMFI and 75,446 units would be affordable to a household at 80% HAMFI. It should be noted that HUD has determined an affordable housing unit is one where the payment does not exceed 30% of a household's annual income.

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC also indicates that there are 101,805 renter occupied units that are affordable to households with incomes that are less than or equal to 80% HAMFI. A closer review of these rental units indicates that 33,790 units would be affordable to a household at 30% HAMFI; 34,685 units would be affordable to a household at 50% HAMFI and 33,330 units would be affordable to a household at 80% HAMFI. It should be noted that HUD has determined an affordable housing unit is one where the rent does not exceed 30% of a household's annual income.

While the 2007-2011 CHAS NCC shows that there is an adequate number of affordable owner- and renter occupied housing units, it is not an accurate indication of the condition of affordable housing. The data also indicates that 54,663 owner-occupied households had one of the four housing problems and 22,187 owner-occupied households had severe housing problems. The data also shows that 40,942 renter-occupied households had one of the four housing problems and 22,787 owner-occupied

Consolidated Plan

households had severe housing problems. These households with problems represent 140,549 ownerand renter households. The data also indicates that the incomes of 198,924 households are at or below 80% HAMFI. The data does not reflect the fact that many of affordable units do not meet the minimum property standards. The data also does not reflect the lack mortgage credit for low-income homebuyers due to the restrictions imposed under federal lending regulations. Low-income families are often unable to meet the stringent credit and other underwriting guidelines.

How is affordability of housing likely to change considering changes to home values and/or rents?

The affordability of housing is unlikely to change considering changes to home values and/or rents in the near future. While there will be increases in home values and/or rents in certain geographic areas such as central West Virginia, these increases will be offset by decreases in home values and/or rents in those more rural areas where economic conditions have begun to decline. The State must develop programs and projects that will provide housing financial assistance in both of these geographic areas. Programs must be designed to optimize the impact of other State and federal resources.

How do HOME rents / Fair Market Rent compare to Area Median Rent? How might this impact your strategy to produce or preserve affordable housing?

Information from the National Low Income Housing coalition states that, in West Virginia, the average Fair Market Rent (FMR) for a two bedroom apartment is \$665. In order to afford this level of rent and utilities, without paying more than 30 percent of income on housing, a household must earn \$2,218 monthly or \$26,617 annually. Assuming a 40-hour work week, 52 weeks per year; this level of income translates into a Housing Wage of \$12.80.

In West Virginia, a minimum wage worker earns an hourly wage of \$7.25. In order to afford the FMR for a two-bedroom apartment, a minimum wage earner must work 71 hours per week, 52 weeks per year. Or, a household must include 1.8 minimum wage earner(s) working 40 hours a week year-round in order to make the two-bedroom FMR affordable.

The estimated mean (average) wage for a renter in West Virginia is \$10.10 an hour. In order to afford the FMR for two-bedroom apartment at this wage, a renter must work 51 hours per week, 52 weeks per year. Or, working 40 hours per week year-round, a household must include 1.3 worker(s) earning the mean renter wage in order to make the two-bedroom FMR affordable.

Monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments for an individual are \$721 in West Virginia. If SSI represents an individual's sole source of income, \$216 in monthly rent is affordable, while the FMR for a two-bedroom apartment is \$449.

Discussion

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that 48,527 the State's owner- and renter-occupied households (30,761 owner-occupied households and 17,766 renter-occupied households) have a cost burden greater than 30% of HAMFI. It also indicates that 39,935 of the State's owner- and renter-occupied households (19,118 owner-occupied households and 20,817 renter-occupied households) have a severe cost burden greater than 50% of HAMFI. Eighty-eight thousand, four hundred sixty-two households (88,462) experience cost burden as their primary housing problem. HUD considers a housing unit affordable if the household spends no more than 30% of its annual income on housing costs. If the household spends more than 30% of its income on housing costs, the household is considered to have a cost burden. Households with a cost burden have less financial resources to meet other basic needs (food, clothing, and transportation, medical and other living costs) less resources to properly maintain the housing structure, and are at greater risk for foreclosure and eviction.

The 88,462 owner- and renter-occupied households that experience a cost burden coupled with the 140,549 owner- and renter occupied households that have either one of the four housing problems or severe housing problems underscore the need to development housing programs that will address the needs of all income groups and family types in the State. Coordination of the delivery of housing programs with federal, State and local agencies and organizations that have the capacity to deliver programs in the rural areas of the State will be essential to success of all future programs.

MA-20 Condition of Housing – 91.310(a)

Introduction:

West Virginia's population reached 1,854,304 million in 2013, maintaining West Virginia's position as the 38th largest state in the country. Overall, only Ohio's growth rate was lower and slower than West Virginia's in comparison with that of the neighboring states as illustrated in Figure 1.

Roughly 51.3 percent of West Virginia's population or approximately 95,021 individuals live outside of the Metropolitan areas, in the primary target areas served by this Five Year Consolidated Plan. It is in these areas that West Virginia experiences its highest concentrations of aging homes and of housing units that lack complete indoor plumbing. These are also the areas that are less likely to have access to public water and sewer, telecommunications, and other critical community services such as health care and transportation.

The need to address both renter-occupied housing and owner-occupied housing in the 44 counties that represent the area covered under the State HOME Program has already been discussed in the previous sections of the Plan. The majority of units, 206,229 owner-occupied housing units (62%) and 59,684 (55%) renter-occupied housing units in West Virginia were built before 1980. These homes are more likely to need repairs or rehabilitation to provide safe, decent and affordable housing. Conditions of units may include with the lack of complete kitchen or plumbing facilities, more than one person per room, or having a cost burden greater than 30% of their household income. According to the Condition of Units chart below, 14% of owner-occupied units and 34% of renter-occupied units have at least one of the selected conditions. The increased need to repair, rehabilitate or replace rental housing units may be attributed to the lack of private owner investment, the lack of return on investment based on low rents and the number of non-conventional housing units (mobile homes) that are used as primary dwelling units.

Definitions

Substandard condition is defined as housing that does not meet local building, fire, health and safety codes. Substandard condition but suitable for rehabilitation is defined as housing that does not meet local building, fire, health and safety codes but is both financially and structurally feasible for rehabilitation. It may be financially unfeasible to rehabilitate a structure when the costs exceed 30-50% of the assessed or appraised value of the property. Rehabilitation poses a significant problem due to the fact that many of the State's housing units were built prior to 1978 and may contain lead-based paint. While the federal lead-based paint regulations provide levels of abatement based on rehabilitation costs, it is conceivable that the cost of abatement, coupled with the cost to affect the necessary repairs, may make it cost prohibitive to rehabilitate many single-family dwelling units.

Condition of Units

| Condition of Units | Owner- | Occupied | Renter-Occupied | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|------|--|
| | Number | % | Number | % | |
| With one selected Condition | 54,745 | 16% | 40,941 | 38% | |
| With two selected Conditions | 40,890 | 12% | 38,422 | 35% | |
| With three selected Conditions | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| With four selected Conditions | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| No selected Conditions | 248,220 | 72% | 28,957 | 27% | |
| Total | 343,855 | 100% | 108,320 | 100% | |

Table 36 - Condition of Units

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 1 & Table 8. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)



Figure 1. Annual Growth Rates for WV

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)



Figure 2. Number of WV Households with Substandard Conditions by Type

Year Unit Built

| Year Unit Built | Owner-Oc | cupied | Renter- | Occupied |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|----------|
| | Number | % | Number | % |
| 2000 or later | 28,046 | 8% | 8,798 | 8% |
| 1980-1999 | 90,575 | 26% | 30,284 | 28% |
| 1950-1979 | 139,900 | 41% | 40,843 | 38% |
| Before 1950 | 85,300 | 25% | 28,410 | 26% |
| Total | 343,821 | 100% | 108,335 | 100% |
| | Table 37 – Year | Unit Built | | |

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments:

Table 12 & 13. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) 2011 U.S. Census (Used to develop factors for determining % of units constructed per period.)

Risk of Lead-Based Paint Hazard

| Risk of Lead-Based Paint Hazard | Owner-Occupied | | Renter-Occupied | |
|---|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| | Number % Number | | % | |
| Total Number of Units Built Before 1980 | 225,200 | 65% | 69,253 | 64% |
| Housing Units build before 1980 with children present | 19,322 | 6% | 10,616 | 10% |

Table 38 – Risk of Lead-Based Paint

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Table 12 & 13. 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Vacant Units

| | Suitable for Rehabilitation | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Vacant Units | 5,540 | 8,316 | 13,856 |
| Abandoned Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REO Properties | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Abandoned REO Properties | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 39 - Vacant Units

Alternate Data Source Name:

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

Data Source Comments: Information on Abandoned Vacant Units, REO Properties and Abandoned REO Properties was not available.

Need for Owner and Rental Rehabilitation

The housing stock in West Virginia has very few housing units that have any of the following housing problems: lack of complete kitchen and bathroom facilities, overcrowding and negative income. The primary housing problem is cost burden and severe cost burden. Approximately 80,550 owner-occupants and renters spend more than 30% of the HAMFI on housing. This problem is aggravated by the fact that approximately 265,926 of the housing units were built prior to 1980. The lack of disposable income to repair and maintain these older housing units underscores the need to provide homeownership and rental rehabilitation programs.

Estimated Number of Housing Units Occupied by Low or Moderate Income Families with LBP Hazards

Two hundred six thousand, two hundred twenty-nine (206,229) owner occupied housing units and 59,684 renter occupied housing units in the State were built before 1980. Since there is a lack of specific information regarding the number of these units that may contain lead-based paint, it is acceptable to assume that approximately that 132,956 or at least 50% of these units contain lead-based paint hazards. Each of these units would be subject to the Lead Safe Housing Rule (LSHR) enforced by HUD under 24 Part 35. The abatement of lead based paint hazards with funds provided through HUD would represent a credible approach to addressing rural area housing problems.

Discussion:

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC indicates that 206,242 or 62% of the 334,915 owner-occupied households and 59,684 or 55% of the 108,350 renter-occupied households were built prior to 1980. These 265,926 owner- and renter occupied households represent 60% of the 443,175 occupied households in the State. The cost of abating lead based paint hazards will increase the cost of repair and rehabilitation programs and the State will need to consider cost effective measures to address the lead-based paint hazard in existing households.

Consolidated Plan

Housing costs reduce economic opportunities, access to jobs and services, and the ability of low- $\hat{A}\hat{A}^{\bullet}$ income households, including the elderly and persons with disabilities, to live in the communities and neighborhoods of their choice. The affordability gap between household incomes and cost of standard housing may promote the concentration of low- $\hat{A}\hat{A}^{\bullet}$ income households in older neighborhoods that have higher levels of substandard housing and overcrowding.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

MA-25 Public and Assisted Housing – (Optional)

Introduction:

Totals Number of Units

| | | | Р | rogram Type | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Certificate | Mod- | Public | | | v | ouchers | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project | Tenant - | Specia | l Purpose Vou | cher |
| | | | | | -based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| # of units vouchers | | | | | | | | | |
| available | 0 | 171 | 6,560 | 14,709 | 134 | 6,579 | 1,388 | 1,962 | 3,244 |
| # of accessible units | | | 49 | | | | | | |
| *includes Non-Elderly I | *includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition | | | | | | | | |

Table 40 – Total Number of Units by Program Type

PIC (PIH Information Center) e:

Describe the supply of public housing developments:

Describe the number and physical condition of public housing units in the jurisdiction, including those that are participating in an approved Public Housing Agency Plan:

Describe the Restoration and Revitalization Needs of public housing units in the jurisdiction:

Describe the public housing agency's strategy for improving the living environment of lowand moderate-income families residing in public housing:

Discussion:

Data Source:

MA-30 Homeless Facilities – 91.310(b)

Introduction

The jurisdiction shall provide a concise summary of the existing facilities and services (including a brief inventory) that assist homeless persons and families with children and subpopulations identified in Table 1A. These include outreach and assessment, emergency shelters and services, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, access to permanent housing, and activities to prevent low-income individuals and families with children (especially extremely low-income) from becoming homeless. The jurisdiction can use the optional Continuum of Care Housing Activity Chart and Service Activity Chart to meet this requirement.

Facilities Targeted to Homeless Persons

| | Emergency S | Emergency Shelter Beds | | Permanent Supportive Housing Beds | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Year Round Beds (Current & New) | Voucher / Seasonal / Overflow Beds | Current & New | Current & New | Under Development |
| Households with Adult(s) and | | Overnow Beds | | | |
| Child(ren) | 107 | 0 | 175 | 349 | 24 |
| Households with Only Adults | 911 | 133 | 202 | 335 | 21 |
| Chronically Homeless Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 17 |
| Veterans | 79 | 0 | 74 | 220 | 0 |
| Unaccompanied Youth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 41 - Facilities Targeted to Homeless Persons

Data Source Comments:

| Balance of State Continuum of Care Projects | - West Virginia |
|---|-----------------|
|---|-----------------|

| Project | Agency | туре | McK- Vent | Project Status | Tota Beds |
|------------------------|--|--|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| SAFE | SAFE | SHP | Y | 2007 | 124 |
| HOPE Housing | Mingo HA | SHP | Y | 2005 | 10 |
| HOPE | CNI | SHP | Y | 2005 | 32 |
| Opportunity House | Opportunity House | SHP | Y | 2005 | 10 |
| Westbrook Health | Westbrook Health | SHP | Y | 2005 | 6 |
| NCWVCAA Next Step | NCWVCAA | SHP | Y | 2005 | 8 |
| STEPS | Telamon | SHP | Y | 2005 | 20 |
| Women's Recovery | | | | | |
| House | Mid-Ohio Fellowship Home | SHP | Y | 2005 | 6 |
| Kennedy Center | Community Action of Southeastern WV | SHP | Y | 2006 | 16 |
| Preston Street | Community Action of Southeastern WV | SHP | Y | 2006 | 6 |
| Point of Hope | Prestera Center (Originally SW Community Action) | SHP | Y | 2005 | 12 |
| PERMANENT HOUSING | | | | | |
| Project | Agency | Type | McK- Vent | Project Status | Tota Beds |
| Caritas-Colligo | Caritas | SHP | Y | 2005 | 6 |
| Seren ity House | Opportunity House | SHP | Y | 2005 | 5 |
| Reintegration I | Worthington MHS | SHP | Y | 2005 | 14 |
| Reintegration II | Worthington MHS | SHP | Y | 2005 | 10 |
| Next Step Permanent | NCWVCAA | SHP | Y | 2005 | 9 |
| inen arep i en inenent | inter reas | S+C | 3.S | 100000 | 17 |
| Sheiter Plus Care | Parkersburg Housing Authority | PH | Y | 2004 | 33 |
| Simms Housing | Southwestern Community Action | SHP | Y | 2005 | 5 |
| 10 | | S+C | | | |
| Sheiter Plus Care | Clarksburg Housing Authority | PH | Y | 2005 | 24 |
| Reliable Housing | Telamon | SHP | Y | 2005 | 12 |
| Carewood | Housing Authority of Mingo County | SHP | Y | 2006 | 16 |
| HMIS | Contraction of the second state o | eren er en eren er | 417 | | 1000 |
| | | | McK- | Project | Tota |
| Project | Agency | Туре | Vent | Status | Beds |

Balance of State Continuum of Care Projects - WV

Describe mainstream services, such as health, mental health, and employment services to the extent those services are use to complement services targeted to homeless persons

All mainstream resources available to those experiencing homelessness in West Virginia are used to complement the services provided by WVDO, WVHDF and OEO. All three agencies work with other federal, state, and local funders and providers to provide a true continuum of services to the most vulnerable in our communities. ESG providers specifically meet their match requirements using other mainstream resources such as Department of Health and Human Resources emergency shelter funding, domestic violence providers use other resources to blend with those already in use by ESG in order to provide other services, TANF, Housing Choice Vouchers, and other obtaining other resources are always the goal of providers as it strengthens what they do.

List and describe services and facilities that meet the needs of homeless persons, particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth. If the services and facilities are listed on screen SP-40 Institutional Delivery Structure or screen MA-35 Special Needs Facilities and Services, describe how these facilities and services specifically address the needs of these populations.

The West Virginia Interagency Council on Homelessness (WVICH) was created to develop ongoing, specific program recommendations that address affordable housing, homelessness, those at-risk of homelessness, and special needs housing delivery and services. The WVICH is composed of members appointed by the Governor as well as at-large members representing various homelessness and housing providers across the State. The structure promotes collaboration among stakeholders and agencies and assists in developing and implementing strategies to improve access to services and mainstream resources.

The ESG program funds nine emergency shelters, thirteen domestic violence shelters, and eight Rapid ReHousing and/or Homelessness Prevention sites. WV reports a 28 percent reduction in the number of Veterans who are unsheltered on any given night from 2013 to 2014. This is due in part to a large influx of the Supportive Services for Veterans Families grant that is preventing many Veterans from ever becoming homeless and rapidly rehousing Veterans from the streets and shelters.

MA-35 Special Needs Facilities and Services – 91.310(c)

Introduction

As is evident through the continued utilization of the resources within the HOPWA program those persons living with HIV/AIDS need continued assistance for housing, utilities, and rental assistance. The State mandates that 60 percent of all HOPWA funds are used for housing related services. In addition, more than twice the amount of HOPWA funds allocated to the State of West Virginia are leveraged with other funds to truly meet the housing, medical, and supportive service needs of those West Virginians with HIV/AIDS.

HOPWA Assistance Baseline Table

| Type of HOWA Assistance | Number of Units Designated or Available for People with HIV/AIDS and their families |
|-------------------------|--|
| TBRA | 63 |
| PH in facilities | 3 |
| STRMU | 152 |
| ST or TH facilities | 0 |
| PH placement | 57 |

Table 42 – HOPWA Assistance Baseline

Data Source: HOPWA CAPER and HOPWA Beneficiary Verification Worksheet

To the extent information is available, describe the facilities and services that assist persons who are not homeless but who require supportive housing, and programs for ensuring that persons returning from mental and physical health institutions receive appropriate supportive housing

The State does not have this information.

Describe programs for ensuring that persons returning from mental and physical health institutions receive appropriate supportive housing

Specifically for the HOPWA program, HOPWA staff conducts outreach activities to many areas including hospitals, homeless shelters, and HIV clinics throughout the year. Information is provided to staff at various facilities and offers of discharge planning is provided. When HOPWA staff receive referrals of an individual being discharged from and institution or facility they begin working with those individuals and developing housing plans and other mainstream resources to be in place at time of discharge.

Specify the activities that the jurisdiction plans to undertake during the next year to address the housing and supportive services needs identified in accordance with 91.215(e) with

respect to persons who are not homeless but have other special needs. Link to one-year goals. 91.315(e)

Within West Virginia a variety of homeless services are provided which assist persons with special needs. In addition, a number of service providers are located in many areas of the state, but there are areas where services are available.

For entitlement/consortia grantees: Specify the activities that the jurisdiction plans to undertake during the next year to address the housing and supportive services needs identified in accordance with 91.215(e) with respect to persons who are not homeless but have other special needs. Link to one-year goals. (91.220(2))

Not applicable.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

MA-40 Barriers to Affordable Housing – 91.310(d)

Negative Effects of Public Policies on Affordable Housing and Residential Investment

The WVHDF and partner agencies have been active in the development of affordable housing for low and moderate income families since 1968 and will continue to work towards overcoming all barriers to affordable housing. The WVHDF and its partners have identified several barriers to affordable housing and initiated strategies to eliminate those barriers.

Each barrier is identified in section MA-40 and the strategy to remove the barrier is described in SP-55.

Rent Restrictions

The rent restrictions required in the HOME Program create a barrier in the development of affordable rental housing. Whenever HOME funds are used to assist with the development of affordable rental housing in combination with other sources of funds, the rent limitations, the lesser of the Fair Market Rent or High/Low Home Rent for the area, often make the project financially infeasible.

Predatory Lending

Predatory lending is a potential problem for many lower income seniors and first-time homeowners. The State recognizes the dangers of predatory lending and has taken steps to keep customers informed and educated on this subject. The topic of predatory lending will receive increased emphasis in homebuyer education courses required for all prospective homebuyers. The HOME Final Rule requires that mortgages and other financial assistance provided to low-income families must be affordable.

Homeownership Education

Lack of education about the home buying process poses another barrier to affordable housing. A lack of necessary knowledge regarding all of the different options, requirements and variables inherent in the home buying process can place a potential home buyer at risk for entering into a purchase that does not truly fit their individual budget or circumstances. Positive educational efforts can provide potential home buyers with the base of knowledge they need to make informed decisions and place them in a home that they can afford.

Consolidated Plan

Populations with Special Needs

Individuals with special needs (physical, mental, sensory, or developmental disabilities) face unique barriers to adequate housing and community services. There is no one size fits all approach that can adequately meet the needs of all individuals in this population. However, steps can be taken to increase the options available and unique approaches to this issue must be examined and attempted to give special needs individuals options in housing that can address their needs and provide a high quality of life.

Down payment and Closing Cost Assistance

Low and moderate income families are often unable to provide the down payment and closing costs required by lenders. While the family can meet their monthly housing and other costs, they are unable to set-aside the funds necessary to meet the down payment and closing cost requirements of many financial programs.

Community Opposition

A lack of knowledge regarding affordable housing can cause communities to oppose its development out of fear that is often unfounded. In addition, lack of land use planning and zoning could adversely affect affordable residential construction or rehabilitation by creating regulatory hurdles or obstructions that are inconsistent across jurisdictions and create difficulty for developers trying to navigate the different issues.

Cost of Affordable Housing

Many housing options in areas of West Virginia are too expensive and therefore are inaccessible to many low-income families. Due to higher levels of poverty and limited access to affordable mortgage credit, rural communities often struggle to meet the housing needs of many. Although overall housing costs are generally lower in some rural WV communities, lower incomes, and higher poverty rates make housing options unaffordable for many residents. In the aftermath of our recent economic crisis, the U.S. poverty rate was at its highest level since 1993 at 15.1 percent. The rural poverty rate is even higher at 16.5 percent.

In addition, the cost to develop and operate new affordable housing is also rising due to the cost of materials, labor, cost to purchase property, taxes, regulatory compliance etc. The cost of these items makes it difficult to construct and operate housing that can be sustained with the rent or purchase prices that can be afforded by the low and very low income individuals in the state.

Housing Quality

Poor housing quality is another barrier to affordable housing in many areas of the State. Units that are available are often in need of extensive repair or improvements to just meet basic health and safety levels. In the rural areas of the state this is much worse with nearly 6 percent of rural homes being either moderately or severely substandard, without hot water, or with leaking roofs, rodent problems or inadequate heating or plumbing systems. Poor housing quality contributes to significant health problems, including infections and chronic disease, injury and poor childhood development. Children living in substandard housing are more likely to develop serious illnesses like asthma and lead poisoning, negatively affecting their education.

When HOME funds are used for rehabilitation of these poor quality units it is found that the requirement that the units meet the strict standards such as the Uniform Physical Condition Standard (UPCS) often makes the unit ineligible for rehab using these funds because the cost for making those corrections makes the project unfeasible.

MA-45 Non-Housing Community Development Assets -91.315(f)

Introduction

Utilizing the 2007-2011 ACS Data, below is a representation of West Virginia's major employment sectors, economic factors that impacted the State's job growth and how the skills, education and training initiatives will support the state's Consolidated Plan.

Economic Development Market Analysis

Business Activity

| Business by Sector | Number of Workers | Number of Jobs | Share of Workers % | Share of Jobs % | Jobs less workers % |
|---|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Agriculture, Mining, Oil & Gas Extraction | 31,621 | 32,098 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| Arts, Entertainment, Accommodations | 59,183 | 50,316 | 13 | 14 | 1 |
| Construction | 30,379 | 24,563 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Education and Health Care Services | 98,304 | 69,006 | 21 | 19 | -2 |
| Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate | 23,100 | 14,683 | 5 | 4 | -1 |
| Information | 9,245 | 6,339 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Manufacturing | 48,407 | 42,329 | 10 | 12 | 2 |
| Other Services | 18,706 | 14,770 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Professional, Scientific, Management Services | 30,461 | 19,192 | 7 | 5 | -2 |
| Public Administration | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail Trade | 76,670 | 61,785 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 17,159 | 14,415 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Wholesale Trade | 21,412 | 18,101 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Total | 464,647 | 367,597 | | | |

Table 43- Business Activity

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS (Workers), 2011 Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (Jobs)

Labor Force

| Table 44 - Labor Force | | |
|--|---------|--|
| Unemployment Rate for Ages 25-65 | 4.01 | |
| Unemployment Rate for Ages 16-24 | 20.66 | |
| Unemployment Rate | 7.40 | |
| Civilian Employed Population 16 years and over | 651,392 | |
| Total Population in the Civilian Labor Force | 703,474 | |

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

| Occupations by Sector | Number of People |
|--|------------------|
| Management, business and financial | 111,894 |
| Farming, fisheries and forestry occupations | 23,209 |
| Service | 75,744 |
| Sales and office | 158,918 |
| Construction, extraction, maintenance and | |
| repair | 88,685 |
| Production, transportation and material moving | 41,650 |

Table 45 – Occupations by Sector

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

Travel Time

| Travel Time | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
| < 30 Minutes | 395,969 | 64% |
| 30-59 Minutes | 159,240 | 26% |
| 60 or More Minutes | 62,045 | 10% |
| Total | 617,254 | 100% |

Table 46 - Travel Time

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

Education:

Educational Attainment by Employment Status (Population 16 and Older)

| Educational Attainment | In Labor Force | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Civilian Employed | Unemployed | Not in Labor Force |
| Less than high school graduate | 41,508 | 6,313 | 72,259 |
| High school graduate (includes | | | |
| equivalency) | 224,125 | 15,839 | 126,720 |
| Some college or Associate's degree | 155,936 | 9,012 | 57,330 |

| Educational Attainment | In Labor Force | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Civilian Employed | Unemployed | Not in Labor Force |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 127,984 | 3,526 | 23,008 |

Table 47 - Educational Attainment by Employment Status

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

Educational Attainment by Age

| | Age | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | 18–24 yrs | 25–34 yrs | 35–44 yrs | 45–65 yrs | 65+ yrs |
| Less than 9th grade | 2,387 | 3,330 | 6,415 | 24,235 | 42,728 |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 22,158 | 17,652 | 19,058 | 49,395 | 40,109 |
| High school graduate, GED, or | | | | | |
| alternative | 48,245 | 71,292 | 85,985 | 209,618 | 105,694 |
| Some college, no degree | 45,516 | 41,986 | 41,636 | 79,574 | 31,997 |
| Associate's degree | 5,310 | 14,314 | 17,513 | 27,740 | 6,201 |
| Bachelor's degree | 8,697 | 28,015 | 27,024 | 44,597 | 14,203 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 850 | 11,167 | 12,560 | 31,590 | 11,598 |

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

Table 48 - Educational Attainment by Age

Educational Attainment – Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months

| Educational Attainment | Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Less than high school graduate | 0 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 0 |
| Some college or Associate's degree | 0 |
| Bachelor's degree | 0 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 0 |

Table 49 – Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months

Data Source: 2007-2011 ACS

Based on the Business Activity table above, what are the major employment sectors within the state?

The health care and social assistance sector is the state's largest private sector employer followed by the retail trade; and accommodation and food services industries. Other major private sector employers include the manufacturing; construction; and mining sectors. Though not one of the largest employers in terms of numbers at present, the state's oil and natural gas industry – and its associated support industries – are among the state's fastest growing employment sectors. Government is the state's largest employer. Three sectors provide more than half of the 763,000 jobs in the state. They include

Consolidated Plan

total government (153,700), trade, transportation and utilities (135,300), and educational and health services (126,200).

Describe the workforce and infrastructure needs of business in the state.

The State's workforce development agency, WorkForce West Virginia, reports that growing workforce needs include prospective employees who have acquired formal vocational training/education focusing on trade-, manufacturing-, and natural resources mining-related jobs. Prospective employees who have acquired formal training and/or degrees related to STEM (Science, Technology, Education, Math) continue to be in high demand. Some of the state's fastest growing private sectors include those jobs in professional and business services such as legal services, management consulting and information technology; educational and health services; and leisure and hospitality.

The two most consistent infrastructure needs across the state are the improvement and expansion of existing water and sewer infrastructure projects and the improvement and expansion of broadband and wireless technology. Regarding the former, the Community Development Division of the West Virginia Development Office (WVDO) continues to fund water and sewer infrastructure projects available through the CDBG-Small Cities program. Regarding the latter, the State continues to work with service providers to expand wireless technology to unserved and underserved areas. To support this effort, the State established a Broadband Deployment Council in 2008. In 2015, the State legislature passed a bill creating a new Broadband Enhancement Council which has been tasked, in part, to "explore any and all ways to expand access to broadband services, including, but not limited to, middle mile, last mile and wireless applications," and to "explore the potential for increased use of broadband service for the purposes of education, career readiness, workforce preparation and alternative career training."

Describe any major changes that may have an economic impact, such as planned public or private sector investments or initiatives that have affected or may affect job and business growth opportunities during the planning period. Describe any needs for workforce development, business support or infrastructure these changes may create.

The Marcellus Shale natural gas industry has quickly become a significant influence on West Virginia's economy. This industry has seen its employment numbers grow by as much as 20 percent in recent years. Likewise, support activities of excavation, well surveying, and running and cutting casings has expanded as has the industry sector engaged in construction of oil and gas pipelines and storage tanks. Indications are that this upward trend should remain in the coming years.

The State has also capitalized on its proximity to major population centers in the eastern United States, and has attracted many large-scale distribution centers. These centers require large plots of flat, developable land near major transportation hubs, which are not always readily available due to the State's mountainous terrain, but remain in demand.

Similarly, the State's automotive and chemical industries remain relatively stable as companies discover West Virginia's low energy rates, lower taxes and loyal workforce. These positive factors outweigh any perceived disadvantages that may be attributed to topography.

While metropolitan hubs and larger cities and towns are part of the State's landscape, much of West Virginia includes rural counties and small towns. Low population density in many areas creates challenges and opportunities. Challenges include lack of adequate infrastructure and/or affordable housing, lack of economic investment, and smaller tax bases in more sparsely populated areas. However, opportunities include shorter commutes, lower cost of doing business, lower crime rates and higher rates of home ownership.

The State has undertaken several initiatives designed to encourage younger West Virginians to develop a vision for the State's future and participate in its workforce. The Promise Scholarship is available for students who achieve certain academic standards as an incentive to attend in-state colleges and universities. The My State, My Life campaign encourages students during their teen years to begin thinking about their future goals and how they can achieve those goals within the State.

The State's workforce is highly regarded for its loyalty, having one of the lowest turnover rates in the nation, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, 2013. The State's challenge, therefore, is not exclusive to the quality of its workforce, but is more directly tied to the quantity of its workforce.

How do the skills and education of the current workforce correspond to employment opportunities in the state?

This continues to be a challenge for the State. One primary example is found in the energy sector in the central and northern regions of West Virginia. Many employers recruit and hire workers who are already trained and skilled, as opposed to investing the time and money necessary to train less experienced individuals. As a result, many experienced workers are relocating to the state to work within the natural gas industry on a temporary basis. This population is more transient as specialized workers are needed to establish drilling operations as needed in various locations.

The WVDO and the West Virginia Housing Development Fund (WVHDF) continue to monitor this trend as it related to economic and community development and housing.

Another challenge exists in many of the state's border cities. Through training and educational opportunities, the workforce for such fields as education and health care is adequate but in-state employers have trouble competing with Virginia, Maryland and Ohio employers which may offer better wages and benefits to employees in the same field. However, diverse employment opportunities remain available throughout the state. The challenge remains to have the workforce formally trained and educated for many of the state's employment opportunities.

Describe current workforce training initiatives supported by the state. Describe how these efforts will support the state's Consolidated Plan.

The State's workforce development agency, WorkForce West Virginia, has initiated a regional-based system that establishes and implements business strategies relevant to various sectors of the state. WorkForce West Virginia is engaging directly with businesses and the state's community technical colleges, career and technical centers and the state higher education agency to develop more appropriate curriculums and training programs for jobs within each sector's area.

In June 2014, the U.S. Department of Labor awarded a \$6.1 million Job Driven National Emergency Grant to the state of West Virginia to provide job coaching, on-the-job training – with a focus on oil, gas and construction industries and re-employment and retraining services.

In June 2012, the state was awarded a \$1.8 million grant from the U.S. Department of Labor for providing re-employment and retraining services to the large number of coal miners impacted by mass layoffs and mine closures.

Numerous cooperative organizations, including the Chemical Alliance Zone, Polymer Alliance Zone, and Hardwood Alliance Zone, and Mid-Atlantic Aerospace Complex, represent and promote specific target industries and their locations as members of the West Virginia Economic Development Council (WVEDC). These organizations also coordinate workforce needs with local employers and work with community and technical colleges to develop training modules specifically related to these industries.

The WVDO, WVHDF and Office of Economic Opportunity will continue to monitor economic trends, demographic characteristics to ensure the most effective investment of limited funding through the programs discussed in the Consolidated Plan.

Describe any other state efforts to support economic growth.

The WVDO works closely with the state's 11 Regional Planning and Development Councils (RPDC) in the administration of the CDBG-Small Cities program. Recognizing that the issues of growth and development transcend the boundary lines of governmental units, and the importance of intergovernmental cooperation on a regional basis, the Regional Councils coordinate resources to: (1) achieve the objectives and policies necessary for the orderly growth and development of the state; (2) facilitate intergovernmental cooperation; and (3) designate regional areas of emphasis and pursue public purposes.

This regional approach to planning and development encourages efficient solutions to common issues. The Regional Councils encourage the most effective use of local, state, federal and private resources and funding in serving the state's residents. The WVDO CDBG staff also partners with numerous federal and state agencies, including but not limited to: West Virginia Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council, West Virginia Bureau of Public Health, West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and Appalachian Regional Commission.

Each activity undertaken by the WVDO, WVHDF and OEO is intended to improve services and opportunities in West Virginia that will provide a foundation for future economic growth.

Discussion

MA-50 Needs and Market Analysis Discussion

Are there areas where households with multiple housing problems are concentrated? (include a definition of "concentration")

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC Data indicates that 94,063 or 21% of the Owner-Renter Occupied households in the 44 rural counties covered under the HOME Program have one or more of the severe housing problems. Severe housing problems include: Substandard (lacking complete plumbing facilities); Overcrowding (more than 1.5 persons per room), Cost Burden > 30%, and Cost Burden 50%. (It should be noted that 1,902 Owner-Renter Households which contain more than 1 but less than 1.5 persons per room were excluded from the calculation of Severe Housing Problems.) Substandard Owner-Renter Occupied Households represented 4,621 or 4.91% of the total number of households with Severe Housing Problems. Thirty-Eight Thousand, Five Hundred Ninety-Five (38,595) or 41% of Owner-Renter Occupied households have a Cost Burden>30% and 47,380 or 50% of Owner-Renter Occupied households have a Cost Burden>50%. Cost Burden clearly represents the principal severe housing problem for Owner-Renter Occupied Households in rural West Virginia.

A concentration of households with multiple housing problems is defined as an area where the number of households that experience one or more of the severe housing problems (substandard housing, housing cost burden >30%, housing cost burden >50%, and more than 1.5 persons per room occupy the dwelling unit) exceeds the percentage of State households with severe housing problems by more than 10%. Therefore, any county or smaller jurisdiction where the percentage of households with severe housing problems exceeds 31% is considered a concentration of households with multiple housing problems.

The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC Data does not indicate that the percentage of Owner-Renter Occupied households in any of the rural counties exceeds 31%. The percentage of households that experience Severe Housing Problems for the top 10 counties includes: Randolph County (28%); Monongalia County (28%); Hampshire County (25%); Pocahontas County (25%); Mineral County (25%); Barbour County (24%); Tucker County (24%); Greenbrier County (23%); Mercer County (23%) and Roane County (23%). While none of these counties exceed the 31% threshold established in the definition for concentration of households with Severe Housing Problems, these counties represent 32,401 Owner-Renter Occupied households that experience Severe Housing Problems.

A review of the Cost Burden>30% and Cost Burden>50% data for these same 10 counties indicates the following cost burdent percentages: Randolph County (92%); Monongalia County (96%); Hampshire County (93%); Pocahontas County (96%); Mineral County (95%); Barbour County (96%); Tucker County (96%); Greenbrier County (96%); Mercer County (92%) and Roane County (85%). It should be noted that the average Cost Burden>30% and Cost Burden>50% for the 44 rural counties is only 68%. While Cost Burden represents only two of four factors used in the determination of the percentage of Severe Housing Problems, it is evident that housing programs and policies will need to focus on the reduction of the overall housing cost for low-income families in the rural counties of West Virginia.

Consolidated Plan

Are there any areas in the jurisdiction where racial or ethnic minorities or low-income families are concentrated? (include a definition of "concentration")

The racial or ethnic minority composition of West Virginia is relativley low when compared to surrounding states. The number African-American populations, in descending order by county, are as follows: Raleigh County (2,164), Mercer County (1,440), Monongalia County (813), McDowell County (804), Fayette County (769), Marion County (690), Greenbrier County (458), Mineral County (322), Harrison County (298), Mingo County (263) and Logan County (243). These counties contain 8,264 Black Owner-Renter Households. These 8,264 households represent 86% of the 9,627 Black Owner-Renter Households in the 44 rural counties covered under the West Virginia HOME Program. Two-Thousand, Nine Hundred Forty-Eight (2,948) or 36% of the low-income Black Owner-Renter households occupy housing that has one of the four housing problems.

The remaining 1,363 Black Owner-Renter Households are located in the 26 of the remaining 33 rural counties. It should be noted that seven of the rural counties have no Black Owner-Renter Households. It should also be noted that the 9,627 Black Owner-Renter Households, which represent the prinicpal racial and ethnic minority group in 44 rural county area, represent approximately 2.17% of the 443,223 Owner-Renter Households in the 44 rural county area.

Asian (1,925), American Indian-Alaskan Native (882), Pacific Islander (100), Hispanic (2,811) and Other (3,370) Owner-Renter Households represent 9,088 Owner-Renter Households. These other racial or ethnic minority groups collectively represent 2.04% of the Owner-Renter Households in the 44 rural county area.

Four-Hundred, Twenty-Four Thousand, Five Hundred Fifty Eight (424,508) or 95.78% of the 443,223 households represent White Owner-Renter Households.

The HUD definition of minority is a racial or ethnic group, members of which have been subjected to predjudice or cultural bias by virtue of belonging to the group, without regard to individual qualities. These racial and ethnic groups include but are not limited to:

Consolidated Plan

- 1. African Americans: Persons having origins in any of the African racial groups of Africa.
- 2. Hispanic Americans: All persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, South or Central American, Caribbean and other Spanish or Portuguese culture or origin.
- 3. Native Americans: Persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North America or the Hawian Islands, in particular, American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts and Native Hawaiins.
- Asian-Pacific Americans: Persons having origins in Japan, China, the Phillippines, Vietnam, Korea, Samoa, Guam, the U.S. Trust Territories of the Pacific, Northern Mariana, Laos, Cambodia, Taiwan and India.

HUD has historically used three different definitions or methods to identify an "area of concentration":

- 1. Any neighborhood where the percentage of all minorities is more than 50 percent;
- 2. Any neighborhood where the percentage of all minorities is at least 20 percent above the overall percentage for the jurisdication minority population percentage; or
- 3. An area where the percentage of a particular minority is at least 20 percent higher than the jurisdiction percentage.

What are the characteristics of the market in these areas/neighborhoods?

The median household incomes for racial and ethnic minority groups identifed as area of concentrations in the rural counties and the individual census designated places idenfied in a review of the 2007-2011 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates.

The characteristics of the low/moderate-income area described above are residential, older downtown districts and mixed land use properties. These areas contain most of the States older homes and commercial buildings. The majority of the housing in these communities was built prior to 1980. Most newer rental housing projects, such as LIHTC projects, have been concentrated in and around the primary urban areas or one of the 11 HOME Consortia Counties. Older rental housing complexes, such as those built under the USDA Rural Development 515 Program, in the 1970s and 1980s, need to be rehabilitated in order to preserve affordable housing that complies with current property requirements and energy standards. These rental units often represent the only affordable housing for low income families in the area.

Are there any community assets in these areas/neighborhoods?

Consolidated Plan

•

Community assets greatly vary among the local governments in these jurisdictions. Counties with larger towns have the population needed to warrant the ongoing operation of community centers and community services, such as public transportation and after-school care centers. As populations shift, local governments in more populated areas are able to justify and fund community development projects, such as the conversion of former schools to community centers or senior centers. In addition, many local governments undertake more strategic investments, instituting downtown revitalization districts or developing industrial parks and commercial buildings.

In more rural areas, however, declining populations present greater challenges when seeking the financial support needed for the development of community assets. Moreover, the geographic challenges of more sparcely populated areas do not support expansive community services. As a result, the focus of the CDGB program is to provide efficient use of limited federal funding, while balancing the needs of the state's rural population. Consideration is given to those areas with higher percentages of low and moderate income residents and the benefit to minority areas is part of the application review criteria. The minority populations in CDBG entitlement larger cities are served by the entitlement programs.

Applicants are required to submit a Community Development and Housing Needs Assessment as part of the application for CDBG funding. These components of the application, and the degree to which the application achieves state, regional, and local planning goals are part of the application review criteria; however, the CDBG program does not maintain official records or a database related to this information.

Are there other strategic opportunities in any of these areas?

The downtown business districts and older residential neighborhoods that surround those districts in the County Seats of each of the 44 rural counties that are covered under the Consolidated Plan represent a strategic asset that can be developed through the allocation of State, federal and local financial assistance. The population of the rural counties continues to migrate or move toward the County Seats, which represent the principal place of government, business and services. In some instances, the population of the more rural and less densely populated counties, such as Clay County (3,510 households) and Wirt County (2,325 households), are moving toward major urban areas such as Kanawaha County. (Kanawha County is one of the 11 Consortia counties.)

Applicants are required to submit a Community Development and Housing Needs Assessment as part of the application for CDBG funding. These components of the application, and the degree to which the application addresses public health, environmental, and economic development are part of the review criteria. CDBG funding is invested where possible in the development of infrastructure, laying the foundation for additional improvements.

Consolidated Plan

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)
MA-60 Broadband Needs of Housing occupied by Low- and Moderate-Income Households - 91.210(a)(4), 91.310(a)(2)

Describe the need for broadband wiring and connections for households, including low- and moderate-income households and neighborhoods.

Describe the need for increased competition by having more than one broadband Internet service provider serve the jurisdiction.

MA-65 Hazard Mitigation - 91.210(a)(5), 91.310(a)(3)

Describe the jurisdiction's increased natural hazard risks associated with climate change.

Describe the vulnerability to these risks of housing occupied by low- and moderate-income households based on an analysis of data, findings, and methods.

Strategic Plan

SP-05 Overview

Strategic Plan Overview

This plan is prepared as a collaborative effort of three agencies: The West Virginia Development Office is responsible for the Community Development Block Grant program, the West Virginia Housing Development Fund is responsible for the HOME Investment Partnerships Program, and the West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity is responsible for the Emergency Solutions Grant Program and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS programs.

The WVHDF has participated with and established an ongoing effort with the Housing Policy Group to forward goals and efforts to facilitate affordable housing in the State of West Virginia. This group is comprised of representatives of major housing advocates and stakeholders in the development, administration and management of affordable housing resources. The collaboration was designed to develop a comprehensive plan for affordable housing and responds to specific initiatives outlined therein. The WVHDF will continue to participate and work with the Housing Policy Group to facilitate efforts to accomplish goals consistent with the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and the housing framework created to achieve the same result.

The geographic area for the distribution of funds under Community Development Block Grant Program, Emergency Solutions Grant Program and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS under 2015-2019 Consolidated Action Plan is the entire State of West Virginia, excluding entitlement areas for CDBG.

The geographic area for the distribution of funds under the HOME Investment Partnerships Program is the entire state.

The geographic area for the distribution of funds under the Housing Trust Fund Program is the entire state.

SP-10 Geographic Priorities – 91.315(a)(1)

Geographic Area

Table 50 - Geographic Priority Areas

General Allocation Priorities

Describe the basis for allocating investments geographically within the jurisdiction (or within the EMSA for HOPWA)

The geographic area for the distribution of all of the programs included in this plan is the entire State of West Virginia.

A map of the current CDBG projects is attached below.



Active Projects Map

SP-25 Priority Needs – 91.315(a)(2)

Priority Needs

Table 51 – Priority Needs Summary

| 1 | Priority Need | ESG Need 1 |
|---|----------------|---|
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low |
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Public Housing Residents |
| | | Rural |
| | | Chronic Homelessness |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Mentally III |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Unaccompanied Youth |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | ESG ReHousing and Prevention Goal 1 |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness, shorten the length of homelessness to less than 30 days, and reduce the homelessness recidivism rate |

| | Basis for Relative Priority | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Priority Need Name | ESG need 2 |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low Large Families Families with Children Elderly Public Housing Residents Rural Chronic Homelessness Individuals Families with Children Mentally III Chronic Substance Abuse veterans Persons with HIV/AIDS Victims of Domestic Violence Unaccompanied Youth Elderly Frail Elderly Persons with Mental Disabilities Persons with Mental Disabilities Persons with Physical Disabilities Persons with Developmental Disabilities Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Associated Goals | ESG Shelter Goal 2 |
| | Description | Increase flexibility of funding to prevent homelessness and support Rapid Re-Housing for individuals and families |
| | Basis for Relative Priority | |

| 3 | Priority Need Name | ESG need 3 |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Associated Goals | |
| | Description | Increase statewide data collection and system coordination |
| | Basis for Relative Priority | |
| 4 | Priority Need Name | ESG need 4 |
| | Priority Level | Low |

| | Demulation | Extremely Levy |
|---|----------------|---|
| | Population | Extremely Low |
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Public Housing Residents |
| | | Rural |
| | | Chronic Homelessness |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Mentally III |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Unaccompanied Youth |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Retooling the homeless crisis response system |
| | | |
| | Basis for | |
| | Relative | |
| | Priority | |
| 5 | Priority Need | ESG need 5 |
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | Low |
| | | |

| | Population | Extremely Low |
|---|----------------|--|
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Rural |
| | | Chronic Homelessness |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Mentally III |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Unaccompanied Youth |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | |
| | Goals | |
| | | |
| | Description | Increase economic security for those experiencing homelessness or at-risk of |
| | | homelessness. |
| | Basis for | |
| | Relative | |
| | Priority | |
| 6 | Priority Need | ESG need 6 |
| | , Name | |
| | Priority Level | Low |
| | FILDING LEVEL | LUW |

| | Population | Extremely Low |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| | opulation | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Rural |
| | | Chronic Homelessness |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Mentally III |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Unaccompanied Youth |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Increase access to stable and affordable housing |
| | Basis for | |
| | Relative | |
| | Priority | |
| 7 | - | CDPC Drights 1 (Infractional Development) |
| | Priority Need Name | CDBG Priority 1 (Infrastructure Development) |
| | | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Non-housing Community Development |

| Geographic Areas Affected | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Associated Goals | Development of Public Infrastructure Local Community Development |
| Description | Consistent with HUD's three national objectives, CDBG funding may be granted to eligible units of local government for activities which fulfill one of the following objectives: |
| | (1) Activities benefiting low- and moderate-income persons; |
| | (2) Activities which aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight; |
| | (3) Activities designed to meet community development needs having a particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community where other financial resources are not available to meet such needs. |
| | In order to facilitate planned improvements to the quality of life and to strenthen and expand the economic base for all West Virginia citizens and communities, and consistent with HUD's national ojectives, CDBG funds may be granted to support local government efforts to provide affordable infrastructure systems to strengthen the foundations for economic growth and alleviate conditions that affect environmental quality, public health, or welfare. |
| | Note: Funds are used to develop viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than 70 percent of the aggregate of the federal Title I assistance distributed under this program design statement shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income in the non-entitlement areas of West Virginia. |

| Basis for Relative Each infrastructure project that received CDBG funding will assist low- to moderate-income citizens in achieving an improved quality of life through the development of clean, reliable water systems and wastewater systems. These projects have either improved the current infrastructure or extended services to previously unserved areas, and this continues to be a critical need in many areas of West Virginia. The investment of CDBG funds in water and wastewater systems provides residents in low- to moderate- income areas with the grant funds necessary to undertake critical infrastructure projects that often address serious health and environmental risks. It is evident that these threats would continue to diminish quality of life and economic stability without the investment of CDBG funds. The ongoing need for CDBG investment in infrastructure is evidenced by the large number of applications, as well as written correspondence from State residents. For these reasons, infrastructure development is designated as a high priority. 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|
| Priority development of clean, reliable water systems and wastewater systems. These projects have either improved the current infrastructure or extended services to previously unserved areas, and this continues to be a critical need in many areas of West Virginia. The investment of CDBG funds in water and wastewater systems provides residents in low- to moderate- income areas with the grant funds necessary to undertake critical infrastructure projects that often address serious health and environmental risks. It is evident that these threats would continue to diminish quality of life and economic stability without the investment of CDBG funds. The ongoing need for CDBG investment in infrastructure is evidenced by the large number of applications, as well as written correspondence from State residents. For these reasons, infrastructure development is designated as a high priority. 8 Priority Need Name Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention Development of Public Infrastructure | | Basis for | Each infrastructure project that received CDBG funding will assist low- to |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) 9 Priority Level Low 9 Priority Level Low 9 Startenely Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development 10 Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention Extremely Low Low | | Relative | moderate-income citizens in achieving an improved quality of life through the |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) 9 Priority Level Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development 6 Geographic Areas Affected Extremely Low Low Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | Priority | development of clean, reliable water systems and wastewater systems. These |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) 9 Priority Level Low 9 Population Extremely Low Low 6 Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | projects have either improved the current infrastructure or extended services to |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | previously unserved areas, and this continues to be a critical need in many areas of |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) 9 Priority Level Low 9 Population Extremely Low Low 9 Reographic Areas Extremely Low Low 1 Geographic Areas Extremely Low Low 1 Geographic Areas Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | West Virginia. The investment of CDBG funds in water and wastewater |
| 8 Priority Need Name Priority Level Low Priority Level Low Extremely Low Geographic Areas Extremely Low Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | systems provides residents in low- to moderate- income areas with the grant funds |
| Image: state of the image is a state of the ima | | | necessary to undertake critical infrastructure projects that often address serious |
| Image: series of a seri | | | health and environmental risks. It is evident that these threats would continue |
| Iarge number of applications, as well as written correspondence from State residents. For these reasons, infrastructure development is designated as a high priority. Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Affected Associated Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | to diminish quality of life and economic stability without the investment of CDBG |
| Image: second | | | funds. The ongoing need for CDBG investment in infrastructure is evidenced by the |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) 9 Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Geographic Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | large number of applications, as well as written correspondence from State |
| 8 Priority Need Name CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Areas Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | residents. For these reasons, infrastructure development is designated as a high |
| Priority Need CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) Name Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | priority. |
| Priority Level Low Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | 8 | Priority Need | CDBG Priority 2 (Facilities and Services) |
| Population Extremely Low Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Associated Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | Name | |
| Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Associated Goals Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | Priority Level | Low |
| Moderate Non-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Associated Goals Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | Population | Extremely Low |
| Mon-housing Community Development Geographic Areas Affected Associated Development of Public Infrastructure Job creation and retention | | | Low |
| Geographic Areas Affected Associated Goals Job creation and retention | | | Moderate |
| Areas Affected Associated Development of Public Infrastructure Goals Job creation and retention | | | Non-housing Community Development |
| Affected Associated Development of Public Infrastructure Goals Job creation and retention | | Geographic | |
| Associated GoalsDevelopment of Public InfrastructureJob creation and retention | | Areas | |
| Goals Job creation and retention | | Affected | |
| | | Associated | Development of Public Infrastructure |
| | | Goals | Job creation and retention |
| Local Community Development | 1 | | |
| Local community Development | | Guais | |

| | Description | In order to facilitate planned improvements to the quality of life and to strenthen and expand the economic base for all West Virginia citizens and communities, and consistent with HUD's national ojectives, CDBG funds may be granted to support local community development efforts to assist low- to moderate-income citizens to achieve an improved quality of life by supporting locally developed strategies to protect, maintain, and expand access to facilities and services; and to revitalize deteriorating downtown residential neighborhood areas. |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Note: Funds are used to develop viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than 70 percent of the aggregate of the federal Title I assistance distributed under this program design statement shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income in the non-entitlement areas of West Virginia. |
| | Basis for Relative Priority | Planned improvements remain an eligible activity; however, WVDO continues to focus and invest the State's CDBG funds into infrastructure. Infrastructure is the foundation for suitable living conditions and creates an environment conducive to future economic development. This remains a prevalent and persistent need throughout the State. |
| 9 | Priority Need Name | CDBG Priority 3 (Job Creation) |
| | Priority Level | Low |
| | Population | Low Moderate Non-housing Community Development |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Associated Goals | Development of Public Infrastructure Local Community Development |

| | Description | In order to facilitate planned improvements to the quality of life and to strenthen and expand the economic base for all West Virginia citizens and communities, and consistent with HUD's national ojectives, CDBG funds may be granted to support job creation or retention efforts. Note: Funds are used to develop viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than 70 percent of the aggregate of the federal Title I assistance distributed under this program design statement shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income in the non-entitlement areas of West Virginia. |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Basis for Relative Priority | Decent housing and suitable living environments remain an eligible activity; however, WVDO continues to focus and invest the State's CDBG funds into infrastructure. Infrastructure is the foundation for suitable living conditions and creates an environment conducive to future economic development. |
| 10 | Priority Need Name | HOME Priority 1 (NewHOME Program) |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Low Moderate Large Families Families with Children Elderly Elderly Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Areas | |

| | Basis for Relative Priority | The basis for the relative priority is to enable individuals/families at or below 80% AMI to become home owners by providing mortgage financing. As a result, low and moderate income families will have the opportunities to become home owners in the entire State of West Virginia since the NewHOME mortgage financing provides a below-market interest rate, which makes housing more affordable. |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| 11 | Priority Need Name | HOME Priority 2 (HOME Leverage Loan Program) |
| | Priority Level | Low |
| | Population | Low Moderate Large Families Families with Children Elderly Elderly Persons with Mental Disabilities Persons with Physical Disabilities Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Associated Goals | Supporting homeownership opportunities |
| | Description | The HOME Leverage Loan Program will provide resources to qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) in order to leverage HOME funds with another source, and thereby provide the entire financing required for a house. |
| | Basis for Relative Priority | The basis for the relative priority is to provide HOME funds to be leveraged with conventional financing so that individuals/families at or below 80% AMI can become home owners. |
| 12 | Priority Need Name | HOME Priority 4 (HOMErent Program) |
| | Priority Level | High |

| | Population | Extremely Low |
|----|----------------|--|
| | | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Other |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Enhance the quality of housing |
| | Goals | Increase the supply of affordable housing |
| | Description | The HOMErent Program will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). |
| | Basis for | The basis for relative priority is to provide HOMErent funds that will become part |
| | Relative | of the financing for nonprofit and for-profit developers of rental housing. As a |
| | Priority | result, there will be an increase in the stock of safe, rental housing for low-income |
| | | households. In addition, developers frequently leverage HOMErent funds with |
| | | Low-Income Housing Tax Credits in order to increase the supply of safe, rental |
| | | housing for households at or below 40% AMI, 50% AMI, and 60% AMI. |
| 13 | Priority Need | HOME Priority 5 (CHDO Program) |
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |

| | Population | Extremely Low |
|----|----------------|--|
| | | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | veterans |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Other |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Increase the supply of affordable housing |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | The CHDO Program will provide fund to CHDOs for the development of housing |
| | - | opportunities for qualified families (at or below 60% AMI). The minimum CHDO |
| | | allocation will be 15% of the annual HUD HOME allocation as required under 24 |
| | | CFR 92. |
| | Basis for | The basis for the relative priority is to provide HOME CHDO funds to certified |
| | Relative | CHDO nonprofits throughout the State in order to provide financing for CHDO- |
| | Priority | developed projects. |
| 14 | Priority Need | HOME Priority 6 (CHDO Oper Exp Grant Program) |
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Low |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | |
| | Goals | |
| | JUais | |

| | Description | The CHDO Operating Expense Grant Program will provide grant funds for certified CHDOs so that a portion of a CHDO's operating expenses can be reimbursed. |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Basis for Relative Priority | The basis for the relative priority is to provide HOME funds to CHDOs in order to aid in CHDO capacity-building activities. |
| 15 | Priority Need Name | Housing Trust Fund (HTF) |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low Low Large Families Families with Children Elderly Public Housing Residents Rural Chronic Homelessness Individuals Families with Children Mentally III Chronic Substance Abuse veterans Persons with HIV/AIDS Victims of Domestic Violence Unaccompanied Youth Elderly Frail Elderly Persons with Mental Disabilities Persons with Mental Disabilities Persons with Physical Disabilities Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Associated Goals | Enhance the quality of housing Increase the supply of affordable housing |

| | Description | The HTF Program will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development and operating costs, as applicable, of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 30% AMI and 50% AMI as applicable). |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Basis for Relative Priority | The basis for relative priority is to provide HTF funds that will become part of the financing for nonprofit and for-profit developers of rental housing. As a result, there will be an increase in the stock of safe, rental housing for extremely low-income and very low income households. In addition, it is anticipated that developers will leverage HTF funds with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits in order to increase the supply of safe, rental housing for households at or below 30% AMI, 40% AMI, and 50% AMI as applicable. |
| 16 | Priority Need Name | HOME Priority 7 Single Family Owner Occupied Rehab |
| | Priority Level | Low |
| | Population | Extremely Low Low Moderate Large Families Families with Children Elderly Elderly Persons with Physical Disabilities Persons with Developmental Disabilities Other |
| | Geographic Areas Affected | |
| | Associated Goals | Enhance the quality of housing |
| | Description | Provide rehabilitation assistance to home owner affected by the recent natural disasters. |
| | Basis for Relative Priority | The basis for the relative priority is to provide HOME funds to home owners in order to aid in the rehabilitation of units damaged by the recent natural disasters. |

Narrative (Optional)

CDBG

Consolidated Plan

The WV CDBG program is a flexible tool that is being utilized to address a wide array of community and economic development needs across the State. The program recognizes the breadth of challenges facing West Virginia's rural communities and has been designed to present opportunities for meeting many of these needs, ranging from the installation of infrastructure for new or expanding industries, to assist with job creation and/or retention efforts, provision of new or improved water and sewer systems in rural areas, revitalization of downtown areas to boost opportunities, and deterioration issues in our small communities, and various other general community development activities.

Unlike the programs operating in entitlement jurisdictions throughout West Virginia, the state program covers an extensive geographic area whose needs vary significantly. Presently, there are 288 units of governments in West Virginia eligible for the state CDBG program, each with a unique set of needs and varying levels of capacity and resources to address these needs. Due to the large geographic spread and the extensive issues faced by these mostly rural communities, the State does not attempt to individually quantify the need in specific terms relative to the table labeled Housing and Community Development Activities.

HOME funds can be awarded in the state to increase the supply for affordable housing (rental or home ownership) to qualified (at or below 80% AMI) households through various programs: NewHOME Program, HOME Leverage Loan Program, HOMErent Program, CHDO Program, CHDO Operating Expense Grant Program and Single Family Owner Occupied Rehab Program.

HTF funds can be awarded in the entire state to increase the supply of affordable rental housing to qualified (at or below 30% AMI or at or below 50% AMI when permitted) individuals/families through the HTF Program.

ESG:

The State of WV through the Office of Econonmic Opportunity chose to address these priorities to align the homeless programs with the U.S. Interagency Council on Homlessness' plan to end homelessness -Opening Doors. The State of WV expects each ESG funded site to be an active participant in their Continuum of Care and be active in assisting the CoCs to meet their goals. In addition, OEO expects each ESG funded shelter to provide Rapid ReHousing services or have a community partner provide those services for thier residents. The State has chosen to fund Rapid ReHousing at 80% of each funded sites' budget and allowed for a 20% usage of Homeless Prevention funds with aim of targeting valuable resources to ending homelessness.

SP-30 Influence of Market Conditions – 91.315(b)

Influence of Market Conditions

| Affordable Housing | Market Characteristics that will influence | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Туре | the use of funds available for housing type | | | |
| Tenant Based Rental | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| Assistance (TBRA) | requirements, the cost of affordable housing, and the number of low income | | | |
| | renter households. | | | |
| TBRA for Non- | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| Homeless Special | requirements, the cost of affordable housing, the number of low income renter | | | |
| Needs | households and the availability of services. | | | |
| New Unit Production | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| | requirements and the number of low-income renter and owner households. | | | |
| | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| | requirements and the number of extremely low-income renter households will | | | |
| | be used to determine the demand for HTF funds. | | | |
| Rehabilitation | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| | requirements, age of housing and number of low-income renter and owner | | | |
| | households. | | | |
| | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| | requirements, age of housing and number of extremely low-income renter | | | |
| | households will be used to determine the demand for HTF funds. | | | |
| Acquisition, | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| including | requirements, age of housing and number of low-income renter and owner | | | |
| preservation | households. | | | |
| | Lack of affordable bouring that mosts minimum property standards as as de | | | |
| | Lack of affordable housing that meets minimum property standards or code | | | |
| | requirements, condition of property, age of housing and number of extremely | | | |
| | low-income renter households will be used to determine the demand for HTF | | | |
| Table 52 Influence of M | funds. | | | |

Table 52 – Influence of Market Conditions

SP-35 Anticipated Resources - 91.315(a)(4), 91.320(c)(1,2)

Introduction

This plan is prepared as a collaborative effort of three agencies: The West Virginia Development Office is responsible for the Community Development Block Grant program, the West Virginia Housing Development Fund is responsible for the Home Investment Partnership Program, and the West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity is responsible for the Emergency Solutions Grant Program and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS programs.

Anticipated Resources

| Program | Source of | Uses of Funds | Exp | pected Amoun | t Available Yea | ar 1 | Expected | Narrative |
|---------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Description |
| CDBG | public - federal | Acquisition Admin and Planning Economic Development Housing Public Improvements | | | | | | |
| | | Public Services | 12,528,038 | 0 | 0 | 12,528,038 | 0 | |
| HOME | public - federal | Acquisition Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab New construction for ownership | | | | | | |
| | | TBRA | 3,429,971 | 1,800,000 | 0 | 5,229,971 | 0 | |

Consolidated Plan

| Program | Source of | Uses of Funds | Exp | ected Amoun | t Available Yea | nr 1 | Expected Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Narrative Description |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| | Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | | |
| HOPWA | public - federal | Permanent housing in facilities Permanent housing placement | | | | | | |
| | | Short term or transitional housing facilities STRMU Supportive services | | | | | | |
| | | TBRA | 344,347 | 0 | 52,000 | 396,347 | 0 | |
| ESG | public - federal | Conversion and rehab for transitional housing Financial Assistance Overnight shelter Rapid re-housing (rental assistance) Rental Assistance Services Transitional housing | 1,560,544 | 0 | 0 | 1,560,544 | 0 | |
| Housing Trust Fund | public - federal | Acquisition Admin and Planning Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab | | | | | | |
| | | Other | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | |

Table 53 - Anticipated Resources

Explain how federal funds will leverage those additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements will be satisfied

The State of West Virginia will utilize multiple sources of state, federal, local, and private funds to address the needs identified in this action plan. The CDBG Program does not require a match (with the exception of Planning Grants, which require a 10% cash match); however, credit is given for leveraged funds during the review process. Sources of leveraged funding frequently include:

- Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council (IJDC) Grants and Loans
- Drinking Water Revolving Loan Funds (DWTRF)
- Clean Water Revolving Loan Funds (CWSRF)
- Water Development Authority
- Local Lending Institutions
- Additional Funds
- Private Resources
- West Virginia Development Office
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Utities Service, Rural Development
- Appalachian Regional Commission

HOME

Any HOME Program match obligation incurred by the State during FY 2015 may be met through eligible State affordable housing activities, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Mortgage Revenue Bond Program
- LAMP Program
- Payment of HOME Originator fees from the general funds of the WVHDF

Consolidated Plan

- Downpayment/Closing Cost Assistance Program
- Eligible Flood Program activities
- Development Financing Program (eligible multi-family project financing)

The State may use additional sources of match to meet any match obligation if it determines that additional sources are necessary and eligible. The State may also request match reductions during FY 2015 for any area in which a federal disaster declaration is made pursuant to the Stafford Act.

In addition, HOMErent funds will be leveraged with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits. As a result developers will increase the supply of safe, rental housing for households at or below 40% AMI, 50% AMI, and 60% AMI.

ESG

The ESG Program requires a dollar-for-dollar match in non-ESG funds from the State for their allocated amount. To meet this requirement, the State requires that applicants for State ESG funding provide a dollar-for-dollar match for their program costs. The matching requirement can be met with either cash or non-cash contributions of in-kind or donated resources such as the value of buildings, equipment, or volunteer services.

If appropriate, describe publically owned land or property located within the state that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

To facilitate the development of adequate infrastructure systems, identified as a priority of the CDBG program, local governments may utilize publicly owned land for planned infrastructure improvements which benefit the community as a whole. Examples may include existing or acquired property that will serve as the location of a water treatment facility or wastewater treatment facility. In certain circumstances, new utility lines may be constructed in exising rights of way or through an existing easement. Local governments may also acquire property as needed for the provision of adequate water and wastewater facilities and distribution systems.

CDBG funds may be used to pay for the cost of identifying the property to be acquired, appraisals, the preparation of legal documents, and other costs associated with acquisition required to complete a CDBG project. Such expenses qualify under the area benefit category for infrastructure projects that serve a primarily residential area consisting of residents who are at least 51% low- and moderate-income persons.

Discussion

The WVHDF HOME Program anticipates the use of the HUD HOME award, estimated Program Income, and leveraged funds to successfully implement all aspects of the HOME Program.

The HOME Uses of Funds, listed above, is read-only and does not accurately reflect the WVHDF HOME Uses of Funds. The WVHDF HOME funds will be used for: Acquisition, Acquisition for homeownership, Admin and Planning, Leverage for homeownership, Multifamily rental new construction, Multifamily rental rehabilitation, and New construction for homeownership.

The WVHDF HTF Program anticipated the use of the HUD HTF award and leveraged funds to successfully implement all aspects of the HTF Program.

SP-40 Institutional Delivery Structure – 91.315(k)

Explain the institutional structure through which the jurisdiction will carry out its consolidated plan including private industry, non-profit organizations, and public institutions.

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Region I Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | Regional organization | Development | Region |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region II Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region III Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | _ |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Region IV Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region V Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region VI Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region VII Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Region VIII Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region IX Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region X Planning and | Regional organization | Non-homeless special | Region |
| Development Council | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| Region XI Planning and | Regional organization | Economic | Region |
| Development Council | | Development | |
| | | Non-homeless special | |
| | | needs | |
| | | Planning | |
| | | neighborhood | |
| | | improvements | |
| | | public facilities | |
| | | public services | |
| NORTH CENTRAL WV | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| COMMUNITY ACTION | | Planning | |
| | | Rental | |

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| CHANGE, INC. | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| | | Planning | |
| | | Rental | |
| Mountain Opportunities | CHDO | Planning | Region |
| Corporation | | Rental | |
| Community Action of | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| South Eastern WV | | Planning | |
| (CASE) | | Rental | |
| ALMOST HEAVEN | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| HABITAT FOR | | Planning | |
| HUMANITY, INC. | | | |
| COMMUNITY | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| RESOURCES, INC. | | Planning | |
| FAIRMONT | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| COMMUNITY | | Planning | |
| DEVELOPMENT | | Rental | |
| PARTNERSHIP | | | |
| KANAWHA INSTITUTE | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH | | Planning | |
| & ACTION | | | |
| MOUNTAIN CAP OF | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| WEST VIRGINIA, INC. | | Planning | |
| RANDOLPH COUNTY | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| AFFORDABLE HOUSING | | Planning | |
| | | Rental | |
| SOUTHEASTERN | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| APPALACHIAN RURAL | | Planning | |
| ALLIANCE, INC. | | Rental | |
| STOP ABUSIVE FAMILY | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| ENVIRONMENTS | | Rental | - |
| PRIDE COMMUNITY | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| SERVICES, INC. | | Planning | |
| GARRETT COUNTY | Non-profit | Planning | Region |
| COMMUNITY ACTION | organizations | Rental | - |
| COMMITTEE, INC. | | | |
| ARC OF HARRISON | Non-profit | Ownership | Region |
| COUNTY | organizations | Planning | - |
| | | Rental | |

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| CHARLESTON | PHA | Planning | Region |
| KANAWHA HOUSING | | Public Housing | |
| AUTHORITY | | | |
| HUNTINGTON HOUSING | РНА | Planning | Region |
| AUTHORITY | | Public Housing | |
| Fairmont/Morgantown | РНА | Ownership | Region |
| Housing Authority | | Planning | |
| | | Public Housing | |
| MINGO COUNTY | РНА | Ownership | Region |
| HOUSING AUTHORITY | | Planning | |
| | | Public Housing | |
| Parkersburg Housing | РНА | Planning | Region |
| Authority | | Public Housing | |
| SO. APPALACHIAN | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| LABOR SCHOOL | | Planning | |
| | | Rental | |
| MOUNTAINEER | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| DEVELOPMENT | | Planning | |
| CORPORATION | | | |
| COALFIELD | CHDO | Planning | Region |
| DEVELOPMENT | | Rental | |
| CORPORATION | | | |
| RALEIGH COUNTY | Non-profit | Planning | Region |
| COMMUNITY ACTION | organizations | | |
| ASSOCIATION, INC. | | | |
| RELIGIOUS COALITION | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| FOR COMMUNITY | | Planning | |
| RENEWAL | | | |
| CENTRAL APPALACHIA | CHDO | Ownership | Region |
| EMPOWERMENT ZONE | | Planning | |
| OF WEST VIRGINIA | | Rental | |
| CORPORATION | | | |

Table 54 - Institutional Delivery Structure

Assess of Strengths and Gaps in the Institutional Delivery System

WVDO, WVHDF and OEO work with many different organizations, both public and private, to carry out its Consolidated Plan. The community economic development activities are carried out through contractual agreements with units of local government. The housing activities, both production and preservation activities, are accomplished through partnerships with units of local government, nonprofits, housing developers, and specifically through partnerships with state certified Community

Consolidated Plan

Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). Homelessness, HIV/AIDS, and other special needs services result from WVDO, WVHDF and OEO's relationship with a network of non-profit service providers including shelters and units of local government across the state of West Virginia.

Appropriate service coverage and the logistics of getting the funding and activities to the areas of need within West Virginia are ongoing challenges. The solution in many cases is long-term and evolving. WVDO, WVHDF and OEO works with community based organizations to develop local assets for meeting local needs. WVHDF puts special emphasis on CHDO development and encourages partnerships and collaborations in the work that is done.

The public housing authorities (PHA) are components in the statewide system for the delivery of affordable housing. Local housing authorities are established through the auspices of local government, subject to state enabling legislation. Neither the state nor WVDO, WVHDF and OEO specifically have direct oversight for local PHA; however, we may partner with these entities through a grantee or project sponsor relationship to complete local projects or activities. WVDO, WVHDF and OEO do certify local plan's or project's consistency with the state program's Consolidated Plan.

| Homelessness Prevention | Available in the | Targeted to Homeless | Targeted to People with HIV |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Services | Community Homelessness Preventi | | WITHIN |
| Counseling/Advocacy | X | X | Х |
| Legal Assistance | Х | Х | |
| Mortgage Assistance | | | |
| Rental Assistance | Х | Х | Х |
| Utilities Assistance | Х | | Х |
| | Street Outreach Se | ervices | |
| Law Enforcement | | | |
| Mobile Clinics | | | |
| Other Street Outreach Services | Х | Х | |
| | Supportive Serv | ices | |
| Alcohol & Drug Abuse | Х | Х | |
| Child Care | Х | Х | Х |
| Education | Х | Х | Х |
| Employment and Employment | | | |
| Training | Х | Х | Х |
| Healthcare | Х | Х | Х |
| HIV/AIDS | Х | | Х |
| Life Skills | Х | Х | Х |
| Mental Health Counseling | Х | Х | Х |

Availability of services targeted to homeless persons and persons with HIV and mainstream services

Consolidated Plan

| Supportive Services | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Transportation | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 55 - Homeless Prevention Services Summary

Describe the extent to which services targeted to homeless person and persons with HIV and mainstream services, such as health, mental health and employment services are made available to and used by homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families and unaccompanied youth) and persons with HIV within the jurisdiction

In regard to ESG, the Office of Economic Opportunity and the four Continuums of Care recognize the importance of all components in the Continuum; outreach, intake and assessment, emergency shelter, rapid rehousing, homelessness prevention, permanent supportive housing and the other supportive services which are essential for stably housing all persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness. Through coordination and strategic planning, OEO and the CoCs try to target those at highest risk for homelessness to receive services. Street outreach is a funded activity in the more urban areas of the State and emergency shelters are urged to either provide rapid rehousing on site or have a strong community partner providing this service to their residents. OEO mandates that 80 percent of the State's Rapid ReHousing and Homelessness Prevention allocation be used for Rapid ReHousing leaving the 20 percent for Homelessness Prevention.

The State of WV is combating veteran homelessness with an inventory of Healthcare for Homeless Veterans, Veteran's Administration beds through thier transitional program as well as the Grant Per Diem Program. The State also has the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing and the OEO supported Community Action Partnerships provide the Supportive Service for Veterans Families program. All State CoCs serve veterans, regardless of funding, and all programs have been made aware that veterans who are ineligible for VA houses and services should be served by ESG and CoC resources. We expect as more providers being entering SSVF data into the statewide system that the breadth of veteran homelessness will become clearer. By prioritizing veterans new and renewal CoC project receive additional points during the CoC rating and ranking procedure.

The CoCs and OEO are encouraging current transitional housing programs to re-tool to rapid rehousing given that nonprofits are now eligible to apply for rapid rehousing and administer rental assistance. OEO and the Balance of State CoC are working with the State Department of Health and Human Services to discuss the use of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds to assist in the expansion and application and expansion of rapid rehousing among agencies. The Balance of State CoC has provided the WV Interagency Council with policy priorities among them the need to utilize TANF funding for rapid rehousing, particularily in rural areas where little other resources exist to end family and other types of homelessness.

Describe the strengths and gaps of the service delivery system for special needs population and persons experiencing homelessness, including, but not limited to, the services listed above

Strengths: The State of WV, through OEOs ESG and HOPWA programs, in conjunction with the four CoCs and its partners will continue to utilize their experience providing homelessness and housing related programs to those special needs populations such as the elderly, those with disabilities and those with HIV/AIDS. These include services to assist persons remain in their homes. For persons with mental and developmental disabilities alcohol or other substance abuse issues, WV has a network of public agencies and nonprofit organizations that provide housing and support services to persons with special needs. In turn, these agencies and organizations work to leverage other federal, state, and local funds. These providers work to balance community needs, priorities, available funds, and the ability to deliver programs.

Gaps: The primary obstacle to fully addressing the needs outlined in this plan is the overall lack of funding available.

Provide a summary of the strategy for overcoming gaps in the institutional structure and service delivery system for carrying out a strategy to address priority needs

West Virginia has seen a 28 percent reduction in the number of Veterans who are unsheltered on any given night from2013 to 2014. This is due in part to the large influx of the Supportive Services for Veteran Families grant that is preventing many Veterans from ever becoming homeless and rapidly rehousing Veterans from the streets to the shelters. In addition to available Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing and Safe Haven beds and units, there are also Permanent Housing options available throughout WV to persons who are experiencing homelessness.

SP-45 Goals Summary – 91.315(a)(4)

Goals Summary Information

| Sort Order | Goal Name | Start Year | End Year | Category | Geographic Area | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Development of | 2015 | 2016 | Non-Housing | Alea | CDBG Priority 1 | CDBG: | Public Facility or |
| - | Public Infrastructure | | | Community | | (Infrastructure | \$12,000,000 | Infrastructure Activities for |
| | | | | Development | | Development) | <i><i><i>q</i>²<i>L,000,000</i></i></i> | Low/Moderate Income |
| | | | | Development | | CDBG Priority 2 | | Housing Benefit: |
| | | | | | | (Facilities and | | 1500 Households Assisted |
| | | | | | | Services) | | 1500 Householus Assisted |
| | | | | | | CDBG Priority 3 (Job | | |
| | | | | | | <i>,</i> , , | | |
| | | 2015 | 2016 | A.CC | | Creation) | | |
| 2 | Local Community | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | CDBG Priority 1 | | |
| | Development | | | Housing | | (Infrastructure | | |
| | | | | | | Development) | | |
| | | | | | | CDBG Priority 2 | | |
| | | | | | | (Facilities and | | |
| | | | | | | Services) | | |
| | | | | | | CDBG Priority 3 (Job | | |
| | | | | | | Creation) | | |
| 3 | Job creation and | 2015 | 2016 | Non-Housing | | CDBG Priority 2 | | |
| | retention | | | Community | | (Facilities and | | |
| | | | | Development | | Services) | | |
| 4 | ESG Shelter Goal 2 | 2015 | 2019 | Affordable | | ESG need 2 | ESG: \$600,000 | Homeless Person Overnight |
| | | | | Housing | | | | Shelter: |
| | | | | Homeless | | | | 1700 Persons Assisted |
| | | | | Non-Homeless | | | | |
| | | | | Special Needs | | | | |

Consolidated Plan

| Sort | Goal Name | Start | End | Category | Geographic | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------|------|---------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Order | | Year | Year | | Area | | | |
| 5 | ESG ReHousing and | 2015 | 2019 | Homeless | | ESG Need 1 | ESG: \$700,000 | Tenant-based rental |
| | Prevention Goal 1 | | | Non-Homeless | | | | assistance / Rapid |
| | | | | Special Needs | | | | Rehousing: |
| | | | | | | | | 300 Households Assisted |
| | | | | | | | | Homelessness Prevention: |
| | | | | | | | | 100 Persons Assisted |
| 6 | Provide Suitable | 2015 | 2019 | Non-Homeless | | | HOPWA: | Homelessness Prevention: |
| | Living Environment HOPWA | | | Special Needs | | | \$350,000 | 240 Persons Assisted |
| | | | | | | | | HIV/AIDS Housing |
| | | | | | | | | Operations: |
| | | | | | | | | 7 Household Housing Unit |
| 7 | Increase the supply | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | HOME Priority 4 | HOME: | Rental units constructed: |
| | of affordable housing | | | Housing | | (HOMErent Program) | \$3,429,971 | 53 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 5 | Housing Trust | |
| | | | | | | (CHDO Program) | Fund: | Rental units rehabilitated: |
| | | | | | | Housing Trust Fund (HTF) | \$3,000,000 | 50 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | · · · | | Other: |
| | | | | | | | | 10 Other |
| 8 | Supporting | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | HOME Priority 1 | HOME: | Homeowner Housing |
| | homeownership | | | Housing | | (NewHOME Program) | \$3,429,971 | Added: |
| | opportunities | | | | | HOME Priority 2 | | 12 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | (HOME Leverage | | |
| | | | | | | Loan Program) | | Direct Financial Assistance |
| | | | | | | | | to Homebuyers: |
| | | | | | | | | 3 Households Assisted |

Consolidated Plan
| Sort Order | Goal Name | Start Year | End Year | Category | Geographic Area | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 9 | Increase affordable, | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | Alea | HOME Priority 1 | HOME: | Homeowner Housing |
| - | accessible housing | | | Housing | | (NewHOME Program) | \$3,429,971 | Added: |
| | C C | | | Ū. | | | | 3 Household Housing Unit |
| 10 | Enhance the quality | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | HOME Priority 4 | HOME: | Rental units constructed: |
| | of housing | | | Housing | | (HOMErent Program) | \$3,429,971 | 23 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | Housing Trust Fund | Housing Trust | |
| | | | | | | (HTF) | Fund: | Rental units rehabilitated: |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 7 | \$3,000,000 | 50 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | Single Family Owner | | |
| | | | | | | Occupied Rehab | | Homeowner Housing |
| | | | | | | | | Rehabilitated: |
| | | | | | | | | 25 Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Direct Financial Assistance |
| | | | | | | | | to Homebuyers: |
| | | | | | | | | 20 Households Assisted |

Table 56 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

| 1 | Goal Name | Development of Public Infrastructure |
|---|-------------|--|
| | Goal | Support local government efforts to provide affordable infrastructure systems to strengthen the foundations for economic |
| | Description | growth and alleviate conditions that affect environmental quality, public health, or welfare. |

| 2 | Goal Name | Local Community Development | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Goal Description | Support local community development efforts to assist low- to moderate-income citizens to achieve an improved quality of life by supporting locally developed strategies to protect, maintain, and expand access to facilities and services; and to revitalize deteriorating downtown and residential neighborhood areas. | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Goal Name | Job creation and retention | | | | | | | | |
| | Goal Description | Support job creation or retention efforts. | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Goal Name | ESG Shelter Goal 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | Goal Description | ESG program funds will be used to provide overnight emergency shelter to individuals and families experiencing homelessness. | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Goal Name | ESG ReHousing and Prevention Goal 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Goal Description | ESG Program funds will be used to provide Rapid ReHousing and Homelessness Prevention activities to low and very low income individuals and families. | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Goal Name | Provide Suitable Living Environment HOPWA | | | | | | | | |
| | Goal Description | HOPWA program funds will be used to provide operations, short term rent assistance, mortgage assistance, utility assistance, tenant-based rental assistance, permanent housing placement and supportive services to low-income individuals with HIV/AIDS and their families | | | | | | | | |

| 7 | Goal Name | Increase the supply of affordable housing |
|---|---------------------|---|
| | Goal | In order to increase the supply of affordable rental housing, the WVHDF will use the HOMErent, CHDO, and HTF Programs. |
| | Description | HOMErent Program: This program will receive 52.8% of the HOME award (\$1,811,000) and an additional 10.5% of the estimated HOME Program Income (\$189,000), and the HOMErent Program will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). It is estimated that thirty-five qualified families in the State will be served from the HOMErent Program. |
| | | Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) Program: This program will receive 18.7% of the HOME award (\$640,900), and the CHDO Program will provide CHDOs funds for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). It is estimated that eight qualified families in the State will be served from the CHDO Program. |
| | | HTF Program: Up to \$2,700,000 will be used for the acquitision, new construction, and/or rehabilitation of rental units. Up to \$999,999 will be used for Operating Cost Assistance. |
| 8 | Goal Name | Supporting homeownership opportunities |
| | Goal Description | In order to support homeownership opportunities for low to moderate income first-time home buyers, the WVHDF will use the NewHOME and HOME Leverage Loan Programs. |
| | | NewHOME Program: This program will receive 11.6% of the HOME award (\$400,000), and the NewHOME Program will provide mortgage financing for an estimated four qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) for the forty-four non-consortia counties in the State. In addition this program will receive 62.8% of the estimated HOME Program Income (\$1,131,000), and will provide mortgage financing for an estimated eleven qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) in the State. |
| | | HOME Leverage Loan Program: This program will receive 2.2% of the HOME award (\$75,000), and the HOME Leverage Loan Program will provide resources to qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) in order to leverage HOME funds with another source, and thereby provide the entire financing required for a house. It is estimated that the HOME Leverage Loan Program will provide resources with which three qualified families, in the State, can leverage with other sources. |

| 9 | Goal Name | Increase affordable, accessible housing |
|----|---------------------|---|
| | Goal Description | In order to increase affordable, accessible housing opportunities for special needs populations, the WVHDF will use the NewHOME Program. |
| 10 | Goal Name | Enhance the quality of housing |
| | Goal Description | In order to enhance the quality of housing for low to moderate income homeowners, the WVHDF will use the HOMErent, CHDO, and SFOORP Programs. |
| | | HOMErent Program: This program will receive 52.8% of the HOME award (\$1,811,000) and an additional 10.5% of the estimated HOME Program Income (\$189,000), and the HOMErent Program will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). It is estimated that thirty-five qualified families in the State will be served from the HOMErent Program. |
| | | Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) Program: The HUD HOME waiver reduced the FY2015 and FY2016 allocations to 0% in order to expeditiously provide housing to displaced persons and repair damaged properties resulting from the storms. For FY2017-2019, this program will receive no less than 15% of the HOME award, and the CHDO Program will provide CHDOs funds for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). It is estimated that eight qualified families in the State will be served from the CHDO Program when the program receives no less than 15% of the HOME award. |
| | | SFOORP: It is estimated that up to \$1,000,000 from FY2015-2017 allocations will provide funds to repair damaged properties in counties that have been presidentially declared natural disaster areas. It is estimated that up to 25 qualified families in the disaster areas will be served. |

Estimate the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income families to whom the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing as defined by HOME 91.315(b)(2)

Rental Units Constructed: estimated number of extremely low-income (at or below 30% AMI): 2, low-income (at or below 80% AMI): 26, and moderate-income (140% AMI): 0. Total families the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing is 28.

Rental Units Rehabilitated: estimated number of extremely low-income (at or below 30% AMI): 3, low-income (at or below 80% AMI): 12, and moderate-income (140% AMI): 0. Total families the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing is 15.

Consolidated Plan

Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: estimated number of extremely low-income (at or below 30% AMI): 1, low-income (at or below 80% AMI): 11, and moderate-income (140% AMI): 0. Total families the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing is 12.

Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers: estimated number of extremely low-income (at or below 30% AMI): 0, low-income (at or below 80% AMI): 18, and moderate-income (140% AMI): 0. Total families the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing is 18.

184

SP-50 Public Housing Accessibility and Involvement – 91.315(c)

Need to Increase the Number of Accessible Units (if Required by a Section 504 Voluntary Compliance Agreement)

There is no Section 504 Voluntary Compliance Agreement; therefore, this is not applicable.

Activities to Increase Resident Involvements

The Public Housing Authorities are responsible for activities to increase resident involvement.

Is the public housing agency designated as troubled under 24 CFR part 902?

N/A

Plan to remove the 'troubled' designation

N/A

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

SP-55 Barriers to affordable housing - 91.315(h)

Barriers to Affordable Housing

The WVHDF and partner agencies have been active in the development of affordable housing for low and moderate income families since 1968 and will continue to work towards overcoming all barriers to affordable housing. The WVHDF and its partners have identified several barriers to affordable housing and initiated strategies to eliminate those barriers.

Each barrier is identified in section MA-40 and the strategy to remove the barrier is described in SP-55.

Strategy to Remove or Ameliorate the Barriers to Affordable Housing

Identified in section MA-40 and further analyzed below along with strategies for addressing each.

Rent Restrictions

The WVHDF acknowledges that it has no control over the rent limit requirements within the HOME program regulations. However, the WVHDF will continue to assert to HUD and other organizations where the opportunity presents itself that these rent restrictions present a barrier to the effective production of affordable housing. Higher rent limits for the HOME Program or a waiver of the Low HOME Rent restriction on projects that contain 5 or more units would make it possible to develop safe, decent and affordable housing throughout the state.

Predatory Lending

The WVHDF recognizes the dangers of predatory lending and will continue to take steps to keep customers informed and educated on this subject. The WVHDF routinely meets with approved lenders operating in the HOME program and continues to raise awareness about this topic. In addition, the WVHDF continues to place an emphasis on the topic of predatory lending in homebuyer education courses required for all prospective homebuyers.

Homeownership Education

Homeownership education explains the home purchasing process to potential home buyers. Teaching materials and technical assistance are offered through non-profit organizations in communities across the state.

The qualified instructors teach an 8-hour course and assist the participants in making informed decisions pertaining to all aspects of purchasing a home. Participants are taken through each step of the home

Consolidated Plan

buying process, from budgeting to loan closing. They are taught how to calculate the amount they can afford to pay for a home, how to shop for an affordable mortgage, what the sales contract and loan application mean and even some basic home maintenance tips.

The WVHDF will continue to support the homebuyer education program through the payment of homebuyer education fees for loans funded through the NewHOME Program over the course of the five-year Consolidated Plan.

Populations with Special Needs

The state will continue to utilize The NewHOME Loan Program which includes a special provision that finances the development of single-family housing for special needs populations (individuals with physical, mental, sensory, or developmental disabilities). The units completed through the program for special needs populations are built in accordance with Universal Design Standards that ensure accessibility. These loans will primarily target households at or below 65 percent AMI.

Down payment and Closing Costs

The HOME Leverage Loan Program (HLLP) administered by WVHDF, was developed to help low and moderate income homebuyers overcome the challenge of not being able to afford a down payment on safe, decent, owner-occupied housing. Since down payment and closing costs are stumbling blocks for many potential low and moderate income home buyers, the WVHDF allows qualified participants to borrow up to \$20,000 at 0% interest rate with a term of ten (10) years. The HLLP loan is reduced by 10% for each year that the homebuyer occupies the housing as their principal residence.

HOME CHDO's that develop single family housing often partner with other funding sources such as USDA Rural Development, local banks and nonprofits, to increase assistance to low-and moderateincome families. The programs structured by the HOME CHDO's provide forgivable loans as secondary mortgage.

Community Opposition

Community opposition can take many forms in the methods chosen to block or restrict affordable housing. One of those methods is the use, or lack thereof, of land use planning and zoning.

The State of West Virginia has reviewed state enabling legislation, local land use ordinances, and introduced legislation with the potential to affect the production and preservation of affordable housing. In addition, the State has taken steps to address proper land use planning and zoning through several ongoing initiatives described below.

The West Virginia University College of Law, Land Use and Sustainable Development Law Clinic (Land Use Clinic), continues to provide legal services to local governments, landowners, and non-profit organizations to develop land conservation strategies and practices. The Land Use Clinic also provides assistance to communities throughout WV in the development of comprehensive.

In addition, the West Virginia Land Stewardship Act was signed into law in 2013. This Act created the West Virginia Land Stewardship Corporation (WVLSC). The WVLSC is designed to foster redevelopment of underperforming real estate and operate as a 'one stop shop' for idled and underutilized commercial, industrial and mining properties. Specifically, the Act created three new programs in West Virginia: the Voluntary Land Stewardship Program, the State Certified Sites Program, and the voluntary Land Bank Program.

Two West Virginia Brownfield's Assistance Centers were created by the West Virginia Legislature to empower communities to plan and implement Brownfield redevelopment projects. Through these services, the Centers are assisting municipal and county governments throughout the State as they work to eliminate slum and blight where possible.

The WVHDF also recently realized that a provision in the states Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) used to allocate Low Income Housing Tax Credits was creating an unintended barrier to the development of affordable housing. The provision provided points for the submission of a letter of community support from the chief executive of the community in which they were planning to develop the project. Due to the competitive nature of the allocation process and the closeness of scores between accepted and rejected applications, these points were considered necessary and an applicant would potentially not even submit an application for an area where they could not get community support thereby giving the community a form of veto on the development of that project. The WVHDF has removed this provision from the QAP and it is no longer serving as a potential barrier to the development of affordable housing.

The partner agencies will continue to monitor our programs, policies and procedures for items that have the potential to create barriers and will take steps to remove them.

Cost of Affordable Housing

Working cooperatively to increase the availability of affordable housing, the partner agencies will continue to:

- Recommend support for legislation with the potential to increase housing affordability.
- Consult with the homebuilding industry, local governments, and affordable housing advocates in considering the potential impact of state statutes and state and local regulations on the affordability of housing.
- Participate in the working groups of the West Virginia Housing Commission that are focusing on the development of a statewide housing policy, including such issues as affordability, community revitalization and blight removal.

Consolidated Plan

Pursue new homeownership initiatives that assist lower income households for the purchase of their first home.

Housing Quality

The partner agencies will continue to require that all HOME assisted construction projects meet the provisions of the International Building Code (IBC) and emphasize the attainment of public health and safety goals for new construction and maintenance at the least cost consistent with those goals.

The WVHDF has set aside a segment of HOME funds for the rehabilitation of existing, owner occupied units to create more safe and affordable housing for the state's residents. WVHDF will monitor the effectiveness of this program to determine if the required property standards under the HOME rule are prohibiting the WVHDF from making repairs that would increase the quality exponentially but may not be able to meet all of the UPCS requirements.

SP-60 Homelessness Strategy – 91.315(d)

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The State of WV through OEO funds Street Outreach as a priority if there are also auxillary services that are offered with street outreach with the ultimate goal of housing people. OEO recognizes the importance of street outreach as a way to engage homeless individuals and use this continued engagment as a means to move them to permanent housing. The first year Street Outreach was available for funding OEO had no requests for funding. In the last round of funding for ESG 2015 eight agencies applied for Street Outreach funds. OEO recognizes Street Outreach as a valuable tool to begin assisting folks who are chronically homeless and may have multiple needs some of which can be addressed before entering housing using street outreach. Through Street Outreach medical and mental health services can be offered which is a first step in individually assessing individual needs. Many times the continued engagement is what is needed to move folks from literally homeless on the streets into their own housing, back into housing with family, or into permanent supportive housing.

Addressing the emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Applicants must participate in their governing Continuum of Care. Applicants must also participate in the coordinated intake/assessment, referral and case management system with in the CoC in order to provide, in conjunction with other providers, appropriate housing and supportive services to better enable homeless persons to achieve success and end their homelessness. Shelters funded with ESG by OEO are encouraged to meet the goal that no one is in shelter for more than 30 days. This is a guideline used to put the emphasis on getting folks stably housed as soon as possible not as a way to exclude those needing shelter for a longer period of time. In addition ESG funded shelters must be providing their residents with rapid rehousing to move them into their own housing as soon as possible. If the shelter sites cannot provide rapid rehousing then they must have a community partnership with another rapid rehousing site that will provide services to those from the shelter.

The State of WV through OEO does not fund transitional housing starting with the ESG 2015 program year. With few resources available to meet the needs of so many West Virginians who are literally homeless in our streets, shelters, and other places not meant for human habitation OEO has chosed to prioritize shelter and rehousing funding.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again.

The State of WV is focusing on implementing signaificant changes to our homeless delivery system. The State works with the four CoCs to ensure that every ESG funded provider is an active participant in their CoC and works towards meeting the CoC goals as well as those in the USICH Opening Doors philosophy. OEO has issued a goal to all shelter providers that no one should be homeless for more than 30 days. This goal is set to urge shetlers to provide or link clients with a rapid rehousing provider to move them into stable housing as quickly as possible. It is not meant to be used as a means to evict anyone from shelter who might need longer than 30 days to stabilize.

Help low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families who are likely to become homeless after being discharged from a publicly funded institution or system of care, or who are receiving assistance from public and private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education or youth needs

The State through OEO and the four CoCs are working to motivate efforts for all the subpopulations above by providing policy priorities and guidelines, chief among them the need to connect Corrections in the state to provide a clear discharge policy and practical flow through the use of assessment and HMIS. The CoCs are working to impact policy revisions through system coordination linking efficient SOAR implementation for those who are eligible for SSI/SSDI benefits, Medicaid for those eligible under the Expansion, and SNAP benefits for individuals exiting correctional facilities. All currently funded ESG providers inslude support for housing crisis intervention services which work to prevent homelessness for all low to extremely-low income populations, including those being discharged from health care facilities, mental health care facilities, foster care, etc.

SP-65 Lead based paint Hazards – 91.315(i)

Actions to address LBP hazards and increase access to housing without LBP hazards

While lead-based paint was banned from residential use in 1978, lead remains a hazard in homes constructed before 1978 (especially in homes built before 1950). Paint deterioration and home renovations in these structures significantly elevate the risk for exposure to lead and subsequently lead poisoning.

The WV Housing Development Fund, the WV Development Office and the WV Office of Economic Opportunity recognize the hazard that lead-based paint poses to children under 6 years of age and carefully consider the abatement of lead-based paint during the rehabilition or conversion of older, existing structures into affordable housing for families and other special population groups. Our efforts to abate lead-based paint may be classified as reactive rather than proactive since there is limited funding available to provide the financial assistance required to abate lead-based paint hazards in singlefamily and rental housing. While we are unable to aggressively pursue a State-wide lead-based paint abatement policy, the WVHDF and other agencies require the abatement of lead-based paint hazards in projects funded with federal financial assistance.

The staff of the WVHDF Technical Services Department is qualified to undertake an initial assessment of lead-based paint hazards on projects that are considered for financial assistance under the HOME Program. The WVHDF also takes a flexible positon during the underwriting of projects that require the abatement of lead-based paint in order to promote the development of housing for low-income families.

How are the actions listed above integrated into housing policies and procedures?

All WVDO, WVHDF and OEO administered HUD programs must conform to federal and state lead regulations. This means that all grantees, sub-recipients, project sponsors, developers, or other partners are required to conduct visual lead inspections of any structure where appropriate per program guidelines. Appropriate measures to remove the hazard and re-inspection are required where peeling or chipping paint is observed. More extensive measures are required in the case of a child 72 months or younger testing positive in a specific housing unit.

Enacted in 1999, the West Virginia Lead Abatement Law and Rule enables the State to:

- Require certification and license of all lead abatement professionals and contractors;
- Establish certification requirements for lead training providers;
- Require refresher courses for all disciplines;
- Require education/experience pre-requisites for certification examinations;
- Provide enforcement to certify or revoke state licenses

192

- Develop protocols for reporting, data collection and processing of certified professionals and lead abatement projects;
- Provide public outreach/education;
- Require notification of lead abatement projects; and
- Provide penalties for violating the State law.

Since implementation of the WV Lead Abatement Law, Chapter 16-35 and the enactment of the Lead Abatement Rule, CSR 64-45, the State's HOME program has followed and will continue to follow the policy of abating lead-based paint during rehabilitation or reconstruction of homes identified as having a lead-bases paint problem as efficiently as possible under the Federal regulation of the HOME program. The legal requirements relating to lead-based paint from OSHA, EPA and HUD apply to all buildings, whether the building or transaction is assisted by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund or not.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

SP-70 Anti-Poverty Strategy – 91.315(j)

Jurisdiction Goals, Programs and Policies for reducing the number of Poverty-Level Families

Poverty level is defined at an annual income level, adjusted for family size and number of children. In 2013, a one person household (under the age of 65) was considered to be in poverty if the annual income was below \$12,119; a three person family with two children under the age of 18 was considered in poverty if annual income was below \$18,769. With such a low level of income, the method to reduce the number of families living below the poverty line is to create additional employment opportunities.

A prerequisite for a higher paying job to move families above the poverty level is the appropriate education and/or job training. Within the State of West Virginia there are organizations that provide education, vocational training, and job training. Education institutions also provide a substantial amount of workforce education and training.

Increasing the ability of low and moderate income families to maximize their income is also important. A number of organizations in the State support other organizations that work to improve a citizen's financial literacy and money management skills. In addition, local Community Action Agencies and county departments work with organization to inform residents of the Earned Income Tax Credit, a refundable federal income tax credit for low to moderate income working households.

The State of WV coordinates with partners in a strategic effort to build systems that end homelessness. Federally, the CoC communicates with the USICH, providing updates and information, the HUD Field Office on issues of project performance and monitoring, and with Federal TA providers in the areas of SOAR, PATH, and HMIS. The State level coordinates with the WVDHHR Bureau for Behavioral Health and Health Facilities (BHHF), the WVDHHR Division of Adult and Child Services, and the State Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO). BHHF oversees the SAMSHA Grants for WV, and leads the WV Interagency Council. Adult and Children Services oversees emergency shelter funding, and CPS/APS workers. The Balance of State CoC is currently piloting a project with CPS/APS utilizing HMIS and Coordinated Access in 10 rural counties. OEO funds the Community Action Partnership who acts as the largest SSVF grantee in the state and whose organizations act as CoC, ESG, and Head Start/Early HeadStart Grantees.

In addition, the WVHDF HOME Program offers the following programs that allow for the increased supply of affordable housing; thereby reducing the number of poverty-level families.

- 1. NewHOME Program: Offers below market rate loans for qualified homebuyers.
- 2. HOME CHDO: CHDOs are awarded HOME funds to develop affordable housing that will be rent restricted in accordance to the Low HOME and High HOME limits.
- 3. HOMErent: Nonprofit and for profit agencies are awarded HOME funds to develop affordable rental units that will be rent restricted in accordance to the Low HOME and High HOME limits.

4. SFOORP: Offers below market rate rehabilitation loans for qualified home owners living in presidentially declared natural disaster area counties, whose houses were damaged by a natural disaster.

How are the Jurisdiction poverty reducing goals, programs, and policies coordinated with this affordable housing plan

The State of WV uses combinations of its HUD related funding, State funding, local funding and programs long with private funds to provide affordable housing programs to reduce the housing cost burden, which is frequent among households whose income is near the poverty line. For example, low interest or deferred rehabilitation loans, weatherization programs, and utility discounts based on household income reduce overall housing costs and makes money available for other necessities or to help pay toward educational programs or training.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

SP-80 Monitoring - 91.330

Describe the standards and procedures that the state will use to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and will use to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG

The CDBG projects are monitored on an on-going basis with a three-tiered process that includes:

- Financial account and audit monitoring
- Action Plan compliance monitoring
- Project/grantee level monitoring

Financial management of each project is provided through a desk review of every reimbursement request that is submitted. The request comes into the appropriate Community Development Representative who is the first to review and contact the project administrator if additional documentation is needed. This packet is then submitted to the manager of the program for approval. The packet is then sent to accounting staff for payment. Review of project administrative financial records are monitored in the field by the Community Development Representatives and/or staff auditor. The staff accountant of the agency is responsible for project/activity set-up, requests and approvals (by two separate division positions) of draw-downs, de-obligations or re-obligations.

CDBG staff monitors program spending and practices to assure that the department is meeting its action plan targets and federal regulations on the amount of funds committed and expended by the program.

Community development staff is also responsible for processing the awards of grants or other forms of assistance. On a project or activity level, project sponsors, grantees, and sub-recipients are monitored on a regular basis in accordance with program specific guidelines and risk assessments. The CDBG staff conducts these monitoring annually, based on risk assessments, and/or as needed. The approach utilized to project and activity monitoring is to both ensure compliance and to provide appropriate technical assistance to assure the long-term success of our partners, programs, and the clients that they serve.

ESG and HOPWA

The Office of Economic Opportunity, in cooperation with the four Continua of Care reviewers, determines sub grantees. Based on yearly budget OEO then decides on individual award amounts for

Consolidated Plan

the ESG program. The Homeless Programs Administrator is responsible for the RFP process and contracts. The OEO accounting staff is responsible for the oversight of the fiscal activity set-up, requests and approvals of draw-downs. Requests for extensions are approved by the Homeless Programs Administrator and the accounting division of OEO. All draw-downs are originated by one division and approved by another division in order to ensure a separation of duties within the office.

All ESG and HOPWA requests are reviewed by an extensive desk monitoring process. ESG requests come from the sub-grantee and based on a risk assessment may include a copy of the original request (utility bill, payment for rental assistance, etc.) and a copy of the check used for payment of request. These requests are used to monitor program spending and practices to assure that each sub-grantee is meeting spending goals and project outputs. In addition to desk monitoring, OEO does follow-up with on-site monitoring if there is a need to ensure compliance and provide technical assistance. HOPWA program on-site monitoring takes place on a bi-annual basis. Continued technical assistance is offered to all HOPWA sub-grantees on an on-going basis.

HOME PROGRAM

The HOME Program is monitored in compliance with the regulations set forth by HUD and the West Virginia Housing Development Fund.

Expected Resources

AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.320(c)(1,2)

Introduction

This plan is prepared as a collaborative effort of three agencies: The West Virginia Development Office is responsible for the Community Development Block Grant program, the West Virginia Housing Development Fund is responsible for the Home Investment Partnership Program, and the West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity is responsible for the Emergency Solutions Grant Program and the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS programs.

Anticipated Resources

| Program | Source of | Uses of Funds | Exp | ected Amoun | t Available Yea | ar 1 | Expected | Narrative |
|---------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Description |
| CDBG | public - | Acquisition | | | | | | |
| | federal | Admin and Planning | | | | | | |
| | | Economic Development | | | | | | |
| | | Housing | | | | | | |
| | | Public Improvements | | | | | | |
| | | Public Services | 12,528,038 | 0 | 0 | 12,528,038 | 0 | |

| Program | Source of | Uses of Funds | Exp | ected Amoun | t Available Yea | nr 1 | Expected | Narrative |
|---------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Description |
| HOME | public - | Acquisition | | | | | | |
| | federal | Homebuyer assistance | | | | | | |
| | | Homeowner rehab | | | | | | |
| | | Multifamily rental new | | | | | | |
| | | construction | | | | | | |
| | | Multifamily rental rehab | | | | | | |
| | | New construction for | | | | | | |
| | | ownership | | | | | | |
| | | TBRA | 3,429,971 | 1,800,000 | 0 | 5,229,971 | 0 | |
| HOPWA | public - | Permanent housing in | | | | | | |
| | federal | facilities | | | | | | |
| | | Permanent housing | | | | | | |
| | | placement | | | | | | |
| | | Short term or transitional | | | | | | |
| | | housing facilities | | | | | | |
| | | STRMU | | | | | | |
| | | Supportive services | | | | | | |
| | | TBRA | 344,347 | 0 | 52,000 | 396,347 | 0 | |

199

| Program | Source of | Uses of Funds | Exp | ected Amoun | t Available Yea | nr 1 | Expected | Narrative |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|
| | Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Description |
| ESG | public - | Conversion and rehab for | | | | | | |
| | federal | transitional housing | | | | | | |
| | | Financial Assistance | | | | | | |
| | | Overnight shelter | | | | | | |
| | | Rapid re-housing (rental | | | | | | |
| | | assistance) | | | | | | |
| | | Rental Assistance | | | | | | |
| | | Services | | | | | | |
| | | Transitional housing | 1,560,544 | 0 | 0 | 1,560,544 | 0 | |
| Housing | public - | Acquisition | | | | | | |
| Trust Fund | federal | Admin and Planning | | | | | | |
| | | Multifamily rental new | | | | | | |
| | | construction | | | | | | |
| | | Multifamily rental rehab | | | | | | |
| | | Other | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 | 0 | |

Table 57 - Expected Resources – Priority Table

Explain how federal funds will leverage those additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements will be satisfied

The State of West Virginia will utilize multiple sources of state, federal, local, and private funds to address the needs identified in this action plan. The CDBG Program does not require a match (with the exception of Planning Grants, which require a 10% cash match); however, credit is given for leveraged funds during the review process. Sources of leveraged funding frequently include:

• Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council (IJDC) Grants and Loans

Consolidated Plan

- Drinking Water Revolving Loan Funds (DWTRF)
- Clean Water Revolving Loan Funds (CWSRF)
- Water Development Authority
- Local Lending Institutions
- Additional Funds
- Private Resources
- West Virginia Development Office
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Utities Service, Rural Development
- Appalachian Regional Commission

HOME

Any HOME Program match obligation incurred by the State during FY 2015 may be met through eligible State affordable housing activities, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Mortgage Revenue Bond Program
- LAMP Program
- Payment of HOME Originator fees from the general funds of the WVHDF
- Downpayment/Closing Cost Assistance Program
- Eligible Flood Program activities
- Development Financing Program (eligible multi-family project financing)

The State may use additional sources of match to meet any match obligation if it determines that additional sources are necessary and eligible. The State may also request match reductions during FY 2015 for any area in which a federal disaster declaration is made pursuant to the Stafford Act.

In addition, HOMErent funds will be leveraged with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits. As a result developers will increase the supply of safe, rental housing for households at or below 40% AMI, 50% AMI, and 60% AMI.

ESG

The ESG Program requires a dollar-for-dollar match in non-ESG funds from the State for their allocated amount. To meet this requirement, the State requires that applicants for State ESG funding provide a dollar-for-dollar match for their program costs. The matching requirement can be met with either cash or non-cash contributions of in-kind or donated resources such as the value of buildings, equipment, or volunteer services.

If appropriate, describe publically owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

To facilitate the development of adequate infrastructure systems, identified as a priority of the CDBG program, local governments may utilize publicly owned land for planned infrastructure improvements which benefit the community as a whole. Examples may include existing or acquired property that will serve as the location of a water treatment facility or wastewater treatment facility. In certain circumstances, new utility lines may be constructed in exising rights of way or through an existing easement. Local governments may also acquire property as needed for the provision of adequate water and wastewater facilities and distribution systems.

CDBG funds may be used to pay for the cost of identifying the property to be acquired, appraisals, the preparation of legal documents, and other costs associated with acquisition required to complete a CDBG project. Such expenses qualify under the area benefit category for infrastructure projects that serve a primarily residential area consisting of residents who are at least 51% low- and moderate-income persons.

Discussion

The WVHDF HOME Program anticipates the use of the HUD HOME award, estimated Program Income, and leveraged funds to successfully implement all aspects of the HOME Program.

The HOME Uses of Funds, listed above, is read-only and does not accurately reflect the WVHDF HOME Uses of Funds. The WVHDF HOME funds will be used for: Acquisition, Acquisition for homeownership, Admin and Planning, Leverage for homeownership, Multifamily rental new construction, Multifamily rental rehabilitation, and New construction for homeownership.

The WVHDF HTF Program anticipated the use of the HUD HTF award and leveraged funds to successfully implement all aspects of the HTF Program.

Annual Goals and Objectives

AP-20 Annual Goals and Objectives – 91.320(c)(3)&(e)

Goals Summary Information

| Sort | Goal Name | Start | End | Category | Geographic | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|-------|----------------|-------|------|-------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Order | | Year | Year | | Area | | | |
| 1 | Development of | 2015 | 2016 | Non-Housing | | CDBG Priority 2 | CDBG: | Public Facility or Infrastructure |
| | Public | | | Community | | (Facilities and | \$12,000,000 | Activities for Low/Moderate |
| | Infrastructure | | | Development | | Services) | | Income Housing Benefit: 1500 |
| | | | | | | | | Households Assisted |

| Sort Order | Goal Name | Start Year | End Year | Category | Geographic Area | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| 2 | Local Community | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | HOME Priority 1 | HOME: | Rental units constructed: 23 |
| | Development | | | Housing | | (NewHOME | \$3,429,971 | Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | Program) | | Rental units rehabilitated: 15 |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 2 | | Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | (HOME Leverage | | Homeowner Housing |
| | | | | | | Loan Program) | | Rehabilitated: 25 Household |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 4 | | Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | (HOMErent | | Direct Financial Assistance to |
| | | | | | | Program) | | Homebuyers: 20 Households |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 5 | | Assisted |
| | | | | | | (CHDO Program) | | |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 6 | | |
| | | | | | | (CHDO Oper Exp | | |
| | | | | | | Grant Program) | | |
| | | | | | | HOME Priority 7 | | |
| | | | | | | Single Family | | |
| | | | | | | Owner Occupied | | |
| | | | | | | Rehab | | |
| 3 | Job creation and | 2015 | 2016 | Non-Housing | | CDBG Priority 2 | | |
| | retention | | | Community | | (Facilities and | | |
| | | | | Development | | Services) | | |
| 4 | ESG Shelter Goal 2 | 2015 | 2019 | Affordable | | ESG need 2 | ESG: \$600,000 | Homeless Person Overnight |
| | | | | Housing | | | | Shelter: 1700 Persons Assisted |
| | | | | Homeless | | | | |
| | | | | Non-Homeless | | | | |
| | | | | Special Needs | | | | |

| Sort | Goal Name | Start | End | Category | Geographic | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|-------|---------------------|-------|------|---------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Order | | Year | Year | | Area | | | |
| 5 | ESG ReHousing and | 2015 | 2019 | Homeless | | ESG Need 1 | ESG: \$700,000 | Tenant-based rental assistance / |
| | Prevention Goal 1 | | | Non-Homeless | | | | Rapid Rehousing: 300 |
| | | | | Special Needs | | | | Households Assisted |
| | | | | | | | | Homelessness Prevention: 100 |
| | | | | | | | | Persons Assisted |
| 6 | Provide Suitable | 2015 | 2019 | Non-Homeless | | | HOPWA: | Homelessness Prevention: 240 |
| | Living Environment | | | Special Needs | | | \$350,000 | Persons Assisted |
| | HOPWA | | | | | | | HIV/AIDS Housing Operations: 7 |
| | | | | | | | | Household Housing Unit |
| 7 | Increase the supply | 2015 | 2016 | Affordable | | Housing Trust Fund | Housing Trust | Rental units constructed: 25 |
| | of affordable | | | Housing | | (HTF) | Fund: | Household Housing Unit |
| | housing | | | | | | \$3,000,000 | Rental units rehabilitated: 25 |
| | | | | | | | | Household Housing Unit |
| | | | | | | | | Other: 10 Other |

Table 58 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

| 1 | Goal Name | Development of Public Infrastructure |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Goal Description | |

| 2 | Goal Name | Local Community Development | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Goal Description | HOME: The 2015 \$3,429,971 HOME award allocated to the State of West Virginia and the estimated \$1,800,000 of 2015 HOME Program Income are allocated 100% to Local Community Development. Local Community Development is the entire priority since HOME funds and HOME Program Income are used to expand the supply of safe, decent, sanitary, and affordable housing, and are not used for the Development of Public Infrastructure or Job Creation and Retention. | | | | |
| 3 | Goal Name | Job creation and retention | | | | |
| | Goal Description | | | | | |
| 4 | Goal Name | ESG Shelter Goal 2 | | | | |
| | Goal | | | | | |
| | Description | | | | | |
| 5 | Goal Name | ESG ReHousing and Prevention Goal 1 | | | | |
| | Goal Description | | | | | |
| 6 | Goal Name | Provide Suitable Living Environment HOPWA | | | | |
| | Goal Description | | | | | |
| 7 | Goal Name | Increase the supply of affordable housing | | | | |
| | Goal Description | The Housing Trust Fund Program is designed to increase the supply of safe, decent, sanitary, and affordable rental housing for individuals/families at or below 30% AMI and 50% AMI, when permitted. | | | | |

Consolidated Plan

AP-25 Allocation Priorities - 91.320(d)

Introduction:

The Annual Action Plan executive summary is prepared in compliance with 24 CFR 570.91.320(b). The purpose of the executive summary is to outline the State's method of distributing funds to local governments and organizations to carry out activities (or activities to be undertaken by the State) using funds that are expected to be received under formula allocations and other HUD assistance received during the program year, including program income.

These funds are expected to be made available to address the housing-related needs and non-housing community development needs described in the strategies, priority needs, and objectives section of the five-year consolidated plan. As required by 91.320(b), the State of West Virginia will submit this FY2015 Consolidated Action Plan on or before the due date of May 15, 2015.

| | | | | | | | Increase the | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|
| | Development of | | Job creation | ESG | ESG ReHousing | Provide Suitable | supply of | |
| | Public | Local Community | and retention | Shelter | and Prevention | Living Environment | affordable | Total |
| | Infrastructure (%) | Development (%) | (%) | Goal 2 (%) | Goal 1 (%) | HOPWA (%) | housing (%) | (%) |
| CDBG | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| HOME | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HOPWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ESG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing | | | | | | | | |
| Trust | | | | | | | | |
| Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Funding Allocation Priorities

Table 59 – Funding Allocation Priorities

Reason for Allocation Priorities

CDBG: Development of Public Infrastructure continues to be the priority need for the CDBG funding for the State of West Virginia. Realizing that reliable infrastructure forms the basic foundation for other opportunities, the State will continue to invest CDBG funds to develop suitable living

Consolidated Plan

conditions and create an environment conducive to future community & economic development.

HOME: The 2015 \$3,429,971 HOME award allocated to the State of West Virginia & the estimated \$1,800,000 of 2015 HOME Program Income are allocated 100% to Local Community Development. LCD is the entire priority since HOME funds & HOME Program Income are used to expand the supply of safe, decent, sanitary & affordable housing in the State.

ESG: Because the needs of program participants, & access & availability to assistance vary across the State, the State will require its subrecipients to establish and implement their own written program standards. Program standards must be applied consistently to every program participant. At a minimum, program standards must include the following:

-Policies & procedures for evaluating individuals' & families' eligibility for ESG assistance; Policies & procedures for coordination among homelessness prevention & rapid re-housing assistance providers, emergency shelter providers, essential service providers, other homeless assistance providers, & mainstream service & housing providers; Policies & procedures for determining & prioritizing which eligible individuals & families will receive homelessness prevention assistance & which eligible individuals & families will receive rapid re-housing assistance; Standards for determining the length of time a particular program participant will be provided with rental assistance & if and how the amount of that assistance will be adjusted over time; Standards for determining the share of rent and utilities costs that each program participant must pay, if any, while receiving homelessness prevention or rapid re-housing assistance; Standards for determining the type, amount, and duration of housing stabilization and/or relocation services to provide a program participant. Include the limits, if any, on the homelessness prevention or rapid re-housing assistance that each program participant may receive (maximum amount of assistance, maximum number of months, or maximum number of times the program participants may receive assistance); Standards for targeting & providing essential services related to street outreach activities. Include the limits, if any, on the street outreach assistance that each program participant may receive (maximum amount of assistance, maximum number of months, or maximum number of times the program participants may receive assistance); Policies & procedures for assessing, prioritizing, & reassessing individuals' & families' needs for essential services related to emergency shelter; Policies & procedures for avoiding conflicts of interest; & Policies & procedures for terminating assistance to program participants.

HOPWA: The HOPWA funds are allocated to the non-entitlement areas of the state based on need & numbers served by the project sponsors.

HTF: The 2016 anticipated \$3,000,000 HTF award allocated to the State of West Virginia will be allocated 100% to LCD. LCD is the entire priority since HTF is used to expand the supply of safe, decent, sanitary & affordable housing in the State for extremely low income & very low income populations.

Consolidated Plan

How will the proposed distribution of funds will address the priority needs and specific objectives described in the Consolidated Plan?

CDBG:During the FY2014 funding cycle, the WVDO received 62 applications representing more than \$72 million in requests for the estimated \$12.3 million available. Because the demand for funding far exceeds the amount of funding available, it is not uncommon to receive the same applications for many consecutive years. Many of these projects address serious health or environmental concerns in eligible areas. Each investment of CDBG funding improves this situation; however, many residents continue to struggle with inadequate and/or unsafe water and wastewater systems. Because the development of water and wastewater systems continues to be a critical need, most CDBG funding is used for this purpose.

ESG and HOPWA:By using a highly competitive application process for all ESG and HOPWA funds the OEO assures the funds are used to continue serving those most at need. The overall goal of the ESG program is to end homelessness. By streamlining resources in such a way to serve those hardest to serve, those chronically homeless individuals and families, and quickly stabilizing their housing, these goals can be met. Shelters are funded with the understanding that the maximum shelter stay for their residents be no more than 30 days. This is not used as a way to move clients back onto the streets but rather quickly rehouse them.

NewHOME Program:Will receive 11.6% of the HOME award (\$400,000) and will provide mortgage financing for an estimated four families. This program will receive 62.8% of the estimated HOME Program Income (\$1,131,000) and will provide mortgage financing for an estimated 11 families. HOME Leverage Loan Program:Will receive 2.2% of the HOME award (\$75,000) and will provide resources to qualified families in order to leverage HOME funds with another source, and thereby provide the entire financing required for a house. It is estimated that the HOME Leverage Loan Program will provide resources with which three qualified families can leverage with other sources.

HOMErent Program:Will receive 52.8% of the HOME award (\$1,811,000) and an additional 10.5% of the estimated HOME Program Income (\$189,000) and will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families. It is estimated that 35 families will be served from the HOMErent Program.

CHDO Program:Will receive 18.7% of the HOME award (\$640,900) and will provide CHDOs funds for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families. It is estimated that eight qualified families in the State will be served from the CHDO Program. CHDO Operating Expense Grant Program:Will receive 4.7% of the HOME award (\$160,074) will provide grant funds for certified CHDOs so that a portion of a CHDO's operating expenses can be reimbursed. It is estimated that 8 certified CHDOs will benefit from this program.

Consolidated Plan

SFOORP: It is estimated that up to \$1,000,000 from FY2015-2017 allocations will provide funds to repair damaged properties in counties.

Administration:Will receive 10% (\$342,997) of the HOME award (\$342,997) and 10% of HOME Program Income (estimated \$180,000) and be used by the WVHDF to administer HOME.

HTF Rental: Up to 90% of the HTF award (up to \$2,700,000) will be used to increase the supply of affordable rental units for the new construction or rehabilitation of rental units. HTF Operating Cost:Up to 33 1/3% of the HTF award (up to \$999,999) will be used to provide operating cost funds to projects that have newly constructed or rehabilitated rental units to serve extremely low income and very low income populations. HTF Administration:10% (\$300,000) will be used to administer HTF.

AP-30 Methods of Distribution – 91.320(d)&(k)

Introduction:

The projected use of funds reflects the strategy of the State for the use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the development of communities in the state. This strategy is based upon the consolidated plan, the identification of local priorities, and making investments that are consistent with the objectives for the CDBG Program. The method of distribution is designed to permit flexibility in the utilization of other sources of funding and the timing of investment decisions.

Distribution Methods

Table 60 - Distribution Methods by State Program

| 1 | State Program Name: | CDBG |
|---|---------------------|------|
| | Funding Sources: | CDBG |

| Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution. | General : The projected use of funds reflects the strategy of the State for the use of CDBG Grant funds for the development of communities in the state. This strategy is based upon the consolidated plan, the identification of local priorities, and making investments that are consistent with the objectives for the CDBG Program. The method of distribution is designed to permit flexibility in the utilization of other sources of funding and the timing of investment decision. |
|---|--|
| | An activity may be funded in whole or in part with CDBG funds only if all of the following criteria are met: |
| | Each activity must be eligible under Section 105 of the Act as summarized in 24 CFR 570: Title 24 - Housing and Urban Development, Part 570 Community Development Block Grants. |
| | 2. Each activity must fulfill one of the three national objectives. |
| | 3. Each activity must meet environmental review and clearance procedures. |
| | A notice of the CDBG grant application period is provided, on an annual basis, to all units of local government and the State's 11 Regional Planning and Development Councils. All application guidelines and documents are posted to the WVDO website and provided upon request. Grant awards will be made by the Governor consistent with the method of distribution as set forth herein. |
| | ESTIMATE OF BENEFIT TO LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME PERSONS |
| | It is anticipated that approximately \$12.5 million will be awarded for activities that will benefit low- and moderate-income persons during FY 2015. The State's annual allocation of funds will be determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development through a formula allocation. |
| | All units of local government in non-entitlement areas of the State may apply for CDBG funding. Each application is subject to the policies described herein. Due to performance measures implemented by HUD, all grantees must identify and measure, in quantitative terms, the proposed outcomes and accomplishments the project is to achieve. Application forms include a section address this requirement. |

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria. **Application Review:** All applications determined to be eligible and to meet a national objective shall advance and be reviewed for funding. The purpose of the review is to screen applications for competitiveness in relation to the amount of funds available and the objectives as set forth in paragraph 2b. To the extent applicable, criteria to be considered during the review will include: (1) the relationship to a national objective and number of low- and moderate-income persons served, (2) the relationship to CDBG program design objectives, (3) the public health, environmental, and economic development benefits of the project, (4) the degree to which the project will correct identified deficiencies or achieve compliance with required standards, (5) the cost effectiveness of the project, (6) the availability of other sources of funding for the project, (7) the degree to which the project to proceed, if funded, and (9) other CDBG considerations, such as existing open grants, other requests from the same area, applicant's capacity to administer and operate the project and grant, if approved, fair housing initiatives proposed, impact upon minority areas, and the geographical distribution of funds.

Upon the receipt of an application for CDBG funding, the following criteria will be emphasized during the review process:

(1) Existing open grants that are not proceeding according to the timeframe established in the grant agreement.

(2) Readiness of the project to proceed.

(3) Commitment of all other funding sources.

For example, a project from a community that has no threshold restrictions, is fully funded, and has completed all design work necessary to proceed to construction will receive priority consideration from this office for funding if the project meets all of the other review criteria.

IJDC Review (Water, Wastewater, and Economic Development): Upon receipt of an application, a technical evaluation and review will be conducted by the WVDO to include consultation with relevant local, regional, state, and federal agencies, including those of the Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council (IJDC).

The intent of this review is to assess and clarify statements of community development and housing needs and/ESENSRGINNA- and moderate-income persons; assess app21c5nt's performance in meeting citizen participation requirements; determine project eligibility in accordance with Section 105 of the Act; determine relationship to one or more of the three national objectives in accordance with 24 CFR Part 570.483; and verify consistency of the application to the

Consolidated Plan

OME Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)
| If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only) | CDBG application and instruction forms are available on WVDO's website. The application and instruction forms provide a comprehensive review of application procedures, criteria for eligibility, applicable federal and state regulations, and details regarding the CDBG program design. Recipients may also contact the office for a paper or electronic copy. Also, WVDO provides an implementation manual described below: The purpose of the Implementation Manual is: |
|--|---|
| ······································ | • To assist grant recipients in the day-to-day administration of their CDBG projects; |
| | • To provide practical information on how to implement a CDBG project to meet legal, financial and program obligations; and |
| | To provide the grant administrator a simple step-by-step approach to grant approval, set- up, implementation, audit and close-out of a CDBG project. |
| | This manual is intended as a guide, not as a substitute for a thorough knowledge of state and Federal laws and regulations referenced in this manual. Though not all inclusive, this manual covers the major areas of CDBG administration, provides required and suggested forms and instructions, and provides references for applicable laws and regulations. This manual also covers several different program years. Depending on the program year, not all activities in this manual may be currently eligible. |
| | For project and activity eligibility and program updates, the current program year CDBG Program Description and Application Guidelines should be consulted. Revisions and/or additions to this manual will be updated and made available on the (WVDO) website at www.wvdo.org. |

| Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available | |
|---|--|
| to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based | |
| organizations. (ESG only) | |
| Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other | |
| community-based organizations). (HOPWA only) | |

| Describe how resources will be allocated among funding | Application categories, ceilings and deadlines are provided for each of the following eligible uses of CDBG funding: |
|--|--|
| categories. | Water and Wastewater Projects: Applications must be postmarked by May 21, 2015. No application for water or wastewater may be submitted without an IJDC determination letter. The WVDO may accept an application on a provisional basis, provided that the project is submitted to IJDC prior to April 10, 2015. The IJDC letter must be submitted to WVDO by June 15, 2015. Projects submitted to IJDC after April 10, 2015, will not be considered. The Director reserves the right to partially fund a project. Projects may be selected for a Design and Administration of up to \$200,000, not to exceed 80% of total design costs. |
| | Job Creation Projects: Funds granted to Job Creation Projects are subject to the public benefit standards established by HUD. No more than \$35,000 per net new job may be awarded. For Development Projects (Water, Wastewater, Site Development), the limit per project is \$1,250,000. |
| | 3. Other Community and Economic Development Projects; such as Planning Projects and General Community Development: Applications must be postmarked by May 21, 2015. Planning Projects shall be limited to \$100,000, with a 10% local cash match. Joint planning projects shall be limited to \$120,000 regardless of the number of jurisdictions in the joint application, with a 10% local cash match. General Community Development Projects include eligible projects other than water, wastewater, or job creation/retention. This category may include land development or revolving loan fund proposals. The Director reserves the right to partially fund a project. |

| Describe threshold factors and | Eligible Applicants: Except for those jurisdictions restricted from applying for failure to meet the |
|--|--|
| grant size limits. | threshold requirements set forth in paragraph b, all non-entitlement municipal and county governments may submit one grant proposal for consideration from the FY 2015 program year. In addition, a job creation or retention project may be submitted for consideration from the Community Development funding pool. |
| | Two or more otherwise eligible jurisdictions may submit a joint, combination application that addresses common problems faced by the jurisdictions in combination, the solution of which requires the mutual action of the joint applicants. Project funding limit presented under "Ceilings and Limitations" is applicable for each of the jurisdictions in the combination. The community planning and citizen participation requirements are required for each of the jurisdictions involved, as well as the combination as a whole. Due to federal budget reductions, joint applications are not encouraged. |
| | Threshold RequirementsPerformance Standards: The following jurisdictions may not apply for funds unless a waiver is obtained. (1) Jurisdictions having active grants from the FY 2011 or earlier program years that have not received an interim closeout. (2) Jurisdictions having active grants from the FY 2011 program year that have not initiated sufficient construction activity to bring the project to completion until activity is at least 75 percent complete. (3) Jurisdictions holding a FY 2015 "Letter of Intent" may not apply for funds for another project in the same category. For example, if the jurisdiction has a "Letter of Intent" for a water/wastewater project, they can still apply for a general community development, planning, and economic development project IF they meet the above threshold requirements. A waiver request may be submitted; however, significant progress must have been made on the project holding the "Letter of Intent." This will be an extremely difficult waiver to obtain. |
| | Threshold Requirements—Recapture Schedule - In addition to the above standards that prohibit the application of CDBG funds for the FY 2015 program, be advised that letters will mailed in September 2015 indicating that projects funded in FY 2013 or earlier that have not entered into construction contracts by December 31, 2015, may have all funds recaptured as of January 15, 2016. |
| Consolidated Plan B Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018) | Grant size limits : Water and Wastewater projects \$1,500,000, Job Creation projects \$1,250,000, other Communit Wates Text Ginia Development projects; such as Planning Projects \$100,000 or \$120,000 for a Joint Planning Project, and General Community Development \$500,000. |
| | |

The Director of the Community Development Division will consider requests for a waiver of the above thresholds if the applicant can show that the circumstances surrounding the failure to meet

| | What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution? | <u>Amendments -</u> The State shall amend its action plan if the method of distribution contained in the action plan submitted to HUD is to be changed. The State shall determine the necessary changes; prepare the proposed amendment; provide citizens and units of general local government with reasonable notice of, and an opportunity to comment on, the proposed amendment; consider comments received; make the action plan available to the public at the time it is submitted to HUD; and submit the amended action plan to HUD before the state may implement changes embodied in the amendment. |
|---|---|---|
| | | Monitoring - The distribution of funds will be reported upon within each program's Comprehensive Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) in the form and format as prescribed by HUD. Fulfillment of the certifications made by the State will be monitored by HUD. These certifications include assurances that the distribution of funds under these programs will be used in furtherance of the consolidated plan and will ensure long-term compliance with the program involved, including the comprehensive planning requirements. |
| | | Individual activities approved for funding by the State shall be monitored in accordance with the regulations governing those programs to ensure sub-grantee compliance with such requirements as may be applicable to that program. |
| | | <u>Reporting</u> -All activities will meet the HUD criteria. Actual accomplishments will be reported in the Integrated Disbursement & Information Systems (IDIS) as of the 2015 Program year. The IDIS system provides HUD with current information regarding program activities, including funding data. HUD uses this information to report to Congress and to monitor grantees. |
| 2 | State Program Name: | ESG |
| | Funding Sources: | ESG |

| Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution. | The Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) administers the Emergency Solutions Grants program in West Virginia as a grantee of HUD, and in compliance with the HEARTH Act and other federal requirements. To facilitate strategic, community-wide policies, direct services and coordination with other resources that may be available to individuals and families that are experiencing homelessness, the HEARTH Act mandates area-wide systems coordination including: Consultation with Continuums of Care; Coordination with other programs targeted to people experiencing homelessness; Systems and program coordination with mainstream resources (health, employment, education etc.); Centralized or coordinated assessment; Written standards for providing ESG assistance; and Participation in HMIS, or in the case of victim service providers, a comparable system. OEO works closely with the CoCs in the state to evaluate how effectively programs meet the needs of those experiencing homelessness within their CoCs. The ESG program is designed to meet the needs of the most vulnerable citizens of the State, and resources are allocated to those providers with the best outcomes for those they serve. OEO funds sites that targets this assistance for individuals and families but for this assistance will become or remain homeless. ESG funding cannot be used for transitional housing. OEO releases the RFP each spring. Applications are typically due in May or June. All complete applications must meet a minimum threshold and are scored on organizational capacity, federal grant administrative capacity, and program approach and effectiveness. |
|---|--|
| | OEO does not use more than 60% of the overall funding or the amount used to fund shelter activities in 2010 whichever is higher for current shelter and street outreach funding. Transitional funding is no longer a funded activitity through the WV ESG program. 80% of funding left for rapid rehousing and homelessness prevention must be used for rapid rehousing with 20% availabe to use for homlessness prevention. All ESG funded shelter sites must either provide Rapid ReHousing from their shelter or have an agreement with another Rapid ReHousing provider who will service the shelter's clients. |

Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria.

OEO will review all complete applications received by the deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Applications will be evaluated on agency documentation of meeting the following criteria: Minimum threshold criteria: Application is complete (Narrative, budget and required attachments are all included); Eligible Subrecipient; Eligible activities; Application is received on or before deadline; All applications meeting the minimum threshold requirements will be referred to local CoC for recommendation, and reviewed, scored, and ranked by the OEO grant review panel based on the following criteria: **Organizational Capacity** (maximum 35 points) – All applicants – Based on information provided in Agency and General Administrative Narratives, and Detail Line Item Budget, with analysis of the relationship between the Budget and Program Component Narrative(s). Primary question for reviewers will be 'Does the applicant agency demonstrate the ability to successfully manage a federal program and federal funding?' Focus is on: Financial stability; Management systems; Internal Controls and financial management; Reports and findings from audits. Federal Grant Administrative Capacity (maximum 65 points) – Pass-through entities ONLY – Based on information provided in the Pass-Through Entity Additional Narrative. Primary question for reviewers will be, 'Does the applicant agency demonstrate the capacity, skills and knowledge to assume the federally-required roles of oversight and monitoring of subrecipients?' Focus is on effective implementation of statutory, regulatory and other requirements. Program Approach and Effectiveness (maximum 65 points) -**Project Sponsors ONLY** – Based on information provided in the Program Component Narrative(s). Primary question for reviewers is 'Does the applicant demonstrate effectiveness in using this component to move program participant along a path that leads to long term stable housing?' Focus is on: Clearly stated community need, coupled with activities and approaches that are likely to result in sustained positive outcomes for program participants; Programs aligned with Opening Doors goal of effectively assisting individuals and families at-risk of or experiencing homelessness to quickly regain stable permanent housing, by a. Prioritizing services for those most vulnerable; b. Actively participating in the local Continuum of Care; c. Creating and participating in local networks of programs targeted to people experiencing homelessness; and d. Involvement in a system and program coordination with mainstream resources (health, education, employment, etc.). For funding consideration, applicants must achieve 70% score for each application component. Total acceptable scores will vary based on the number of components each applicant proposes for funding. 222

Consolidated Plan

| is designed to meet the needs of the most vulnerable citizens of the State, and resources are allocated to those providers with the best outcomes for those they serve. OEO funds sites that | If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only) | Not applicable here |
|---|--|---|
| targets this assistance for individuals and families but for this assistance will become or remain homeless. ESG funding cannot be used for transitional housing. OEO releases the RFP each spring. Applications are typically due in May or June. All complete applications must meet a minimum threshold and are scored on organizational capacity, federal grant administrative capacity, and | funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based | in West Virginia as a grantee of HUD, and in compliance with the HEARTH Act and other federal requirements. To facilitate strategic, community-wide policies, direct services and coordination with other resources that may be available to individuals and families that are experiencing homelessness, the HEARTH Act mandates area-wide systems coordination including: Consultation with Continuums of Care; Coordination with other programs targeted to people experiencing homelessness; Systems and program coordination with mainstream resources (health, employment, education etc.); Centralized or coordinated assessment; Written standards for providing ESG assistance; and Participation in HMIS, or in the case of victim service providers, a comparable system. OEO works closely with the CoCs in the state to evaluate how effectively programs meet the needs of those experiencing homelessness within their CoCs. The ESG program is designed to meet the needs of the most vulnerable citizens of the State, and resources are allocated to those providers with the best outcomes for those they serve. OEO funds sites that targets this assistance for individuals and families but for this assistance will become or remain homeless. ESG funding cannot be used for transitional housing. OEO releases the RFP each spring. Applications are typically due in May or June. All complete applications must meet a minimum |

| | Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other community-based organizations). (HOPWA only) | Not applicable here. |
|---|--|---|
| | Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories. | ESG -No more than the maximum allowed of 60 percent of ESG funds will be allocated to operations and essential services related to shelter and street outreach. |
| | Describe threshold factors and grant size limits. | The amount of each award can vary between \$5000 and \$300,000. |
| | What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution? | The ultimate goal of ESG is to prevent homelessness and assist families and individuals experiencing homelessness to find housing as quickly as possible. Average shelter stays should be less than 30 days. Rapid ReHousing should be operated out of every shelter. Shelters that do not provide on-site Rapid ReHousing should have a strong community partner rehousing their program participants. Homelessness Prevention funding should be highly targeted to those individuals and households but for this assistance would end up homeless. |
| 3 | State Program Name: | HOME |
| | Funding Sources: | HOME |

| Describe the state program | HOME: The projected use of funds reflects the strategy of the State for the use of HOME funds in |
|----------------------------|--|
| addressed by the Method of | order to increase the supply of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable housing. This strategy is |
| Distribution. | based upon the consolidated plan, the identification of local priorities, and making commitments |
| | that are consistent with the objectives of the HOME Program. The method of distribution is |
| | designed so that the supply of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable housing is increased providing |
| | funds that will enable families at or below 80% AMI to purchase houses; allow for the construction |
| | and rehabilitation of rental units; rehabilitation of single family owner occupied units; provide |
| | operating expense grants to CHDOs; and allow the State to administer the HOME Program in the |
| | entire State. |

| be u the | scribe all of the criteria that will used to select applications and relative importance of these eria. | NewHOME and HOME Leverage Loan Programs: The criteria to be considered during the review will include: (1) the total family income; (2) front-end and back-end ratios; (3) mortgage and lending underwriting; and (4) the ability to sustain the home. HOMErent Program: The criteria to be considered during the review will include: Developer capacity, Fiscal soundness of developer(s) Continuous site control in the name of the ownership entity, Identification and commitment of other funding sources, Project feasibility, Examination of market conditions to ensure an adequate need, Number of assisted units, and Subsidy layering of |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | federal funds. CHDO Program: The criteria to be considered during the review will include: Developer capacity, Fiscal soundness of developer(s) Continuous site control in the name of the ownership entity, Identification and commitment of other funding sources, Project feasibility, Examination of market conditions to ensure an adequate need, Number of assisted units, and Subsidy layering of federal funds. |
| | | CHDO Operating Expense Grant Funds: The criteria to be considered during the review will include: Need for Grant Program; Feasibility of the proposed HOME-assisted CHDO set-aside project; Capacity to complete HOME CHDO project(s) in a timely manner; Experience and qualifications of paid employees; Timeliness and accuracy of past draw submissions; and Utilization rate of previous operating expense awards. |
| | | SFOORP: The criteria to be considered during the review will include: property must be located in a presidentially declared disaster area county, lending underwriting, subsidy layering of federal funds, and ability to sustain the property. |
| des app mai | nly summary criteria were cribed, how can potential plicants access application nuals or other | Not applicable here |
| | te publications describing the dication criteria? (CDBG only) | |

| Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available | Not applicable here |
|--|---------------------|
| to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based organizations. (ESG only) | |
| Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other community-based organizations). (HOPWA only) | Not applicable here |

| Describe how resources will be allocated among funding | NewHOME Program: will provide mortgage financing for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) to purchase a home. |
|--|---|
| categories. | HOME Leverage Loan Program: provide resources to qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) in order to leverage HOME funds with another source, and thereby provide the entire financing required to purchase a house. |
| | 3. HOMErent Program: will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development of rental housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). |
| | Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) Program: will provide CHDOs funds for the development of housing opportunities for qualified families (at or below 80% AMI). |
| | 5. CHDO Operating Expense Grant Funds: will provide grant funds for certified CHDOs so that a portion of a CHDO's operating expenses can be reimbursed. |
| | 6. Administration: will be used by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund in the administration of the HOME Program in the State. |
| | SFOORP: will provide below market rate loans for qualified families who live in a presidentially declared disaster area in order to repair properties damaged by a natural disaster. |

| | 1 |
|--|---|
| Describe threshold factors and grant size limits. | NewHOME Program: funding per house is limited to Existing Housing Price Limits and New Housing Price Limits for the State of West Virginia HOME Homeownership Value Limits. |
| | HOME Leverage Loan Program: funding per award is limited to \$25,000. |
| | HOMErent Program: funding per award is limited to \$500,000 and must comply with the HUD 221d3 per unit subsidy limit. |
| | Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) Program: funding is limited to the HUD 221d3 subsidy limit per unit for all units in the project. |
| | Administration: funding is limited to 10% of the annual HUD HOME allocation that the State receives. |
| | SFOORP: Funding is limited to the reasonable and necessary costs associated with the repair of a unit located in a presidentially declared disaster area. |
| What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method | NewHOME Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is 18 single family houses. |
| of distribution? | HOME Leverage Loan Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is providing funds to leverage additional funds for the purchase 3 single family houses. |
| | HOMErent Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is 30 rental units. |
| | CHDO Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is 8 rental units in FYs that receive no less than 15% of the HOME award. |
| | CHDO Operating Expense Grant Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is anticipated to provide operating expense grants to 8 CHDOs. |
| | Administration: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is to provide the WVHDF with the funds necessary to operate the HOME Program for the entire State. |
| | SFOORP: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is up to 25 units for FY2015-2017. |

| 4 | State Program Name: | HOPWA |
|---|---------------------|-------|
| | Funding Sources: | HOPWA |

| Describe the state program | The State of West Virginia Office of Economic Opportunity is the grantee and manages the |
|--|---|
| addressed by the Method of | HOPWA program for the State of West Virginia. OEO ensures project sponsors will provide |
| Distribution. | affordable housing and prevent homelessness among persons living with HIV/AIDS and their |
| | families. HOPWA funding provides housing assistance and related supportive services to develop |
| | community-wide strategies and form partnerships with non-profit agencies across the state. |
| | HOPWA funds can be used for a wide range of housing and essential services costs. OEO will |
| | review all complete applications received by the deadline. Late or incomplete applications will ne |
| | be reviewed. Applications will be evaluated on agency documentation of meeting the following |
| | criteria: Minimum threshold criteria: 1. Application is complete (Narrative, budget and require |
| | attachments are all included) 2. Eligible Subrecipient 3. Eligible activities 4. Application is receive |
| | on or before deadline Organizational Capacity (maximum 35 points) – All applicants – Based |
| | information provided in Agency Narrative, and Detail Line Item Budget, with analysis of the |
| | relationship between the Budget and Program Narrative. Primary question for reviewers will be |
| | Does the applicant agency demonstrate the ability to successfully manage a federal program and |
| | federal funding?- Focus is on: Financial stability; Management systems; Internal Controls and |
| | financial management; Reports and findings from audits Federal Grant Administrative Capacity |
| | (maximum 65 points) – Pass-through entities ONLY – Based on information provided in the Pas |
| | Through Entity Additional Narrative. Primary question for reviewers will be, -Does the applicant |
| | agency demonstrate the capacity, skills and knowledge to assume the federally-required roles o |
| | oversight and monitoring of subrecipients?- Focus is on effective oversight of subrecipients by |
| | implementing statutory, regulatory and other requirements. Program Approach and Effectiven |
| | (maximum 65 points) – Project Sponsors ONLY – Based on information provided in the Program |
| | Narrative. Primary questions for reviewers is -Does the application describe an effective plan to |
| | provide housing assistance and related supportive services for low-income individuals living with |
| | HIV/AIDS and their families who are homeless, at risk of homelessness or unstably housed? Is it |
| | reasonable to believe that the plan described will likely result in housing stability, increased acce |
| | to care and improved life outcomes for program participants?- Focus is on: Clearly stated |
| | community need, coupled with activities and approaches that are likely to result in sustained |
| | positive outcomes for program participants; Prioritized services for those most vulnerable; |
| | Strengthened community networks and collaboration that will result in a. Increased access to |
| Consolidated Plan | high-quality, well managed housing and health care. b. Stable housing arranged ments that reduc |
| Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018) | the risks of homelessness and improve access to care. |
| Control 100, 2000 0117 (CAP. 00/30/2010) | For funding consideration, applicants must achieve 70 % score for each application section |

For funding consideration, applicants must achieve 70 % score for each application section above. Total acceptable scores will vary based on the sections for which each applicant proposes

| Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria. | OEO will facilitate a request for proposals (applications) advertised through the CoC network and posted online for HIV/AIDS service providers. The RFP will gather information on the number of persons/households they plan to serve, housing plans, housing services, organizational capacity, performance goals, supportive services, and their proposed budget. Eligible applicants must: be non-profits current on their 990 filings. Applicants with outstanding audit findings, IRS findings or other federal or state non-compliance issues are not eligible to apply; be in compliance with HOPWA guidelines and applicable state and federal policies and procedures, including compliance with federal and state non-discrimination laws; have established accounting practices including internal controls, fiscal accounting procedures and cost allocation plans, and be able to track agency and program expense by revenue source; submit their state of WV business license and IRS 501 c 3 letter; be able to demonstrate prior experience serving individuals and families at risk or currently experiencing homelessness; certify that they will fully utilize the HMIS and Shelter Point module within the HMIS; and participate in their local CoC. |
|--|--|
| If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only) | |

| Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based organizations. (ESG only) | Not applicable here. |
|--|--|
| Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other community-based organizations). (HOPWA only) | OEO will facilitate a request for proposals (applications) advertised through the CoC network and posted online for HIV/AIDS service providers. The RFP will gather information on the number of persons/households they plan to serve, housing plans, housing services, organizational capacity, performance goals, supportive services, and their proposed budget. Eligible applicants must: be non-profits current on their 990 filings. Applicants with outstanding audit findings, IRS findings or other federal or state non-compliance issues are not eligible to apply; be in compliance with HOPWA guidelines and applicable state and federal policies and procedures, including compliance with federal and state non-discrimination laws; have established accounting practices including internal controls, fiscal accounting procedures and cost allocation plans, and be able to track agency and program expense by revenue source; submit their state of WV business license and IRS 501 c 3 letter; be able to demonstrate prior experience serving individuals and families at risk or or currently experiencing homelessness; certify that they will fully utilize the HMIS and Sheter Point module within the HMIS; and participate in their local CoC. |
| Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories. | At least 60 percent of all HOPWA funding is dedicated to housing activities. |

| | Describe threshold factors and grant size limits. | Three statewide grants averaging about \$100,000 are expected each year. | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | At least 80 percent of households assisted with HOPWA funding are to remain stably housed after a year. Continued operation of the community residences will stably house up to 7 households per year. | |
| 5 | State Program Name: | Housing Trust Fund (HTF) | |
| | Funding Sources: | Housing Trust Fund | |
| | Describe the state program addressed by the Method of Distribution. | The projected use of funds reflects the strategy of the State for the use of HTF funds in order to increase the supply of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable housing for extremely low income and very low income populations and to provide operating cost funds for HTF projects. This strategy is based upon the consolidated plan, the identification of local priorities, and making commitments that are consistent with the objectives of the HTF Program. The method of distribution is designed so that (a) the supply of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable housing is increased by providing funds that will allow for the construction, acquisition, and rehabilitation of rental units for extremely low income and very low income populations in the State; and (b) operating cost funds are available for HTF projects. | |
| | Describe all of the criteria that will be used to select applications and the relative importance of these criteria. | <u>HTF Program</u> : The criteria to be considered during the review will include: Applicant's ability to undertake eligible activities in a timely manner; The extent to which to project has Federal, State or local project-based rental assistance so rents are affordable to extremely low income and very low income populations in the State; Meeting the priority housing needs of the State; and Extent to which the application make use of non-federal funding sources. | |

| If only summary criteria were described, how can potential applicants access application manuals or other state publications describing the application criteria? (CDBG only) | Not applicable here. |
|--|----------------------|
| Describe the process for awarding funds to state recipients and how the state will make its allocation available to units of general local government, and non-profit organizations, including community and faith-based organizations. (ESG only) | Not applicable here. |
| Identify the method of selecting project sponsors (including providing full access to grassroots faith-based and other community-based organizations). (HOPWA only) | Not applicable here. |

| Describe how resources will be allocated among funding categories. | HTF Rental Program: will provide funds for nonprofit and for profit developers for the development (new construction, acquisition, and/or acquisition/rehabilitation) of rental housing opportunities for extremely low income and very low income populations in the State. Up to 90% of the grant award (up to \$2,700,000) will be allocated to this funding category.HTF Operating Cost Program: third of the grant award (up to \$999,999) will be allocated to this funding category.Administration: administration: will be used by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund in the |
|---|--|
| Describe threshold factors and grant size limits. | <u>HTF Program</u>: funding per award is limited to \$2,700,000 and must comply with the HUD maximum per unit subsidy limit. <u>Operating Cost Program</u>: funding per award is limited to \$999,999. <u>Administration</u>: funding is limited to 10% of the annual HTF allocation that the State receives. |
| What are the outcome measures expected as a result of the method of distribution? | HTF Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure is 50 rental units.Operating Cost Program: as a result of the method of distribution, the outcome measure in 10 rental units.Administration: state with the funds necessary to operating the HTF Program |

Discussion:

OTHER CRITERIA FOR CDBG PROJECTS:

Additional administrative requirements for CDBG program are described below:

- **Cost Overruns**: Requests for cost overruns may be submitted at any time during the year and considered without competition. **Cost overruns cannot include an increase in the scope of the approved project unless it is clearly demonstrated that the new scope is required by regulatory agencies.** In addition, cost overruns cannot bring a project's total above the funding ceiling established for the project type. The Director of the Community Development Division has the authority to approve cost overruns less than \$100,000. All others must obtain IJDC approval (for water and sewer projects only) and must be approved by the Governor's Office.
- **Cost Underruns**: The WVDO reserves the right to recapture all unexpended funds remaining upon project completion.
- **Grant Anticipation Notes (GANS)**: Due to the WVDO efforts to improve our expenditure rate, a new program is being initiated to allow grantees that have a full split year commitment to borrow funds in anticipation of a future CDBG allocation. This will allow projects to move forward in a timely manner and not wait for the formal commitment of CDBG funds. The application forms have been altered to include a line item for all costs associated with the GANS. If project has potential to be considered for a GANS, a \$50,000 line item is recommended to ensure that all soft costs associated with this interim financing program are covered.

PLANS OF THE STATE TO MINIMIZE DISPLACEMENT

Section 104(d) of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 contains requirements for a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan. Each State recipient must adopt, make public, and certify to the State that it is following a "residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan." The Federal Register at 24 CFR, Part 570.488, sets forth relocation, displacement, replacement housing, and real property acquisition policies and requirements applicable to the State CDBG program.

WAIVER FOR FEDERAL DISASTER PROVISION

Upon a federal disaster declaration by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Governor may allocate up to 100 percent of any funds not obligated toward disaster relief and waive any requirement not required by law or regulation

AP-35 Projects – (Optional)

Introduction:

CDBG: Prior to the receipt of funds, the State must prepare a statement of community development objectives and projected use of funds. The projected use of funds shall consist of the method by which the State will distribute funds to units of local government to fulfill one of three national objectives. All funds will be used for projects which:

1. Benefit low- and moderate-income persons,

2. Aid in the prevention or elimination of slums and blight, or

3. Serve an urgent need.

RANGE OF ACTIVITIES TO BE UNDERTAKEN:

Any activity may be funded in whole or in part only if the following criteria are met:

1. Each activity must be eligible under Section 105 of the Housing and Community Development Act.

- 2. Each activity must fulfill one of three national objectives.
- 3. Each activity must meet environmental review and clearance procedures.

Existing projects that were partially funded in a previous fiscal year may be fully funded based upon readiness to proceed. Those projects determined not ready to proceed by September 1 will be carried over as an "Intent to Commit" from a subsequent fiscal year, not to exceed \$5 million.

Funds accruing to the CDBG program not obligated; prior appropriations; recaptured and reallocated funds; program income; or additional appropriations shall be added to either of the Community Development categories at the discretion of the Community Development Division.

In order to facilitate the timely expenditure of funds and respond to job creation or retention opportunities, the State reserves the right to issue "Letters of Intent" for future funding based upon the availability of funds. The Director reserves the right to adjust these allocations as needed, including increasing the permitted amount for future commitment by 10 percent.

Any amount not obligated for "Letters of Intent to Fund" from the current allocation shall be added to the "Available for Distribution" amount. Unless a "Letter of Intent" is terminated, such intent to fund shall be carried forward as a "Letter of Intent."

Program Income is defined in 24 CFR 570.489(e) as gross income received by a unit of local government

that was generated from the use of CDBG funds. If less than \$25,000 is received in a single year, funds may be treated as miscellaneous income and will not be subject to program requirements. If \$25,000 or more is received in a single year, funds will be treated as additional program funds and will be subject to all program requirements. This program income will be used to continue the same activity from which it was derived or be subject to recapture by the State.

HOME: The HOME Program does not identify specific projects requiring HOME funds. However, the WVHDF HOME Department issues RFPs for nonprofit and for-profit developers to request HOME funds in order to develop a project.

ESG and HOPWA: The ESG and HOPWA programs do not identify specific projects to be funded. OEO uses an application process for nonprofit agencies for both ESG and HOPWA and funds those projects that best meet the needs of their CoC and communities.

| Project Name |
|--|
| Mercer County Commission-Phase IVB Elgood Water Extension |
| Lewis County-Northwest Lewis Water Extension |
| Marlinton-Water System Upgrades |
| Tucker County Commission-Hamrick PSD Water System Upgrades |
| |

Table 61 – Project Information

Describe the reasons for allocation priorities and any obstacles to addressing underserved needs

In all programs, the need for funding far exceeds the amount of funds available. For this reason, it is not uncommon to receive the same project applications for many consecutive years. As a result, the programs remain extremely competitive.

In the CDBG program, the State received \$12,831,207 in Fiscal Year 2014. For this amount of funding, the WVDO received 62 applications representing more than \$72 million in requests for the estimated \$12.3 million available for projects. Many of these projects address serious health or environmental concerns in low- to moderate-income areas. Each investment of CDBG funding will improve this situation; however, many residents continue to struggle with inadequate and/or unsafe water and wastewater system. Realizing that infrastructure development forms the basic foundation for other opportunities, the WVDO will continue to invest CDBG funds to develop suitable living conditions and create an environment conducive to community and economic development in low- to moderate-income areas.

AP-38 Project Summary

Project Summary Information

| 1 | Project Name | Mercer County Commission-Phase IVB Elgood Water Extension |
|---|---|--|
| | Target Area | |
| | Goals Supported | Development of Public Infrastructure |
| | Needs Addressed | CDBG Priority 1 (Infrastructure Development) |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$1,250,000 |
| | Description | |
| | Target Date | |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | |
| | Location Description | |
| | Planned Activities | |
| 2 | Project Name | Lewis County-Northwest Lewis Water Extension |
| | Target Area | |
| | Goals Supported | Development of Public Infrastructure |
| | Needs Addressed | CDBG Priority 1 (Infrastructure Development) |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$1,250,000 |
| | Description | This project will provide water service to 96 homes in the northwest area of Lewis County. |
| | Target Date | |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | |
| | Location Description | |
| | Planned Activities | |
| 3 | Project Name | Marlinton-Water System Upgrades |
| | Target Area | |
| | Goals Supported | Development of Public Infrastructure |
| | Needs Addressed | CDBG Priority 1 (Infrastructure Development) |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$1,000,000 |

| | Description | Funds will be used to improve the water system serving |
|---|---|--|
| | | the City of Marlinton. |
| | Target Date | |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | |
| | Location Description | |
| | Planned Activities | |
| 4 | Project Name | Tucker County Commission-Hamrick PSD Water System Upgrades |
| | Target Area | |
| | Goals Supported | Development of Public Infrastructure |
| | Needs Addressed | CDBG Priority 1 (Infrastructure Development) |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$1,250,000 |
| | Description | Funds will enable the Tucker County Commission to repair and upgrade the Hamrick Public Service District water system. |
| | Target Date | |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | |
| | Location Description | |
| | Planned Activities | |

AP-40 Section 108 Loan Guarantee – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will the state help non-entitlement units of general local government to apply for Section 108 loan funds?

Yes

Available Grant Amounts

No commitment to guarantee shall be made if the total outstanding notes or obligations guaranteed under the Section 108 program exceed \$7,000,000. The amount any one project may receive shall be limited to not more than \$3,000,000 or less than \$100,000. It should be noted that Section 108 funds may not be used for administrative costs.

Acceptance process of applications

Project application documentation shall be evaluated for meeting basic HUD eligibility requirements. Projects must attract private investment and be financially feasible. No funds may be used for the refinancing of existing debt. The quality of the jobs to be created; the relationship of the project to State economic development initiatives or plans; and standard credit considerations that include the assessment of risk, the terms of the loan, and the availability of accepted collateral will be considered. Review analysis will include, but will not be limited to:

(1) Types of jobs to be created or retained, benefits provided, opportunity for longevity.

(2) Historical and projected financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

- (3) Personal financial statements of stockholders in the "for-profit" business being assisted.
- (4) Appraisals, environmental assessments, historical reviews, surveys, and feasibility studies.
- (5) Resumes of the management team of the "for-profit" being assisted.
- (6) Product description and analysis.
- (7) Market analysis of the project or service.
- (8) Loan commitments, option agreements, leases, and/or borrowing agreements, as appropriate.

Complete Section 108 applications will be accepted on a continuing basis. Applications determined to be eligible and fulfilling all HUD requirements will be reviewed. The State reserves the right to request

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

and require any additional information or impose additional requirements it determines necessary to make a responsible decision regarding the loan. After having evaluated the proposed application, the final decision as to whether to forward the application to HUD will be at the sole discretion of the West Virginia Economic Development Authority.

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

AP-45 Community Revitalization Strategies – 91.320(k)(1)(ii)

Will the state allow units of general local government to carry out community revitalization strategies?

Yes

State's Process and Criteria for approving local government revitalization strategies

Community Development Program Priority: The State will not refuse to award funds on the basis of the activity selected by a local unit of government. However, grant awards will emphasize the State's commitment toward the objectives stated in paragraph 2b.

The Community Development Division Director reserves the right to work with any eligible unit of local government on a new type of application based on community needs. This may be a pilot project in order to establish guidelines for a future type of application to be included in the program design. These projects must have a documented inability to compete under the categories listed below and assist the state's commitment toward objectives stated in paragraph 2b. The projects will be selected based on the method of distribution established in Section 7 of this program design. The application ceiling will be \$500,000. The funds will be allocated based upon availability of funds at the time of application.

Self-Help Water applications meet the above criteria. Applications will once again be solicited through the Regional Planning and Development Councils; however, any Self Help Water application will be accepted year-round, based upon the availability of funds. The Director reserves the right to establish the application deadline based on the specific needs of the project.

Local financial participation will lend value to the consideration of any proposal. A project may address a single need or address a substantial portion of the identified community development and housing needs. Activities serving different geographic areas must be qualified separately.

AP-50 Geographic Distribution – 91.320(f)

Description of the geographic areas of the state (including areas of low-income and minority concentration) where assistance will be directed

Investing across a large and diverse area is challenging; however WVDO, WVHDF, and OEO continue to evaluate its methods of distribution to assure that funds for these critical programs (CDBG, ESG, HOME, HTF, HOPWA) meet the priority needs within West Virginia. Each program varies in its methods for fund distribution, but as a rule WVDO, WVHDF and OEO give priority to non-entitlement areas within the state. Each specific program is tailored to meet federal and program specific regulations and to best meet the needs specific to each target population.

The geographic area for the distribution of all of the programs included in this 2015 Consolidated Action Plan is the entire State of West Virginia.

Geographic Distribution

| Target Area | Percentage of Funds |
|-------------|---------------------|
| | |

Table 62 - Geographic Distribution

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

Rationale for the priorities for allocating investments geographically

CDBG funding supports projects and activities in non-CDBG entitlement areas.

HOPWA sub-grantees remain the same partners OEO has chosen since 1994 in a RFP process for the original Special Project of National Significance HOPWA grant. The excellent program guidance and capabilities of these sub-grantees only strengthens as already proven continuum of care within the State of West Virginia and the AIDS service providers.

At least 65 percent of the funding utilized received by grantees (or project sponsors) through this program must be used for direct housing assistance to eligible HOPWA clients.

HOME funding supports projects and activities in the entire State.

HTF funding supports projects and activities in the entire State. The rationale in supporting projects and activities in the entire State allows the WVHDF to address and serve the housing needs throughout the entire state, where a need is expressed and an adequate market exists.

Discussion

Obstacles to meeting underserved needs include:

- West Virginia housing costs exceed household income
- Significant quantity of substandard housing
- Absence of state resources for affordable housing
- Increased federal priority on community integration for disabled persons has highlighted a severe shortage in subsidized, affordable, and accessible housing
- Serious market decrease in the demand for tax exempt bonds has limited leveraging opportunities
- Rural challenges to providing homeless services

Affordable Housing

AP-55 Affordable Housing – 24 CFR 91.320(g)

Introduction:

The State will focus its HOME Program resources on three areas:

(1) HOMEownership Opportunities (HO) with leverage loans, direct loans, and SFOORP;

(2) Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs); and

(3) HOMErent with loans to promote the improvement and creation of rental housing opportunities for low- and very low-income families. The WVHDF, on behalf of the State, will adjust funding levels between the program areas to recapture and reallocate funds between these program areas to reflect market demands or needs arising from natural disasters as permitted under the regulations.

The State's HOME Program (Program) is operated as a direct program. The WVHDF, the Statedesignated HOME Program administrator, issues a permanent financing commitment for a project when all Program conditions are met, and the State retains full control of the quality of the loans. In most instances, HLLP and NewHOME loans are closed in the name of the WVHDF as an instrumentality of the State. Nonprofit Housing Providers, approved lenders, or government entities will be responsible for the submission of compliance documents before closing. Closing agents will be responsible for any compliance documents to be prepared or delivered at, or immediately following, the loan closing. CHDOs, as directed by HUD, are the only direct recipients of HOME Program funding. At least fifteen percent (15 percent) of the State's allocation will be set aside for CHDO projects.

The Fund will continue to offer leveraging opportunities during FY 2015. Leveraging will be offered to families with incomes up to the 80 percent area median income. The adoption of the leveraging plan will simultaneously include the implementation of procedures sufficient to ensure full compliance with all necessary regulations.

The State will focus its HTF Program resources on two areas:

1. HTF Program with loans to promote the new construction, acquisition, and/or rehabilitation of rental housing units for extremely low- and very low-income populations.

2. Operating Cost Program with loans to provide operating cost assistance to HTF units during the affordability period.

The West Virginia Housing Development Fund (WVHDF), on behalf of the State, will adjust funding levels between the program areas to recapture and reallocate funds between these program areas to

Consolidated Plan

WEST VIRGINIA

reflect market demands or needs arising from natural disasters as permitted under the regulations.

The State's HTF Program (Program) is operated as a direct program. The WVHDF, the State-designated HTF Program administrator, issues a permanent financing commitment for a project when all Program conditions are met, and the State retains full control of the quality of the loans.

| One Year Goals for the Number of Households to be Supported | |
|---|---|
| Homeless | 0 |
| Non-Homeless | 0 |
| Special-Needs | 2 |
| Total | 2 |
| Table 62 One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Poquiroment | |

Table 63 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Requirement

| One Year Goals for the Number of Households Supported Through | |
|---|---|
| Rental Assistance | 0 |
| The Production of New Units | 0 |
| Rehab of Existing Units | 0 |
| Acquisition of Existing Units | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 64 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Type

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

Discussion:

With the limited HOME resources awarded by HUD to the State of West Virginia, the WVHDF will be unable to satisfactorily address all housing needs of the state. Therefore, the WVHDF will actively work with other groups, including nonprofit agencies, housing authorities, community development agencies, community action programs, other organizations, and for profit developers to expand the supply of affordable housing in the 44 counties served by the WVHDF. Each of these agencies has the potential to provide and technical expertise to address the housing problems in their respective geographic areas.

In addition, the WVHDF has participated with and established an ongoing effort with the "Housing Policy Group" to forward goals and efforts to facilitate affordable housing in the State of West Virginia. This group is comprised of representatives of major housing advocates and stakeholders in the development, administration and management of affordable housing resources. The collaboration was designed to develop a comprehensive plan for affordable housing and responds to specific initiatives outlined therein. The WVHDF will continue to participate and work with the Housing Policy Group to facilitate efforts to accomplish goals consistent with the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and the housing framework created to achieve the same result.

The WVHDF has also established a Single Family Working Group and a CHDO Working Group to openly discuss methods of effectively addressing and meeting the needs of affordable housing for low-income families in various regions within the state.

The limited HTF resources awarded by HUD to the State of West Virginia, on its own, is not enough to significantly address the affordable housing need in the State. The number of affordable housing units is dependent on the collaborative partnerships with other groups (nonprofits, community action agencies, continuum of cares, housing authorities, community development agencies, for profit developers) and investments to expand the supply of affordable housing in the State.

AP-60 Public Housing - 24 CFR 91.320(j) Introduction:

Public housing authorities are an important component of the state affordable rental housing delivery system. The public housing authorities provide subsidized rental housing units and tenant based rental assistance (certificates/vouchers) for low and moderate income households throughout the state. However, since local housing authorities are established by units of local government subject to state enabling legislation, neither the State nor the WVHDF have direct oversight of the operations of local public housing authorities.

Actions planned during the next year to address the needs to public housing

While the WVHDF does not have jurisdiction over public housing agencies, several public housing authorities and other housing and community development agencies that use federal funds are required to obtain a certification that their program plans are consistent with the Consolidated Plan for their jurisdiction. The State Consolidated Plan serves the majority of the state since only a few local jurisdictions develop Consolidated Plan documents. The WVHDF has begun to serve as the lead agency for reviewing housing plan for consistency with the housing component of the State Consolidated Plan. Applicants for federal funds submit their requests for a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan to the WVHDF prior to submitting their applications to the appropriate federal agency.

Actions to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The WVHDF communicates with the Public housing authorities located in the State regarding the NewHOME program, which provides mortgage financing for qualified homebuyers (at or below 80% AMI).

If the PHA is designated as troubled, describe the manner in which financial assistance will be provided or other assistance

Public housing authorities are an important component of the state affordable rental housing delivery system. The public housing authorities provide subsidized rental housing units and tenant based rental assistance (certificates/vouchers) for low and moderate income households throughout the state. However, since local housing authorities are established by units of local government subject to state enabling legislation, neither the State nor the WVHDF have direct oversight of the operations of local public housing authorities.

Discussion:

WEST VIRGINIA
Public housing authorities are an important component of the state affordable rental housing delivery system. The public housing authorities provide subsidized rental housing units and tenant based rental assistance (certificates/vouchers) for low and moderate income households throughout the state. However, since local housing authorities are established by units of local government subject to state enabling legislation, neither the State nor the WVHDF have direct oversight of the operations of local public housing authorities.

AP-65 Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities – 91.320(h) Introduction

The Consolidated Planning process identified existing programs and services that assist the State of West Virginia's homeless and other special needs populations as well as gaps in the delivery system. The State has a network of homeless assistance providers including emergency and domestic violence shelters, street outreach workers and community advocates that work together to refer those experiencing homelessness to services that assist them regain stable housing. The State works diligently to increase the number of providers offering Rapid Rehousing so as to allow shelter beds to be available on an emergency basis.

Describe the jurisdictions one-year goals and actions for reducing and ending homelessness including

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Each year every ESG-funded provider in the State takes part in a count of individuals and families experiencing homelessness, This count is called the annual "point-in-time" homeless count. The Point in Time (PIT) count is usually conducted in the winter. On a specified night, volunteers and agency staff count the number of people in shelter beds as well as out conducting outreach to those areas where homeless often stay. The information gathered during the PIT survey is integral to the continued funding and expansion of homeless and housing services throughout the State.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

OEO works with every community in the State in a number of ways to specifically meet the needs of the homeless. In addition to the administration of federal grant dollars OEO works to improve the service delivery and coordination, remove barriers, and leverage resources to address the needs of individuals and families with low-incomes and those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. Homeless services in West Virginia are delivered through four Continuums of Care (CoC). Services provided by the CoC's consist of: Rapid Re-Housing; Homelessness Prevention; Outreach: Workers funded through the McKinney P.A.T.H. Program provide outreach and assessment to identify a person's or family's needs and make appropriate referrals for medical treatment, mental health services, substance abuse counseling, coordinated case management and job training. All outreach is a collaborative effort between all agencies involved in the CoC.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Homeless services in West Virginia are delivered through four Continuums of Care (CoC). Each CoC is made up of nonprofit organizations, faith-based organizations, housing providers, government agencies and housing authorities. The following services are provided: Rapid rehousing; homelessness prevention, emergency shelters, transitional housing, outreach. Other housing services the CoC's are working towards are the creation of new affordable housing, including units accessible to Section 8 participants, rehabilitation activities, and the continued support of home ownership. West Virginia ESG continues to strive to provide services to people in the community and setting of their choice to the fullest extent possible be that in single or multi-family housing, in community residences, in other community settings, or in an institutional setting.

Currently, the Balance-of-State Continuum of Care has 55 beds for those who are chronically homeless. There are 384 housing beds available in the 44 county area. In the next 12 months the CoC plans to create another 43 permanent housing beds. In the next 5 years another 200 permanent housing beds are planned. In the next ten years it is anticipated that the Balance-of-State Continuum of Care will create 400 permanent housing beds. According to the Balance of State CoC's Strategic Planning Objectives in the PIT Survey, the CoC is applying for an additional 15 beds for the chronically homeless and an additional 10 beds for disabled individuals.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); or, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Investing, contracting and monitoring of funding in housing placement, stabilization & support services. This includes financial assistance, services designed to move a homeless household quickly into permanent housing through rapid rehousing; and housing focused services such as case management,

Consolidated Plan

housing advocacy, search and placement services for short-term or ongoing support to households to stabilize, move into housing. Programs are designed to rapidly rehouse and stabilize homeless individuals, families, and youth/young adults and special needs populations, including persons with HIV/AIDS, in housing with the most appropriate level and duration of service intervention(s). Planning , program development and system coordination in conjunction with the Opening Doors/Continuum of Care to implement initiatives aimed at reducing homelessness among families with children, youth/young adults, chronically homeless individuals, and persons living with HIV/AIDS (HIV/AIDS HOPWA providers and Ryan White Planning and Implementation groups). Implementation of CoC ESG funding recommendations, including assessment of housing needs and housing placement for shelter residents with long-term stays.

Discussion

HTF: During the consultation process, a nonprofit developer expressed interest in using HTF funds to construct rental units for homeless veterans. The WVHDF anticipates that some HTF funds will be used for units that will reduce homelessness.

AP-70 HOPWA Goals - 91.320(k)(4)

| One year goals for the number of households to be provided housing through the use of HOPWA | for: |
|--|------|
| Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or | |
| family | 180 |
| Tenant-based rental assistance | 50 |
| Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds | 4 |
| Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with | |
| HOPWA funds | 0 |
| Total | 234 |

AP-75 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.320(i) Introduction:

The partner agencies (WVDO, WVHDF and OEO) have identified several barriers and potential barriers to the creation and availability of affordable housing. We have outlined these barriers in the Market Analysis section of this consolidated plan at MA-40. The state has also developed a long term strategy for alleviating or eliminating these identified barriers and the state has outlined that in the Strategic Plan section of this plan at SP-55.

Due to continued diminishing funding and limited staff resources states are restricted as to the options available to deal with these types of issues. Therefore states must leverage resources and create an annual plan of action addressing certain issues that when added together can culminate in achieving the strategic vision for the elimination of the identified barriers. Below we discuss the actions expected to be taken in this coming year that will move us toward achieving that goal.

Actions it planned to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment

Predatory Lending - The WVHDF routinely meets with approved lenders operating in the HOME program and continues to raise awareness about this topic. In addition, the WVHDF continues to place an emphasis on the topic of predatory lending in homebuyer education courses required for all prospective homebuyers.

Homeownership Education - The WVHDF will continue to support the homebuyer education program through the NewHOME program by paying fees for the course. In addition, the WVHDF will continue to require and assist borrowers utilizing the Funds Homeownership Program to also attend homeownership education.

Community Opposition - The partner agencies will continue to review policies and procedures to identify any issues that have the potential to create an obstacle to the creation or siting of affordable housing. The partner entities will seek out opportunities to provide training to raise awareness on the facts of affordable housing and to alleviate the primarily unfounded fears many have about affordable housing and the benefits it can offer their community and their friends and neighbors.

Populations with Special Needs - The partner agencies will continue to utilize The NewHOME Loan Program which includes a special provision that finances the development of single-family housing for special needs populations (individuals with physical, mental, sensory, or developmental disabilities). The units completed through the program for special needs populations are built in

Consolidated Plan

accordance with Universal Design Standards that ensure accessibility. These loans will primarily target households at or below 65 percent AMI.

Down payment and Closing Costs - The HOME Leverage Loan Program (HLLP) administered by WVHDF, was developed to help low and moderate income homebuyers overcome the challenge of not being able to afford a down payment on safe, decent, owner-occupied housing. Since down payment and closing costs are stumbling blocks for many potential low and moderate income home buyers, the WVHDF allows qualified participants to borrow up to \$20,000 at 0% interest rate with a term of ten (10) years. The HLLP loan is reduced by 10% for each year that the homebuyer occupies the housing as their principal residence. HOME CHDO's that develop single family housing often partner with other funding sources such as USDA Rural Development, local banks and nonprofits, to increase assistance to low-and moderate-income families. The programs structured by the HOME CHDO's provide forgivable loans as secondary mortgage.

Cost of Affordable Housing - The WVHDF was made aware of a study being conducted by West Virginia University that centers on the costs of construction for affordable housing. The study is in its early stages but the WVHDF looks forward to continued developments in this area and being able to provide relevant and appropriate feedback. The partner agencies will continue to consult with the homebuilding industry, local governments, and affordable housing advocates in considering the potential impact of state statutes and state and local regulations on the affordability of housing.

Housing Quality - The partner agencies will continue to require that all HOME assisted construction projects meet the provisions of the International Building Code (IBC) and emphasize the attainment of public health and safety goals for new construction and maintenance at the least cost consistent with those goals. The WVHDF will monitor the progress of the HOME single family rehab program to determine its effectiveness in improving housing quality.

Discussion:

The Office of Economic Opportunity will continue to work with each of the four Continuums of Care, as well as the Interagency Council on Homelessness, which is a council of government agencies with the responsibility of coordination more effective inter-agency response to end chronic homelessness, as well as public and private housing providers to continue to improve and expand services to those areas of need.

- The Office of Economic Opportunity coordinated all ESG funding decisions with the four CoCs in the State.
- All four CoCs utilize one statewide Homelessness Management Information System.
- All CoCs utilize a coordinated assessment system. The coordinated assessment assures all homeless persons are assessed by a common tool and receive appropriate services based on standards of care.
- West Virginia utilizes a SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) to train front line

Consolidated Plan

workers to improve success rates for initial determinations for the Social Security Income (SSI) applications.

AP-85 Other Actions - 91.320(j)

Introduction:

The State currently provides a variety of services to the citizens of the State of West Virginia, some funded by CDBG, HOME, HTF, ESG, and HOPWA with private, State, and other federal funding. In doing so, additional assets are leveraged and used to meet a variety of needs. Below are some of the actions currently performed by the State to meet these needs.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

Through the ESG and HOPWA funding project sponsors work to identify unmet needs of those they serve. Project sponsors identify homeless households as having underserved needs. This includes individuals and families who cannot secure or maintain affordable and safe housing and lack a fixed residence or reside at night in an emergency shelter or other place not meant for human habitation. Numerous homeless sub-populations exist and have specific needs that require more intense specialized attention to resolve their homelessness. These sub-populations include veterans, youth, senior, and disabled individuals.

In addition:

(a) The WVHDF's website offers a link to the Statewide Housing Needs Study, which offers a comprehensive overview of housing in West Virginia. This provides a venue in which developers can assess and recognize underserved housing areas in the state.

(b) The WVHDF's website has multiple locations in which a user can click to request more information about the HOME and HTF programs. Frequently, underserved West Virginians communicate in this manner to inquire about programs to meet their housing needs.

(c) Developers submitting a HOME or HTF application must submit a market analysis that thoroughly examines neighborhood market conditions and realistically demonstrates need for the project for which HOME funds are being requested.

Actions planned to foster and maintain affordable housing

The following are a few actions the State of West Virginia will take to remove barriers to affordable housing: continue its program for affordable housing through the HOME program; work with the four Continuums of Care to coordinate state-wide community efforts to broaden partnerships in support of affordable housing; continue participation in the West Virginia Interagency Council on Homelessness; continue to fund emergency shelter, domestic violence shelter, rapid rehousing and targeted homelessness prevention programs; continue to research a variety of risk factors affecting the ability to

Consolidated Plan

provide low to moderate income housing including; housing burden, employment trends, and population.

In addition:

(a) The WVHDF sponsors a HOME Rental Training (conducted on an annual or bi-annual basis) to provide instruction regarding HOME compliance requirements. This allows employees of owners/developers of HOME projects to learn and/or be reminded of HUD regulations for the project's affordability period, which aids in fostering and maintaining affordable housing.

(b) The WVHDF maintains a list serve in order to communicate information related to the HOME and HTF program and regulations, which aids in fostering and maintaining affordable housing.

(c) The WVHDF is a co-sponsor of the WV Housing Conference, which occurs annually each September. This conference (approximately 16 hours) allows housing agencies and individuals involved with housing throughout the state to learn about a variety of housing topics, which positively impact the fostering and maintaining affordable housing.

(d) The WVHDF sponsors HOME SF Advisory Group and CHDO Advisory Group meetings. These meetings provide forums for attendees to interact with WVHDF HOME Staff and network to learn of best practices, which aids in fostering and maintaining affordable housing.

Actions planned to reduce lead-based paint hazards

All WVDO, WVHDF and OEO administered HUD programs must conform to federal and state lead regulations. This means that all grantees, sub-recipients, project sponsors, developers, or other partners are required to conduct visual lead inspections of any structure where appropriate per program guidelines. Appropriate measures to remove the hazard and re-inspection are required where peeling or chipping paint is observed. More extensive measures are required in the case of a child 72 months or younger testing positive in a specific housing unit. Enacted in 1999, the West Virginia Lead Abatement Law and Rule enables the State to:

- Require certification and license of all lead abatement professionals and contractors;
- Establish certification requirements for lead training providers;
- Require refresher courses for all disciplines;
- Require education/experience pre-requisites for certification examinations;
- Provide enforcement to certify or revoke state licenses
- Develop protocols for reporting, data collection and processing of certified professionals and lead abatement projects;
- Provide public outreach/education;
- Require notification of lead abatement projects; and
- Provide penalties for violating the State law.

Consolidated Plan

Since implementation of the WV Lead Abatement Law, Chapter 16-35 and the enactment of the Lead Abatement Rule, CSR 64-45, the State's HOME program has followed and will continue to follow the policy of abating lead-based paint during rehabilitation or reconstruction of homes identified as having a lead-based paint hazard as efficiently as possible under the Federal regulation of the HOME program. The legal requirements relating to lead-based paint from OSHA, EPA and HUD apply to all buildings, whether the building or transaction is assisted by the West Virginia Housing Development Fund or not.

Actions planned to reduce the number of poverty-level families

The State of West Virginia seeks to help families move out of poverty in ways that promote family selfsufficiency to the highest extent possible. To this end, all ESG and HOPWA program participants are required to engage in case management activities used to specialize their individual housing plans and skill development. ESG program participants, who do not have a high school diploma or GED at time of program entry, are encouraged and given referrals for completion. It is a priority to assist program participants with finding housing and other services necessary to make progress toward long-term housing sustainability and financial self-sufficiency.

In addition, the WVHDF HOME program offers the following programs, which allow qualified families to escape from cost-burdened housing to affordable housing. As a result, there is a reduction of the number of poverty level families.

- 1. NewHOME Program: Offers below market rate loans for qualified homebuyers.
- 2. HOME CHDO: CHDOs are awarded HOME funds to develop affordable housing that will be rent restricted in accordance to the Low HOME and High HOME limits.
- 3. HOMErent: Nonprofit and for profit agencies are awarded HOME funds to develop affordable rental units that will be rent restricted in accordance to the Low HOME and High HOME limits.
- 4. HTF Program: Developers are awarded HTF funds to develop affordable housing that will be rent restricted in accordance to the HTF limits.
- 5. SFOORP: Offers below market rate rehabilitation loans for qualified home owners living in presidentially declared natural disaster area counties, whose houses were damaged by a natural disaster.

HOME Leverage Loan Program: Provides resources to qualified families (at or below 80% AMI) in order to leverage HOME funds with another source, and thereby provide the entire financing required for a house.

Actions planned to develop institutional structure

The State has established an institutional structure to address the needs of poverty-level families and homeless persons. The WV DHHS is primary agency responsible for the delivery of financial services and other services to poverty-level families. WV DHHS operates offices in almost every county of the

Consolidated Plan

State. The WV DHHS representatives process applications for financial assistance and provide other services through these field offices. The WV OEO provides services to homeless persons through an established network of service providers. These service providers include public agencies, quasi-public agencies, non-profit organizations and private contractors. WV OEO agents and contractors deliver financial assistance and other services to homeless persons through an established network of service providers. It should be noted that both WV DHHS service providers and WV OEO service providers often collaborate with non-profit organizations such as Community Action Programs and Public Housing Authorities to address the needs of poverty-level families and the homeless.

Actions planned to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies

Unlike the coordination among homeless service providers, there is less coordination among affordable housing providers to coordinate service delivery. The housing providers primarily work separately with social service agencies and the service providers know the programs and housing offered by each housing agency. One goal is to foster coordination among all affordable housing providers. This coordination would help ensure that the resources of agencies serving low-income community members are used efficiently.

Discussion:

Program Specific Requirements AP-90 Program Specific Requirements – 91.320(k)(1,2,3)

Introduction:

CDBG funds are used to develop viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income. Consistent with this primary objective, not less than 70 percent of the aggregate of the federal Title I assistance distributed under this program design statement shall be used for the support of activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income in the non-entitlement areas of West Virginia. As noted in the table, the State will ensure that a minimum of 70 percent of CDBG funds will be used to benefit persons of low and moderate income over a consecutive three-year period. It is anticipated that all funding will be used to benefit persons of low and moderate through the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Action Plan, as well as the period covered by the Five-Year Consolidated Plan, which spans Fiscal Years 2015 through 2019.

CDBG Program Income is minimal, and is reported on a fiscal year basis. Program Income that does not exceed \$25,000 in a single year is retained by the unit of local government. As a result, program income is not reported in this plan for the State CDBG program. The State has not undertaken a project under the Urgent Need category. This category could be utilized in circumstances which meet stringent regulations. Additionally, the State CDBG program has not undertaken a Section 108 program and, therefore, reports no proceeds.

All activities which are eligible for federal CDBG funding, under Section 105 of the Federal Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, are eligible for funding. The State's method of distribution is provided in section AP-30: Annual Action Plan-Method of Distribution. The State's priorities are provided in section SP-25: Strategic Plan-Priority Needs.

The WVHDF HOME Program anticipates the use of the HUD HOME award, estimated Program Income, and leveraged funds to successfully implement all aspects of the HOME Program. Program Income is generated by the HOME program, and is detailed in the following program-specific tables. In addition, other HOME Program descriptions include:

Eligible applicants: Eligible individuals/families to purchase or rent a HOME unit earn up to or including 80% AMI. Eligible developers of projects that include HOME funds include for profit and nonprofit developers.

Process for soliciting applications: The WVHDF will use the Request for Proposals (RFP) process to solicit application for the purpose of receiving applications for requests for HOME funds.

Process for funding applications: Upon receipt of RFPs, the WVHDF will thoroughly review and analyze

Consolidated Plan

each RFP. RFPs will be ranked according to the scoring criteria included in the RFP in order to determine the number of projects to be funded with the available HOME funds.

Where detailed information may be obtained: Eligible individuals/families receive HOME information through: (a) network of nonprofits throughout the state; and (b) the WVHDF website. For profit and nonprofit developers receive HOME information through: (a) the WVHDF website; (b) information received through the WVHDF HOME emails; and (c) a variety of meetings that a WVHDF HOME representative attends (i.e., public hearings, FAHE meetings, and the annual WV Housing Conference).

The WVHDF has set-aside approximately \$400,000 in the NewHOME portion of the HOME program for preference to persons with developmental disabilities.

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(1)

Projects planned with all CDBG funds expected to be available during the year are identified in the Projects Table. The following identifies program income that is available for use that is included in projects to be carried out.

| 1. The total amount of program income that will have been received before the start of the next | |
|---|---|
| program year and that has not yet been reprogrammed | 0 |
| 2. The amount of proceeds from section 108 loan guarantees that will be used during the year to | |
| address the priority needs and specific objectives identified in the grantee's strategic plan. | 0 |
| 3. The amount of surplus funds from urban renewal settlements | 0 |
| 4. The amount of any grant funds returned to the line of credit for which the planned use has not | |
| been included in a prior statement or plan | 0 |
| 5. The amount of income from float-funded activities | 0 |
| Total Program Income: | 0 |
| Other CDBG Requirements | |
| 1. The amount of urgent need activities | 0 |

2. The estimated percentage of CDBG funds that will be used for activities that benefit persons of low and moderate income.Overall Benefit - A consecutive period of one, two or three years may be used to determine that a minimum overall benefit of 70% of CDBG funds is used to benefit persons of low and moderate income. Specify the years covered that include this Annual Action Plan.
100.00%

HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Reference 24 CFR 91.320(k)(2)

1. A description of other forms of investment being used beyond those identified in Section 92.205 is as follows:

Not applicable: no other forms of investment are being used beyond those identified in Section 92.205.

2. A description of the guidelines that will be used for resale or recapture of HOME funds when used for homebuyer activities as required in 92.254, is as follows:

HOMErent Program: All financial assistance provided under the HOMErent Loan program will be subject to recapture if the property is sold, transferred or no longer serves as a rental housing project prior to the expiration of the affordability period.

CHDO Rental Development Program: All financial assistance provided under the HOME CHDO Loan program will be subject to recapture if the property is sold, transferred or no longer serves as a rental housing project prior to the expiration of the affordability period.

CHDO Single Family Development Program: If the original homebuyer no longer occupies the home as his or her principal residence, either voluntarily (i.e., sale or rental) or involuntarily (i.e., foreclosure), before the end of the Affordability Period applicable to that unit, the homebuyer may be responsible for repaying to the Fund the HOME funds that enabled the homebuyer to purchase the unit.

SFOORP: If the original home owner no longer occupies the home as his or her principal residence, either voluntarily (i.e., sale or rental) or involuntarily (i.e., foreclosure), before the end of the Affordability Period applicable to that unit, the home owner may be responsible for repaying to the Fund the HOME funds that enabled the homebuyer to rehabilitate the unit.

The amount of HOME funds subject to recapture is based on the amount of HOME assistance that enabled the homebuyer to buy the dwelling unit ("**Direct HOME Subsidy**"). Direct HOME Subsidy includes the down payment, closing costs, interest subsidies or other HOME assistance provided directly to the homebuyer. In addition, Direct HOME Subsidy includes any assistance that reduced the purchase price from fair market value to an affordable price.

Recapture is limited to the net proceeds available from the sale. Net proceeds is defined as the sales price minus loan repayments (other than HOME funds) and closing costs. If the net proceeds from the sale of the unit are not sufficient to recapture the full amount of the Direct HOME Subsidy plus recover the amount of the homebuyer's down payment and any capital improvements made by

the owner since purchase, the Fund will share the net proceeds proportionally with the homebuyer as follows:

| Direct HOME Subsidy | х | N | et Proceeds | = | HOME amount to be |
|---|---|---|-------------|----|-------------------|
| recaptured | | | | | |
| Direct HOME Subsidy +Homeowner Investment | | | | | |
| Homeowner Investment | | x | Net Proceed | ls | = Amount to |
| homeowner | | | | | |
| Direct HOME Subsidy +Homeowner Investment | | | | | |

If the net proceeds from the sale of the unit are sufficient to recapture the full amount of the HOME funds invested, plus recover the amount of the homebuyer's down payment and any capital improvements made by the owner since purchase, the homebuyer shall be allowed to retain the excess net proceeds.

3. A description of the guidelines for resale or recapture that ensures the affordability of units acquired with HOME funds? See 24 CFR 92.254(a)(4) are as follows:

HOMErent: All financial assistance provided under the HOMErent Loan program will be subject to recapture if the property is sold, transferred or no longer serves as a rental housing project prior to the expiration of the affordability period.

CHDO Rental Development Program: All financial assistance provided under the HOME CHDO Loan program will be subject to recapture if the property is sold, transferred or no longer serves as a rental housing project prior to the expiration of the affordability period.

CHDO Single Family Development Program: If the original homebuyer no longer occupies the home as his or her principal residence, either voluntarily (i.e., sale or rental) or involuntarily (i.e., foreclosure), before the end of the Affordability Period applicable to that unit, the homebuyer may be responsible for repaying to the Fund the HOME funds that enabled the homebuyer to purchase the unit.

SFOORP: If the original home owner no longer occupies the home as his or her principal residence, either voluntarily (i.e., sale or rental) or involuntarily (i.e., foreclosure), before the end of the Affordability Period applicable to that unit, the home owner may be responsible for repaying to the

Consolidated Plan

Fund the HOME funds that enabled the homebuyer to rehabilitate the unit.

The amount of HOME funds subject to recapture is based on the amount of HOME assistance that enabled the homebuyer to buy the dwelling unit ("**Direct HOME Subsidy**"). Direct HOME Subsidy includes the down payment, closing costs, interest subsidies or other HOME assistance provided directly to the homebuyer. In addition, Direct HOME Subsidy includes any assistance that reduced the purchase price from fair market value to an affordable price.

Recapture is limited to the net proceeds available from the sale. Net proceeds is defined as the sales price minus loan repayments (other than HOME funds) and closing costs. If the net proceeds from the sale of the unit are not sufficient to recapture the full amount of the Direct HOME Subsidy plus recover the amount of the homebuyer's down payment and any capital improvements made by the owner since purchase, the Fund will share the net proceeds proportionally with the homebuyer as follows:

| Direct HOME Subsidy | х | Net Proceeds | = | HOME amount to be |
|---|---|--------------|---|-------------------|
| recaptured | | | | |
| Direct HOME Subsidy +Homeowner Investment | | | | |
| Homeowner Investment homeowner | > | Net Proceeds | : | = Amount to |
| Direct HOME Subsidy +Homeowner Investment | | | | |

If the net proceeds from the sale of the unit are sufficient to recapture the full amount of the HOME funds invested, plus recover the amount of the homebuyer's down payment and any capital improvements made by the owner since purchase, the homebuyer shall be allowed to retain the excess net proceeds.

4. Plans for using HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is rehabilitated with HOME funds along with a description of the refinancing guidelines required that will be used under 24 CFR 92.206(b), are as follows:

There are no plans for using HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is rehabilitated with HOME funds.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Reference 91.320(k)(3)

1. Include written standards for providing ESG assistance (may include as attachment)

All sites receiving ESG funding have been required by OEO to establish written standards for provision of emergency shelter, rapid rehousing and homelessness prevention. The State does not develop statewide written standards due to the complex needs and characteristics of the 44 counties where ESG assistance is provided. As part of application and monitoring process OEO conducts reviews of each project sponsor's written standards to ensure they adequately include the elements called for in the ESG regulations.

2. If the Continuum of Care has established centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD requirements, describe that centralized or coordinated assessment system.

Three of the four CoCs use the VI SPDAT and the SPDAT as the coordinated assessment. The fourth CoC uses their own developed assessment system using a single point of entry and radiating referrals from that point.

3. Identify the process for making sub-awards and describe how the ESG allocation available to private nonprofit organizations (including community and faith-based organizations).

The ESG program is designed to meet the needs of the most vulnerable citizens of the State, and resources are allocated to those providers with the best outcomes for those they serve. OEO funds sites that targets this assistance for individuals and families but for this assistance will become or remain homeless. ESG funding cannot be used for transitional housing. OEO will review all complete applications received by the deadline. Late or incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Applications will be evaluated on agency documentation of meeting the following criteria: Minimum threshold criteria: Application is complete (Narrative, budget and required attachments are all included); Eligible Subrecipient; Eligible activities; Application is received on or before deadline; All applications meeting the minimum threshold requirements will be referred to local CoC for recommendation, and reviewed, scored, and ranked by the OEO grant review panel based on the following criteria: Organizational Capacity (maximum 35 points) – All applicants – Based on information provided in Agency and General Administrative Narratives, and Detail Line Item Budget, with analysis of the relationship between the Budget and Program Component Narrative(s). Primary question for reviewers will be 'Does the applicant agency demonstrate the ability to successfully manage a federal program and federal funding?' Focus is on: Financial stability; Management systems; Internal Controls and financial management; Reports and findings from audits. Federal Grant Administrative Capacity (maximum 65 points) – Pass-through entities ONLY – Based on information provided in the Pass-Through Entity Additional Narrative. Primary question for reviewers will be, 'Does the applicant agency demonstrate the capacity, skills and knowledge to assume the federally-required roles of oversight and monitoring of subrecipients?' Focus is on

Consolidated Plan

effective implementation of statutory, regulatory and other requirements. **Program Approach and Effectiveness (maximum 65 points) – Project Sponsors ONLY** – Based on information provided in the Program Component Narrative(s). Primary question for reviewers is 'Does the applicant demonstrate effectiveness in using this component to move program participant along a path that leads to long term stable housing?' Focus is on: Clearly stated community need, coupled with activities and approaches that are likely to result in sustained positive outcomes for program participants; Programs aligned with Opening Doors goal of effectively assisting individuals and families at-risk of or experiencing homelessness to quickly regain stable permanent housing, by a. Prioritizing services for those most vulnerable; b. Actively participating in the local Continuum of Care; c. Creating and participating in local networks of programs targeted to people experiencing homelessness; and d. Involvement in a system and program coordination with mainstream resources (health, education, employment, etc.). For funding consideration, applicants must achieve 70% score for each application component. Total acceptable scores will vary based on the number of components each applicant proposes funding for.

4. If the jurisdiction is unable to meet the homeless participation requirement in 24 CFR 576.405(a), the jurisdiction must specify its plan for reaching out to and consulting with homeless or formerly homeless individuals in considering policies and funding decisions regarding facilities and services funded under ESG.

All four Continuums of Care in the State- which covers the entire State- meet the homeless participation requirement.

5. Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG.

A successful ESG funded shelter should strive to have the average length of stay for clients of 30 days or less. To the extent possible they should be operating at maximum potential especially if the Point In Time count has shown empty shelter beds and people living on the streets in their area. Rapid Rehousing should be operated out of every shelter or shelters should have a strong documented partnership with another agency to provide rapid rehousing to their residents. Sites providing street outreach should also tailor a program that will allow them to move those they have built a rapport with into housing - which can be emergency shelter, through the rapid rehousing program, or permanent supportive housing. Shelter programs who create barriers in order to weed out those hardest to serve will not be funded with OEO ESG funding. Sites that continue to separate families will not be funded with OEO ESG funding. Rapid Rehousing programs should adopt a housing first mentality and should work quickly to stabalize those they work with. Homelessness Prevention efforts must be effectively targeted so that those but for this intervention would be homeless. Agencies that apply for homelessness prevention without a targeting plan are not funded. The OEO program is evaluating based on exits to permanent housing and continued housing stability not on numbers of persons served.

Consolidated Plan

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

Attachments



OMB Number, 4040-0004

| * 1. Type of Sub Preapplics | | * 2. Type of Application: | * F Revision, select appropriate letter(#); |
|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| Application | | Continuation | * Other (Speally) |
| 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | orrected Application | Revision | - control to control |
| * 2. Dala Receive | | 4. Applicant Identitier. | |
| | | | |
| ta, Federal Entit | y Identifier; | | 5b. Federal Award Identifian |
| 14.228 | | | B15bc540001 |
| State Use Only | | | |
| 6. Date Received | by State: | 7. State Application | Kenther, |
| 8. APPLICANT I | NEORMATION: | | |
| * a. Legal Natio: | Peat Virginia D | evelopment Offics | |
| * b. Employer/Tag | apayer Identification Nur | nber (EIN/TIN) | * c. Organizational DUNS: |
| 550574804 | | | 1368365320030 |
| d. Address: | | | |
| * Stracit: | 1900 Kanawha | Eoulovard, Kast | |
| Street2: | Bailding 6, R | con 553 | |
| * City: | Charleston | | |
| County/Parish: | Kanawha | | |
| * Slato | | | xV: West Virginia |
| Province: | | | |
| * Country: | | 11216 | USA; UNITED STATES |
| * Zip / Poslal God | ≊ 25305-0000 | | |
| e. Organizationa | al Unit: | | |
| Department Name | x | | DMsion Neme: |
| WV Dovalopna | at Office | | Community Development |
| f. Name and con | tact information of pe | rson to be contacted on ma | atters involving this application: |
| Prefix: | xa. | ↑ First Name | : Selly |
| Middla Name: 🗍 | - Ind Roser and All and | | |
| * Last Name: 🛛 | orknan | | |
| Suffix | |] | |
| fille: Nanagar, | Froject Develop | ment | |
| Organizational Aff | llabon: | | |
| | | |] |
| * Telephone Numl | oor. 304-957-2077 | in the second | Fax Number: |

33

| * 9. Type of Applicant | 1: Select Applicant Type: |
|--|--|
| A: Stato Governme | ent |
| Typa of Applicant 2: Sale | eci Applicant Type: |
| | |
| Type of Applicant 3: Sele | str. Applicant Type: |
| | |
| ' Other (specify): | |
| | |
| 10. Name of Federal / | Agency: |
| | f Housing and Orban Development |
| | Domestic Assistance Number: |
| 14,226 | |
| OFDA TIte: | |
| Community Develop | ment Block Grame |
| | |
| 12. Funding Opportur | nity Number: |
| 14.220 | |
| Title | |
| | ion of Non-Brititlement portion of the Community Hevelopment Block Grant |
| State Administrat | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a | |
| | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/s The: | |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: | fication Number: |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: | Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: 14. Areas Affected by P 15. Descriptive Title o | Heation Number: Project (Otties, Counties, States, etc.): Adic Atlachmont Detois Attachment View Attachment |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: 14. Areas Affected by P 15. Descriptive Title o | Project (Otties, Counties, States, etc.): Add Attachment Detois Attachment View Attachment |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: 14. Areas Affected by P 15. Descriptive Title o | Heation Number: Project (Otties, Counties, States, etc.): Adic Atlachmont Detois Attachment View Attachment |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: 14. Areas Affected by P 15. Descriptive Title of State of West Virg | Mation Number: Project (Office, Counties, States, etc.): Add Allachment Delote Attachment View Attachment of Applicant's Project: ginia Non-Soft it lement Areas |
| State Administrat 13. Competition Identif n/a The: 14. Areas Affected by P 15. Descriptive Title of State of West Virg | Heation Number: Project (Otties, Counties, States, etc.): Adic Atlachmont Detois Attachment View Attachment |

| Applicatio | n for Federal Assista | nce SF-424 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 16. Cangres | sional Districts Of: | | | | | |
| * a. Applicant | 2 mit | | | * b. Program/Project | 411 | |
| Atlach an add | iional list of Program/Proje | d Congressional Distric | ts if needed. | 980 | | |
| | | | Add Adachment | Derete Albacimment | View Attactment | |
| 17. Propose | d Project: | | | | | |
| ' s. Start Date | # 07/01/2015 | | | * b. End Date: | : 06/30/2016 | |
| 18. Estimate | d Funding (\$): | | | | | |
| 's. Federat | ſ | 12,528,038.00 | | | | |
| b. Applican; | | | | | | |
| o. State | | 250,561.00 | | | | |
| d Loca | | | | | | |
| e. Other | 1 - Andrew Martin | | | | | |
| f. Program I | псотие | | | | | |
| 9. TOTAL | | 12,778,599.00 | | | | |
|] b. Progra | pplication was made avail an is subject to E.O. 1237 im is not covered by E.O. pplicant Delinquent On A No | 2 but haa not been se 12372. | elected by the State fr | | | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. is the A Yes | an is subject to E.O. 1237 on is not covered by E.O. policent Delinquent On A | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If | rledied by the State fr | r rovisw. Ination in attachment.) | | |
| b. Progre c. Progre 20. Is the A Yes f*Yes*, prov | an is autyied to E.O. 1237 m is not covered by E.O. pplicant Delinquent On A No Me explanation and attact | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h | elected by the State fr "Yes," provide expla Add Attachment | or roview. Ination in attachment.) Decole Attachment | View Attactmont | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f*Yes*, prov in *By signil in *By signil in *By signil to apply with somply with subject me to apple a read of the source apple a read of the source | an is subject to E.O. 1237 mills not covered by E.O. pplicant Delinquent On A No Me explanation and attact of explanation and attact ng this application, I can us, complete and accur, any resulting terms if I ac o of minal, civil, or admin E pertifications and assurance | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ate to the beet of m scopt an averd. I am istrative penalties, (U | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the wave that any false, 1.5. Code, Title 218, 5 | or roview. | | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f "Yes", prov f "Yes" | an is subject to E.O. 1237 mills not covered by E.O. pplicant Delinquent On A No Me explanation and attact of explanation and attact ng this application, I can us, complete and accur, any resulting terms if I ac o of minal, civil, or admin E pertifications and assurance | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ate to the beet of m scopt an averd. I am istrative penalties, (U | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the wave that any false, 1.5. Code, Title 218, 5 | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f "Yes", prov f "Yes" | an is subject to E.O. 1237 im is not covered by E.O. pplicant Definquent On A No 1066 explanation and attack 1066 explanation and attack 1066 explanation, I cart us, complete and accurs any resulting terms if I ac ordininal, civil, or admini E pertifications and assurance there. | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ats to the beat of m coept an award. I am Istrative penalties, (U 36, or an internet star | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the wave that any false, 1.5. Code, Title 218, 5 | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov therein are to sompty with subject me to " * LACRE " The list of 1 peetfic instruc- to the rest of 1 peetfic instruc- | an is subject to E.O. 1237 mills not covered by E.O. policant Delinquent On A No Mose explanation and attact of explanation and attact us, complete and accura- any resulting terms if 1 ac ordininal, civil, or admini E performed, civil, or admini E performed, and assurance thes. | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ats to the beat of m coept an award. I am Istrative penalties, (U 36, or an internet star | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the sware that any falso sware that any falso sware you may obtain | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f"Yes", prov to apply with to apply with to apply with to apply with " 1 AGRE " The list of 1 accilio instruct withorized R tells: Table Name: | an is subject to E.O. 1237 mills not covered by E.O. policant Delinquent On A No Mose explanation and attact of explanation and attact us, complete and accura- any resulting terms if 1 ac ordininal, civil, or admini E performed, civil, or admini E performed, and assurance thes. | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ats to the beat of m coept an award. I am Istrative penalties, (U 36, or an internet star | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the sware that any falso sware that any falso sware you may obtain | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f"Yes", prov to apply with to apply with to apply with to apply with " 1 AGRE " The list of 1 accilio instruct withorized R tells: Table Name: | an is subject to E.O. 1237 m is not covered by E.O. policant Definquent On A No We explanation and attack any resulting terms if 1 ac o criminal, civil, or admini- E settications and assurence tors. Mo L. | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If h lify (1) to the statem ats to the beat of m coept an award. I am Istrative penalties, (U 36, or an internet star | Add Attachment Add Attachment ents contained in the sware that any falso sware that any falso sware you may obtain | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f 'Yes', prov f 'Yes', prov therein are tr series are to without and the section instruc- section instruc | an is subject to E.O. 1237 m is not covered by E.O. policant Definquent On A No We explanation and attack any resulting terms if 1 ac o criminal, civil, or admini- E settications and assurence tors. Mo L. | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (if h lify (1) to the statem ate to the best of m copt an award. I am istrative penalties, (U bs. or an internet star . Firs | Add Attachment Add Attachment and Attachment ents contained in the y knowledge. I also sware theig any failso to be a standard of the sware the source of the sware source of | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes f*Yes*, prov trives*, | an is subject to E.O. 1237 m is not covered by E.O. policant Delinquent On A No Me explanation and attack ing this application, I can ue, complete and accur. any resulting terms if 1 ac o criminal, civil, or admin E perfications and assurance iters. <u>NE</u> . <u>L</u> . <u>Jarcel 1</u> | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (if h lify (1) to the statem ate to the best of m copt an award. I am istrative penalties, (U bs. or an internet star . Firs | Add Attachment Add Attachment and Attachment ents contained in the sknowledge. I also aware that any false, 5.5. Code, Title 218, 5 where you may obtain t Name: Joshua | or roview. | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |
| b. Progra c. Progra 20. Is the A Yes If "Yes", prov If "Yes", prov | an is subject to E.O. 1237 m is not covered by E.O. policant Delinquent On A No No No No de explanation and attact ing this application, I cert ue, complete and accur- any resulting terms if I ac o criminal, civil, or admin E perfections and assurance iters. <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>N</u> | 2 but has not been se 12372. ny Federal Debt? (If n iiiy (1) to the statem ate to the best of m recept an award. I am istrative penalties, (U a, or an internet site * Firs Dept., of Convert | Add Attachment Add Attachment and Attachment ents contained in the sknowledge. I also aware that any false, 5.5. Code, Title 218, 5 where you may obtain t Name: Joshua | or roview: | View Attactmont and (2) that the statements assurances** and agree to (statements or claims may | |

| Application for | Federal Assista | ince SF-424 | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| * 1. Type of Submiss | ion: | * 2. Type of Application: | * If Revision, select appropriate lattor(s). |
| Preapplication | | New | Longer (server of back for server sh |
| Application | | Continuation | * Other (Specify): |
| Changed/Com | ected Application | Revision | |
| * 3. Date Received: | | 4. Applicant Identifier: | |
| | 1.1.1.1.1.1. | | |
| Se. Federal Entity Ide | entifier | | Sh. Fadarsi Award Identifer. |
| | | | |
| State Use Only: | | | |
| 6. Date Received by | Stata: | 7. State Application | n Identifier: |
| 8. APPLICANT INFO | DRMATION: | | |
| * s. Legal Name: 🔓 | tate of West V | irginia Office of 30 | anomic Opportunity |
| * b. Employer/Taxpa | | | * c. Organizational DUNS: |
| 45-5463036 | | | 0785015970000 |
| d. Address: | | | |
| * Street1: | 700 Washingto | Streat, Kest | |
| Sirea2. | 4uh Floor | | |
| * City: | Charleston | | |
| Courty/Parish: | Kanawha | | |
| • State | | | XV; West Virginia |
| Province: | | | |
| * Country: | | | USA: UNITED STATES |
| * Zip / Postal Code: | 25301-1657 | | |
| e. Organizational U | nit: | | |
| Department Name: | | | Division Name: |
| WV Development | Office | | Office of Bosponic Opportunity |
| f. Name and contac | t information of pe | rson to be contacted on m | atters involving this application: |
| Prefx Xs. | | * First Nam | « Julie |
| Middle Name: Bri | ttoa | | |
| * Last Name: Had | en | | |
| Suffic | |] | |
| Title: Homeleas P | rograns Admini | strator | |
| Organizational Affiliat | on: | | |
| | | | |
| ഞ | | | |

GMB Number: 4040-0004

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

| * 9. Type of Applicant 1 | : Select Applicant Type: | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| A: State Governmen | at | | | | |
| Type of Applicant 2: Selec | t Applicant Type: | | | | |
| | Venter see all | | | | |
| Type of Applicant 3: Selec | t Applicant Type | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * Other (specify): | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * 10. Name of Federal A | genay: | | | 1.12 | |
| United States Depa | rtment of Bousing and Drba | n Development | | | |
| 11. Catalog of Federal D | Iomastic Assistance Number: | | | | |
| 14.241 | | | | | |
| CEDA Title: | | | | | |
| Ecusing Opportunit | ies for Persons with A1125 | | | | |
| 12. Funding Opportuni | ity Number: | | | | 1 |
| and the second se | | | | | |
| Formula Grant | | | | | |
| | | |] | |] |
| Formula Grant | ation Number: | |]] | |] |
| 7 % e: 13. Competition Identific | ation Number: | M |] | |] |
| 7766: 13. Competition Identific | | k Add Attachment | Dolate Attachmerr | Vew Attackment |] |
| TRE: 13. Competition Identific The: 14. Areas Affected by Pr | oject (Citiles, Countiles, States, etc. | | Dzlate Atlachmerr | Vew Attachment |] |
| 13. Competition Identifie 13. Competition Identifie The: 14. Areas Affected by Pr 15. Descriptive Title of The State of West | oject (Citiles, Countiles, States, etc. | Add Attachment | community reaidency | es, tenant based |] |

1

| * a. Apploant | |
|--|--|
| a. Approx 1 | * b. Program/Project p.11 |
| Attach an additional list of Program/Pro | sject Congressional Districts If neated |
| | Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment |
| 17. Proposed Project: | |
| * a. Start Date: 07/01/2015 | * b. End Date: 06/30/2016 |
| 18. Estimated Funding (\$): | |
| * a. Federal | 344,347.00 |
| * b. Applicant | |
| *a. Sate | |
| * d' Local | |
| *e. Other | |
| ' f. Program income | |
| * g. TOTAL | 344, 347.00 |
| | aw By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process? |
| * 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On | 0. 12372. Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) |
| | n Any Federal Debt? (首 "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) |
| * 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Yes No 1 "Yes", provide explanation and atta | ach |
| | ach |
| 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Yes No Yes', provide explanation and att: 21. "By signing this application, I o herein are true, complete end acco comply with any resulting terms if I subject me to criminal, civil, or adm '' IAGREE | Any Federal Debt? (# "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach ach |
| 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On 21. "Yes", provide explanation and att: 21. "By signing this application, I o herein are true, complete and acc comply with any resulting terms if I subject me to criminal, divil, or adm 21. "IAGREE 32. "IAGREE 33. "IAGREE 34. The list of cartifications and assure specific instructors. | Any Federal Debt? (# "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach ach |
| | Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach Add Attachment. Delete Attachment View Attachment entify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements urate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances" and agree to accept an award that any false, fictious, or fraudulent statements or claims may inistrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) noes, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency |
| | Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach Add Attachment. Delete Attachment View Attachment entify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements urate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances" and agree to accept an award that any false, fictious, or fraudulent statements or claims may inistrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) noes, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency |
| 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Yes | Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach Add Attachment. Delete Attachment View Attachment entify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements urate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances" and agree to accept an award that any false, fictious, or fraudulent statements or claims may inistrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) noes, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency |
| * 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Yas No 1 "Yes", provide explanation and attain the sequence of the seq | Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach Add Attachment. Delete Attachment View Attachment entify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements urate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances" and agree to accept an award that any false, fictious, or fraudulent statements or claims may inistrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) noes, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency |
| * 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Yes No 1 "Yes", provide explanation and att: 21. "By signing this application, I o herein are true, complete end accordently with any resulting tenns if I subject me to criminal, civil, or adm Y 'I AGREE "The list of carifications and assurements Authorized Representative: Prefix: 'Lasi Name: Altston Suffix: 'Tille Director | Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) ach |
| * 20. Is the Applicant Dolinquent On Yes No 1 "Yes", provide explanation and attained and the sequence of th | ach |

| 14 7 | | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| * 1. Type of Submis | 1867 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | * if Revision, select appropriato letter(s): |
| Application | Continuation | * Other (Specify): |
| | rected Application | contribution). |
| | | |
| * 3. Date Received: | 4. Applicant Identifian | |
| 5a. Federal Entity Is | derriller. | 5b. Federal Award Identifier. |
| | | |
| State Use Only: | | |
| 8. Data Received by | y State: 7. State Applicat | Ion identifier: |
| 8. APPLICANT INF | ORMATION: | |
| * a. Legal Name: [a | State of West Virginia Office of a | Consuis Opportunity |
| and three the second | eyer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): | * o. Organizationa: DUNS: |
| 45-5463036 | | 0785016970000 |
| d. Address: | | |
| * Street 1: | 200 Mashington Street, Bast | |
| Street2: | 4th Floor | |
| * City: | Charleston | |
| County/Parish: | Xanawita | |
| * Stele | | WV: West Virginia |
| Province: | | |
| * Country: | | UEA: UNITED STATES |
| * Zip / Postal Code: | 25301-1657 | |
| e. Organizational U | Unit: | |
| Dapartment Neme | | DMsion Name: |
| WV Levelognent | . Office | Office of Research Opportunity |
| f. Name and conta | ict information of person to be contacted on | matters involving this application: |
| Preto: Ma | . 'First Na | me: Julia |
| Middle Name: Br | bten | |
| • Last Name: Hac | den | |
| Suffix: | | |
| Tilla: Honeless : | Programa Administrator | |
| | rtion: | |
| Organizational Affilia | | |

| * 9. Type of Applicant | 1: Select Applicant Type: |
|---|---|
| A: State Governm | ant |
| Type of Applicant 2. Se | est Applicant Type: |
| Type of Applicant 3: Se | ect Applicant Type: |
| * Other (specify) | |
| * 1D. Name of Federal | Agency: |
| United States De | partnant of Pousing and Urban Development |
| 14 , 231 CFDA THe: | Domestic Assistance Number: |
| 12. Funding Opportu | nity Number: |
| Pormula Grant | |
| | |
| ' Title | |
| * Title: | fication Number: |
| 13. Competition Identi Title: | fication Number: |
| 13. Competition Identi fille: | |
| 13. Competition Identi fille: 14. Areas Affected by | Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): |
| Competition Identi Title: Areas Affected by 15. Descriptive Title of West | Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): Add Atlachment Delete Atlachment View Atlachment |
| 13. Competition Identi File: 14. Areas Affected by 15. Descriptive Title of the State of West chelters, street | Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): Add Atlachment Delete Allachment View Atlachment of Applicant's Project: Virginiz's program for the operation of emergency shelters, denoatie violance |

1

| | n for Pederal Assis | tance SF-424 | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| 16. Congres | sional Districts Of: | | | 2.336 | |
| * a. Applicant | II | | | ⁴ b. Program/Project | All |
| Attach an add | itional list of Program/Pro | ect Congressional Distric | ts if needed. | | |
| | | | Add Attachment | Delete Attachment | View Attachment |
| 17. Propose | f Project: | | in the second se | | |
| * e. Slet Dek | 07/01/2015 | | | " b. End Dele; | 06/30/2016 |
| 18. Estimate | d Funding (\$): | | | | |
| * a. Federal | | 1,560,544.00 | | | |
| b. Applicant | | | | | |
| ' c. Stale | - | | | | |
| * d. Local | | | | | |
| e. Other | | | | | |
| f. Program I | noome | | | | |
| s. TOTAL | | 1,560,544.00 | | | |
| 20. Is the A | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C | 372 but has not been so | sected by the State for | 2024 | « on []. |
| ≥ Progre 20. Is the A Yes | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Debt? (If | sected by the State for | review. | View Allackment |
| C Progra * 20. is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. *By signi herein are tr comply with subject me b X ** LACR: | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kde explanation and atb reg this application, I o us, complete and acc any resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm E certifications and assura- | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt?) (if accompany federal De | "Yes," provide explani "Yes," provide explani Add Sitecrment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p zware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | |
| C. Progre 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. 'By signi- herein are to comply with herein are to aubject me b " The last of a pacific instance | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kde explanation and atb reg this application, I o us, complete and acc any resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm E certifications and assura- | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt? (if accompany federal Debt?) (if accompany federal De | "Yes," provide explani "Yes," provide explani Add Sitecrment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p zware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| C Progra 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. "By signi- herein are the somply with subject me b " 'I AGR: " The list of peofic instru- Authorized R | Im is subject to E.O. 12 im is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No No No No No No No No No No No No No | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Dubt? (If acc) entify (1) to the statem urate to the best of m accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L tess, or an internet site | "Yes," provide explani "Yes," provide explani Add Sitecrment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p zware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| C Progra C. Is the A Yes | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kde explanation and atb reg this application, I o us, complete and acc any resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm is certifications and assura- diens. | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Dubt? (If acc) entify (1) to the statem urate to the best of m accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L tess, or an internet site | Add Altectment Add Altectment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p aware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser where you may obtain 0 | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| C. Progra 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. 'By signi herein are tr comply with subject me b " '' I AGR? " The 1st of 1 geodic instru- Authorized R Prefix dicide Name | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kits explanation and attr the explanation and attr us, complete and acc any resulting terms if 1 o criminal, civil, or adm te certifications and assure fices. | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Dubt? (If acc) entify (1) to the statem urate to the best of m accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L tess, or an internet site | Add Altectment Add Altectment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p aware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser where you may obtain 0 | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| C. Progra 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. 'By signi herein are tr comply with subject me b " '' I AGR? " The lot of peedfic instruc- Authorized R Prefix | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Definquent On No kits explanation and attr reg this application, I o us, complete and acc arry resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm E certifications and assura- tions. | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Dubt? (If acc) entify (1) to the statem urate to the best of m accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L tess, or an internet site | Add Altectment Add Altectment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p aware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser where you may obtain 0 | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| Control of the second | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Definquent On No kits explanation and attr reg this application, I o us, complete and acc arry resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm E certifications and assura- tions. | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Dubt? (If acc) entify (1) to the statem urate to the best of m accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L tess, or an internet site | Add Altectment Add Altectment ants contained in the I y knowledge. I also p aware that any false, fi LS. Code, Title 218, Ser where you may obtain 0 | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements surances** and agree to tatements or claims may |
| Control of the second | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kde explanation and atb reg this application, I coue, complete and acc any resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm E certifications and assura- diens. epresentative: No. A. A. | 872 but has not been so 372 but has not been so 372 have been a been so according to the statement accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (L 1005, or an internet site 1005, or an internet site 1005, or an internet site 1005, or an internet site | Add Affectment "Yes," provide explana Add Affectment ants contained in the I sware that any fails, if I.S. Code, Title 218, See where you may obtain the (Nama: Julie | Coview. ation in attachment.) Detail: Attachment ist of certifications** an rovide the required as citious, or fraudulent s cition 1001) | View Allachment of (2) that the statements isurances** and agree to tetements or claims may e announcement or agency |
| C Progra 20. Is the A Yes If 'Yes', prov 21. "By signi- terein are tr somply with aubject me b 3" I AGR: " The tet of pacific insin: Authorized R Prefix Authorized R Prefix Authorized R Prefix The Let of Prefix Authorized R Prefix The tet of Prefix Authorized R Prefix The tet of Prefix Authorized R | m is subject to E.O. 12 m is not covered by E.C pplicant Delinquent On No kits explanation and alth reg this application, I o us, complete and acc arry resulting terms if I o criminal, civil, or adm is certifications and assura- fices. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No. No | 372 but has not been so 0. 12372. Any Federal Debt? (if according to the statement accept an award. I am inistrative penalties. (t hees, or an internet site hees, or an internet site | Add Affectment "Yes," provide explana Add Affectment ants contained in the I sware that any fails, if I.S. Code, Title 218, See where you may obtain the (Nama: Julie | teview. ation in attachment.) Detel: Attachment ist of certifications** ar covide the required as attitious, or fraudulent e atton 1001) ris list is contained in th | View Allachment of (2) that the statements isurances** and agree to tetements or claims may e announcement or agency |

OMB Number: 4040-0004

| * 1. Type of Sub | | * 2. Type of Application: | ' I' Revision. | select appropriate lotter(s). | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| Application | 1 Corrected Application | Continuation | * Ofher (Spec | sily's: | |
| * 3. Date Receiv | | 4. Applicant identifier: | | | |
| 5a. Federal Erá | y krentiter. | L | Sb. Feder | s' Award Identifier: | |
| State Use Only | | | | | |
| 1992 13 13 1993 1993 1993 1993 1993 1993 | | | | | |
| 6. Date Receive | | 7. Stats Apploate | in Identifier: | | |
| | INFORMATION: | | | | |
| * a. Legal Name. | State of West V | izginia | | | |
| | xpayer identification Nur | aber (EIN/TIN); | - | izational OUNS: | |
| 55-0515964 | | | 0512053 | 420000 | |
| d. Address: | | | | | |
| * Street1: | 5710 MacCoskl | e Avenuc, SE | | T | 1 |
| Sireci2 | | | | | |
| * City; | Charleston | | | | |
| County/Parish: | Kanasha | 110 | | | |
| * State: Province | | | 9V: K | Nat Virginie | |
| * Country: | | | | | |
| | le: 23304-2804 | | 087: 1 | UNITED STATES | |
| e. Organization | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | |
| Department Nam | evelopment Fund | | Division Na | ane | |
| | | | | | |
| f. Name and cor | ntact information of pe | rson to be contacted on n | natlers involv | ing this application: | |
| | ξa. | * Firal Nen | e: Erlow | | |
| | La | | | | |
| 34 | loggese | | | | |
| Suffix: | | | | | 524 |
| THE Acting | Remarkive Directo | r | | | |
| Organizational Af | Tallor | | | | |
| | ber 000-933-9043 | | | Fax Number: 204-391-8751 | |

| | deral Assistance SF-424 |
|--|--|
| 9. Type of Applicant | 1: Select Applicant Type: |
| X: Other (specify | |
| Type of Applicant 2: Sec | ct Applicant Type |
| Typa of Applicant J: Sele | en Applicant Type: |
| Olher (specify): | |
| Instrumentality | |
| • 10. Name of Federal / | Agency: |
| U. S. Dopartment | of Nousing and Orban Development |
| 11. Catalog of Federal | Domestic Assistance Number: |
| 14-329 | |
| CEDA THE | |
| 2008 Investment P | artnezships Program |
| 12. Funding Opportun | nity Number: |
| 24 CPR Part 91 | 1 |
| Title: | |
| 3. Competition Identifi | ication Number: |
| | |
| Title: | |
| | |
| 14. Areas Affected by P | Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment |
| | Add Attachment Detate Attachment View Attachment |
| 15. Descriptive Title of | Add Altachment Delate Attachment View Attachment |
| 15. Descriptive Title of peration of the H | Add Attachment Detate Attachment View Attachment |
| 15. Descriptive Tale of Operation of the s Virginia. | Add Altachment Delate Attachment View Attachment |

| Application for Federal Ass | istance SF-424 |
|---|--|
| a. Congressional Districts Of: | |
| 6 Applicant 2 | *b. Program/Project all |
| Attach an additional list of Program/i | Yoject Congressional Districts if needed. |
| | Add Atlachment Defete Attachment View Attachment |
| 17. Proposed Project: | |
| a. Start Date: 07/01/2015 | * b. End Date: 06/33/2016 |
| 8. Estimated Funding (\$): | |
| a. Federal | 3,429,901.00 |
| b. Applicant | |
| c. State | |
| d. Local | |
| e. Olher | |
| f. Program Income | 1,800,030.00 |
| g TOTAL | 5,229,971.00 |
| e. This application was made a b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by H 20. Is the Applicant Delinguent (| view By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process? available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) |
| a. This application was made a b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by if | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on |
| a. This application was made a b Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by b 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent C Yes No f"Yes", provide explanation and a | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on |
| a. This application was made a b Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by B 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent (Yes No f"Yes", provide explanation and a f. *By signing this application, I erein are true, complete and ac omply with any resulting terms i ubject me to criminal, civil, or ad f. *TAGREE | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on |
| a. This application was made is b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by it c. Program is not covered by it c | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. 3.0. 12372. 2.0. Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) attach Add Attachment Usinte Attachment View Attach reint certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements currate to the bast of my knowledge. Lelso provide the required assurances" and agree to I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may iministrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) |
| a. This application was made is b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by 5 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent 0 Yes No f"Yes", provide explanation and a f. "By signing this application, I errein are true, complete and ac ouply with any resulting terms i ubject me to criminal, civil, or ad f" LAGREE The last of cartificators and assu | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. 3.0. 12372. 2.0. Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) attach Add Attachment Usinte Attachment View Attach reint certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements currate to the bast of my knowledge. Lelso provide the required assurances" and agree to I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may iministrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) |
| a. This application was made i b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by E 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent (Yes No i Yes', provide explanation and a i. *By signing this application, I erein are true, complete and as indepty with any resulting terms i ubject me to criminal, civil, or ad if **IACREE The fact opertications and assurbedition instructions. uthorized Representative: refix Me. | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on [2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. Dn Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach It |
| a. This application was made is b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by B co. Is the Applicant Delinquent (Yes No ("Yes", provide explanation and a comply with any resulting terms is ubject me to criminal, civil, or ad C ** 1 AGREE The fact of cartifications and assuracing heat not constructions. uthorized Representative: refix Me. | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on [2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. Dn Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach It |
| a. This application was made i b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by i 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent O Yes No "Yes", provide explanation and a omply with any resulting terms i with rise resulting terms i imply with any resulting terms i The fact of cartifications and assumed in structions. withorized Representative: refac: ¥z. uthorized Representative: refac: ¥z. uthorized Representative: a. Baggess | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on [2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. Dn Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach Itach Itach Itach Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itachment Itach It |
| a. This application was made is b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by 5 | Available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 2372 but has not been selected by the State for review. 30. 12372. 30 Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment) Attach Add Attachment Usinte Attachment View Attach reint certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements certify (2) to the statements contained in the list of certifications" and (2) that the statements certify (3) to the statements contained in the list of certifications or claims may ininistrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) ances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency *First Name: Exica |
| a. This application was made i b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by B co. Is the Applicant Delinquent (Yes No ''Yes', provide explanation and a '''Hay signing this application, I arein are true, complete and as onply with any resulting terms i ubject me to criminal, civil, or ad ''' LACREE The fact or artifications and asau edits instructions. uthorized Representative: effic NE | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 22372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. In Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) Ittach Add Attachment Userte/Stactprent View Attachment Certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and agree to I accept an aware that any false, fictilious, or fraudulent statements or claims may ministrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) ances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency *First Name: Bricz Uirector |
| a. This application was made i b. Program is subject to E.O. c. Program is not covered by B 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent (Yes No 'Yes', provide explanation and a ''Yes'', provide explana | available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on 22372 but has not been selected by the State for review. E.O. 12372. In Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.) Ittach Add Attachment Userte/Stactprent View Attachment Certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and agree to I accept an aware that any false, fictilious, or fraudulent statements or claims may ministrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) ances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency *First Name: Bricz Uirector |



CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated plan regulations, the jurisdiction certifies that:

Affirmatively Further Fair Housing -- The jurisdiction will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan -- It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with funding under the CDBG or HOMB programs.

Anti-Lobbying -- To the best of the jurisdiction's knowledge and belief:

- No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;
- 2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, it will complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions; and
- 3. It will require that the language of paragraph 1 and 2 of this anti-lobbying certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

Authority of Jurisdiction -- The consolidated plan is authorized under State and local law (as applicable) and the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations.

Consistency with plan -- The housing activities to be undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA funds are consistent with the strategic plan.

Section 3 - It will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, and implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 135.

Gener Juner

Date
Specific CDBG Certifications

The State certifies that:

Citizen Participation -- It is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §91.115 and each unit of general local government that receives assistance from the State is or will be following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §570.486.

Consultation with Local Governments -- It has or will comply with the following:

- It has consulted with affected units of local government in the nonentitlement area of the State in determining the method of distribution of funding;
- It engages in or will engage in planning for community development activities;
- It provides or will provide technical assistance to units of local government in connection with community development programs; and
- 4. If will not refuse to distribute funds to any unit of general local government on the basis of the particular eligible activity selected by the unit of general local government to meet its community development needs, except that a State is not prevented from establishing priorities in distributing funding on the basis of the activities selected.

Local Needs Identification -- It will require each unit of general local government to be funded to identify its community development and housing needs, including the needs of low-income and moderate-income families, and the activities to be undertaken to meet these needs.

Community Development Plan – Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that have been developed in accordance with the primary objectives of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. (See 24 CFR 570.2 and 24 CFR part 570)

Use of Funds -- It has complied with the following criteria:

- Maximum Feasible Priority. With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG funds, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantce certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular orgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);
- 2. <u>Overall Benefit</u>. The aggregate use of CDBG funds including section 108 guaranteed loans during program year(s) 199, , and . (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally benefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;

 Special Assessments. The state will require units of general local government that receive CDBG funds to certify to the following;

It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements.

However, if CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with CDBG funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds.

It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108, unless CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements financed from other revenue sources. In this case, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. Also, in the case of properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property for public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

Excessive Force - It will require units of general local government that receive CDBG funds to certify that they have adopted and are enforcing:

- A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and
 - A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction;

Compliance With Anti-discrimination laws -- The grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 USC 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

comply with applicable laws. ature/Authorized Off

Date

2

APPENDIX TO CERTIFICATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING LOBBYING REQUIREMENTS:

A. Lobbying Certification

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

STATE CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated plan regulations, the State certifies that:

Affirmatively Further Fair Housing -- The State will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice within the state, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan – It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with funding under the CDBG or HOME programs.

Anti-Lobbying -- To the best of the State's knowledge and belief:

- 1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;
- 2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, it will complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions; and
- It will require that the language of paragraphs 1 and 2 of this certification he included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts)

 \cap

under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

Authority of State -- The submission of the consolidated plan is authorized under State law and the State possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs under the consolidated plan for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations.

Consistency with plan -- The housing activities to be undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA funds are consistent with the strategic plan.

Section 3 - It will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, and implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 135.

Signafure/Authorized Official

5/15/15 Date

Director Title

WEST VIRGINIA

Specific CDBG Certifications

The State certifies that:

Citizen Participation – R is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §91.115 and each unit of general local government that receives assistance from the State is or will be following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §570.486.

Consultation with Local Governments -- It has or will comply with the following:

- It has consulted with affected units of local government in the nonentitlement area of the State in determining the method of distribution of funding;
- It engages in or will engage in planning for community development activities;
- It provides or will provide technical assistance to units of local government in connection with community development programs; and
- 4. It will not refuse to distribute funds to any unit of general local government on the basis of the particular eligible activity selected by the unit of general local government to meet its community development needs, except that a State is not prevented from establishing priorities in distributing funding on the basis of the activities selected.

Local Needs Identification -- It will require each unit of general local government to be funded to identify its community development and housing needs, including the needs of low-income and moderate-income families, and the activities to be undertaken to meet these needs.

Community Development Plan – Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that have been developed in accordance with the primary objectives of Tide I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. (See 24 CFR 570.2 and 24 CFR part 570)

Use of Funds -- It has complied with the following criteria:

- <u>Maximum Feasible Priority</u>. With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG fands, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantee certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);
- 2. <u>Overall Benefit</u>. The aggregate use of CDBG funds including section 108 guaranteed loans during program year(s) 199, , and . (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally henefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;

ESG Certifications

Each State that seeks funding under the Emergency Solutions Grants Program must provide the following certifications:

Matching Funds – The State will obtain any matching amounts required under 24 CFR 576.201 in a manner so that its subrecipients that are least capable of providing matching amounts receive the benefit of the exception under 24 CFR 576.201(a)(2).

Discharge Policy – The State will establish and implement, to the maximum extent practicable and where appropriate, policies and protocols for the discharge of persons from publicly funded institutions or systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or correction programs and institutions) in order to prevent this discharge from immediately resulting in homelessness for these persons.

Confidentiality – The State will develop and implement procedures to ensure the confidentiality of records pertaining to any individual provided family violence prevention or treatment services under any project assisted under the ESG program, including protection against the release of the address or location of any family violence shelter project, except with the written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of that shelter.

The State will ensure that its subrecipients comply with the following criteria:

Major rehabilitation/conversion – If an emergency shelter's rehabilitation costs exceed 75 percent of the value of the building before rehabilitation, the building will be maintained as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or family after the completed rehabilitation. If the cost to convert a building into an emergency shelter exceeds 75 percent of the value of the building after conversion, the building will be maintained as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the coupled by a homeless individual or family after the coupled by a homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or renovation, the building will be maintained as a shelter for homeless individuals are used for renovation, the building will be maintained as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 3 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or family after the completed renovation.

Essential Services and Operating Costs – If ESG funds are used for shelter operations or essential services related to street outreach or emergency shelter, the subrecipient will provide services or shelter to homeless individuals and families for the period during which the ESG assistance is provided, without regard to a particular site or structure, so long the applicant serves the same type of persons (e.g., families with children, unaccompanied youth, veterans, disabled individuals, or victims of domestic violence) or persons in the same geographic area.

Renovation – Any renovation carried out with ESG assistance shall be sufficient to ensure that the building involved is safe and sanitary.

Supportive Services – The subrecipient will assist homeless individuals in obtaining permanent housing, appropriate supportive services (including medical and mental health treatment, counseling, supervision, and other services essential for achieving independent living), and other Federal State, local, and private assistance available for such individuals.

Homeless Persons Involvement – To the maximum extent practicable, the subrecipient will involve, through employment, volunteer services, or otherwise, homeless individuals and families in constructing, renovating, maintaining, and operating facilities, in providing services assisted under the ESG program, and in providing services for occupants of facilities assisted ESG.

Consolidated Plan - All activities the subrecipient undertakes with assistance under ESG are consistent with the State's current HUD-approved consolidated plan.

Signature/Authorized Official ilector

Title

()

______ Date

HOPWA Certifications

The State HOPWA grantee certifies that:

Activities -- Activities funded under the program will meet argent needs that are not being met by available public and private sources.

Building – Any building or structure assisted under the program shall be operated for the purpose specified in the plan:

- For at least 10 years in the case of any building or structure purchased, leased, rehabilitated, renovated, or converted with HOPWA assistance,
- For at least 3 years in the case of assistance involving non-substantial rehabilitation or repair of a building or structure.

Signaffire/Authorized Official

S/15/15 Date

Director_

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

()

APPENDIX TO CERTIFICATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING LOBBYING REQUIREMENTS:

A. Lobbying Certification

0

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

STATE CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated plan regulations, the State certifies that:

Affirmatively Further Pair Housing -- The State will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair bousing choice within the state, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan -- It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with fuoding under the CDBG or HOME programs.

Anti-Lobbying -- To the best of the State's knowledge and belief:

- 1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal ioan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;
- 2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence au officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, it will complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions; and
- It will require that the language of paragraphs 1 and 2 of this cortification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcentracts, subgrants, and contracts)

under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

Authority of State -- The submission of the consolidated plan is authorized under State law and the State possesses the logal authority to carry out the programs under the consolidated plan for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations.

Consistency with plan -- The housing activities to be undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA funds are consistent with the strategic plan.

Section 3 - It will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, and implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 135.

Signature/Authorized Official

Acting Executive Director Title May 18, 2015 Date

Specific CDBG Certifications

The State certifies that:

Citizen Participation -- It is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §91.115 and each unit of general local government that receives assistance from the State is or will be following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR §570.486.

Consultation with Local Governments -- It has or will comply with the following:

- It has consulted with affected units of local government in the nonentitlement area of the State in determining the method of distribution of funding;
- 2. It engages in or will engage in planning for community development activities;
- If provides or will provide technical assistance to units of local government in connection with community development programs; and
- 4. It will not refuse to distribute funds to any unit of general local government on the basis of the particular eligible activity selected by the unit of general local government to meet its community development needs, except that a State is not prevented from establishing priorities in distributing funding on the basis of the activities selected.

Local Needs Identification — If will require each unit of general local government to be funded to identify its community development and housing needs, including the needs of low-income and moderate-income families, and the activities to be undertaken to meet these needs.

Community Development Plan -- Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that have been developed in accordance with the primary objectives of Title 1 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. (See 24 CFR 570.2 and 24 CFR part 570)

Use of Funds -- It has complied with the following criteria:

- Maximum Feasible Priority. With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG funds, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantee certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular orgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immodiate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);
- 2. Overall Benefit. The aggregate use of CDBG funds including section 108 guaranteed loans during program year(s) 199., and (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally benefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;

3. Special Assossments. The state will require units of general local government that receive CDBG funds to certify to the following:

It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements.

However, if CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with CDBG funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds

It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108, unless CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements financed from other revenue sources. In this case, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. Also, in the case of properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property for public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks CDBG funds to cover the assessment,

Excessive Force - It will require units of general local government that receive CDBG funds to certify that they have adopted and are enforcing:

1 A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and

. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction;

Compliance With Anti-discrimination laws - The grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 USC 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

Compliance with Laws - It will comply with applicable laws.

E. 3 Born / Signature/Abthorized Official

Acting Executive Director Title

<u>May 18, 2015</u> Date

Specific HOME Certifications

The State certifies that:

Tenant Based Rental Assistance - If it intends to provide tenant-based rental assistance:

The use of HOME funds for tenant-based rental assistance is an essential element of the State's consolidated plan,

Bligible Activities and Costs -- it is using and will use HOME funds for eligible activities and costs, as described in 24 CFR § 92.205 through §92.209 and that it is not using and will not use IfOME funds for prohibited activities, as described in §92.214.

Appropriate Financial Assistance - Defore committing any funds to a project, the State or its recipients will evaluate the project in accordance with the guidelines that it adopts for this purpose and will not invest any more HOME funds in combination with other Federal assistance than is necessary to provide affordable housing;

L2 Beg/ Signature/Authonized Official

Acting Executive Director Title

<u>May 18, 2015</u> Date

Appendix - Alternate/Local Data Sources

Data Source Name

1

2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties)

List the name of the organization or individual who originated the data set.

West Virginia Housing Development Fund.

Provide a brief summary of the data set.

The 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Set provides detailed information regarding the housing and the household infomation in the forty-four (44) rural counties that covered under the State of West Virginia HOME Program. The 2007-2011 CHAS NCC is a subset of the 2007-2011 CHAS that excludes the housing and household information for the following West Virginia consortia counties: Berkeley, Brooke, Cabell, Hancock, Jefferson, Kanawha, Marshall, Morgan, Ohio, Wayne and Wood.

What was the purpose for developing this data set?

The 2007-2011 CHAS (NCC) Data Set was developed for the purpose of evaluating the housing needs of the forty-four (44) rural counties that are covered under the State of West Virginia HOME Program. The 2007-2011 CHAS (NCC) Data provides a more accurate picture of the housing conditions and households in rural West Virginia. The Data Set will be used to complete the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan.

Provide the year (and optionally month, or month and day) for when the data was collected.

The 2007-2011 CHAS (NCC) Data Set was developed in December 2014.

Briefly describe the methodology for the data collection.

The 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Set was developed by deleting the housing information for each of eleven (11) consortia counties from the 2007-2011 CHAS Data Set. No other modifications were made to the 2007-2011 CHAS Data Set.

Describe the total population from which the sample was taken.

The 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Set is based on the information that was used by the U.S. Census to prepare the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy Data Set that was provided to the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development.

Describe the demographics of the respondents or characteristics of the unit of measure, and the number of respondents or units surveyed.

The 2007-2011 CHAS (Non-Consortia Counties) Data Set is based on the information that was used by the U.S. Census to prepare the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy Data Set that was provided to the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development.

| 2 | Data Source Name |
|---|--|
| | 2019 WVHDF Housing Needs Assessment |
| | List the name of the organization or individual who originated the data set. |
| | West Virginia Housing Development Fund |
| | Provide a brief summary of the data set. |
| | Housing needs assessment |
| | What was the purpose for developing this data set? |
| | To provide data for the CDBG-DR and Consolidated Plan |
| | How comprehensive is the coverage of this administrative data? Is data collection concentrated in one geographic area or among a certain population? |
| | Entire State of West Virginia |
| | What time period (provide the year, and optionally month, or month and day) is covered by this data set? |
| | What is the status of the data set (complete, in progress, or planned)? |
| | Complete |
| 3 | Data Source Name |
| | Housing Inventory Count-HIC |
| | List the name of the organization or individual who originated the data set. |
| | Continuum of Cares |
| | Provide a brief summary of the data set. |
| | Count of homeless inventory |
| | What was the purpose for developing this data set? |
| | Count the housing inventory to homelessness |
| | How comprehensive is the coverage of this administrative data? Is data collection concentrated in one geographic area or among a certain population? |
| | What time period (provide the year, and optionally month, or month and day) is covered by this |
| | data set? |

Consolidated Plan

OMB Control No: 2506-0117 (exp. 06/30/2018)

WEST VIRGINIA